

PREFACE

This edition of कुमारसंभवम् cantos IV and V is primarily intended for the First Year Arts students. We thought it necessary to include Mallinātha's commentary (as decidedly that is the best), in so far as there is a special advantage that would accrue to a student of Sanskrit, if he cultivates the habit of understanding his texts with the help of the commentaries, from this early stage in his University career. In the following pages, Mallinātha's commentary is followed by the English translation (text, commentary and translation thus making a unit in the case of every stanza), to facilitate ready reference. In the 'Notes' has been thrown after the 'anvaya' of every stanza, all grammatical, explanatory or critical material, calculated to help the student thoroughly appreciate his text.

The departure from the general practice, of the nature of the mention and explanation of the 'aṅkāras' in the 'Notes', and of that of the devotion of an entire section in the Introduction, to the discussion and scanning of the metres used by the poet, are intentional. We think this plan would answer the purpose of initiating the student into the 'rhetorical' and the 'prosodic' aspects of his text, much better than the relegation of these themes to 'appendices'. In the translation, the reader need not be frightened to see a number of brackets, semi-circular and rectangular. Throughout an attempt has been made to keep the English rendering as close to the original text as possible. This has necessitated putting some words, supplied of course to complete the sense, into rectangular brackets. Certain words, that may be found to be either difficult or used in an other than the usual sense, are put into semi-circular

modern Ujjayini, near Bhopal. His reverential references to that city, and to the Great God महाकाल therein, the numerous passages describing the scenes on the banks of river Narmadā, and the fact that the यक्ष मृगेशदूतम् dwelt on Rāmgarī—the modern Rāmtēka near Nagpur,—all these along with other facts lead us to believe that the Stratford-on-Avon of our Shakespeare was in central India, and perhaps, Ujjayini. Kālidāsa's early life is also a closed chapter to us. Legends that tell us that he was dull in his childhood, and that he got the peculiar powers as a gift from Kālī, after practising severe penance, etc. are better passed over.

Such legends possess no historical value, and as such they must be accepted only after great consideration. From the study of Kālidāsa's works it seems that "Kālidāsa himself must have been a man gifted with all the learnings of his age, rich, aristocratic, moving wholly in high society, familiar with and fond of life in the most luxurious metropolis of his time, passionately attached to the arts, acquainted with the sciences, deep in law and learning, versed in the formalised philosophies (Kālidāsa by Sri Aurobindo p. 14). The legend that associates Kālidāsa with king विक्रमादित्य, deserves a careful consideration. The word विक्रम occurs in the title विक्रमोर्वशीयम्, even when by the ordinary rules of dramatic art, the word पुरुषस् ought to have been inserted. We see in the title of मालविकाग्निमित्रम् and मालवीमाधवम् the names of the heroines and the heroes. The change that is introduced by Kālidāsa does not seem to be a matter of mere accident, but an intentional act. Perhaps he wanted to make some reference to his patron, and this he accomplished by the change. Shakespeare has also referred to king James in his dramas. This naturally raises the question about the identity of king Vikramāditya, the supposed patron of Kālidāsa. Various theories have been forwarded by different scholars. Some

including Dr. Wilson, believe that Kālidāsa was one of the nine gems who adorned the court of king Vikramāditya ruling at Ujjayini, after the defeat of the Śakas. Strangely enough however, no edict or inscription of this Vikramāditya is found. Similarly the view of Dr. Hoernle, who understands by the said Vikramāditya, king Yashodharman (600 A. D.), the conqueror of the Hunas, is untenable, for in edicts this king is eulogized as राजाविजय and परमेश्वर, and never by the title Vikramāditya. So, this Vikramāditya must be sought somewhere else. A clear reference to the name of Kālidasa is seen for the first time in the Aihole inscription dated 603 A. D. In the Mandosar inscription, which is still earlier, dated 437. A.D, we find verses from the pen of वरसमिह, which are quite similar to those of Kālidasa (श्लोक १० of वरसमिह is similar to that of verse 66 from मेघदूतम् and श्लोक 31 with stanzas 2 and 3 from 5th canto of ऋतुसंहारम्). This similarity naturally raises the question as to who is the borrower. Dr. Keith remarks, in this context "to suppose that Kālidasa knew these clumsy verses of an obscure postaster and turned them into the simple elegance of his verse is absurd, to hold that a local poet appropriated and tried to improve on a verse of the great poet of Ujjayini is natural and simple" (History of Sanskrit Literature P. 82). This clearly takes Kālidāsa before 437 A. D., which may be laid down as terminus-ad quem. Scholars have also discovered similarity between some passages from the works of अश्वमेध, a Buddhist poet, who flourished in 100 A. D. and those of our poet; and have come to the conclusion, that Kālidāsa is later than अश्वमेध. This conclusion serves the purpose of terminus a quo. Thus Kālidāsa is deemed to have flourished, at the court of king Vikramāditya, who ruled India between 100 A. D. and 500 A. D. Kālidāsa's works, reveal an atmosphere of tranquility, happiness and ease. In other words the poet has un-

had said more than once, that Rati dwelt in his heart. Has he forgotten this, and also the sweet hours of their enjoyment? Otherwise it is difficult to explain Rati remaining uninjured even after Kāma's departure from the world of the living. How pitiable the lot of the world in the absence of Kāma? Wine cups in the hands of beautiful damsels, the maddening hummings of bees and the sweet notes of koil would be of no use, any more. Who, in his absence, can lead the ladies to their lovers' abode, when the streets are enveloped in the nocturnal darkness? Come, oh Kāma, come, at least for all these things. Let not the world be bereft of its charms. Do you not remember our love dalliances? Here, the flowery decoration and painting of my foot are still fresh. Return oh Kāma. Kāma is not returning. Rati must follow him in death, and that too immediately; otherwise he may be lured away by the heavenly damsels. Vasanta, the friend of Kāma, can be of some help to her, in preparing the funeral pyre. But he was not seen there. Was he made to share the same fate, as was experienced by Kāma? Vasanta, who was standing a little away from Rati, all this while, appeared before her. His sight, as is natural, only heightend her sorrow. She repeated her resolve to follow Madana in death, Pativaratā as she was. Even inanimate objects prove that a wife must follow her husband. Charming कौमुदी vanishes with the moon, and lighting is seen no more after the disappearance of the cloud. Rati must follow Madana and Vasanta must help her. In days of happiness, Vasanta had prepared a flowery bed for Madana and Rati. Alas! the same Vasanta is requested by her to prepare a funeral pyre! What an irony of fate? Vasanta's misfortune however does not end there. He will have to perform funeral rites and offer oblations to Madana and Rati. Extremely sad it is, but Vasanta must be prepared for it. Thus Rati stood ready for self-immolation. Just then, a voice from above, comforted Rati, by assuring union with

at her friend, suggesting her to answer the query. The friend explains in details, Pārvati's longing for Ś'ankara and her love-torn condition due to the disappointment she experienced at the time of the burning of Kāma. She herself is also entertaining deep anxiety for the safety of Pārvati and wishes that the lord should take pity on his devotee immediately. The Brahmachārin, now turns to Pārvati and asks "Is this true or is it a mere jest? Pārvati answers 'it is true'. This gives an opportunity to the student of Veda, to attack Ś'iva vehemently. He explains at great length the ridiculous form, the dress, the belongings and conveyance of Ś'iva. A three-eyed god, with serpents entwining his neck, ashes of funeral pyre smeared to his limbs and the elephant-hide worn on the body, deserves only to be laughed at. One should shudder even at the mere idea of friendship with him. Pārvati however, was longing for a life-long friendship, or why union, with him. Princess Pārvati after walking on the heaps of flowers and applying sandal-paste to her fair bosom at the time of the celebration of the marriage, would be required to tread the ground scattered over with hair in the cemetery, and to experience an embrace of Ś'iva's chest, smeared with funeral ashes. She would be sitting on the back of the superannuated bull, who would find it difficult even to walk straight. So it is better for her to take away her mind from the improper tract. This attack on Ś'iva the loved one of Pārvati, naturally excited her anger and her lips began to quiver. Proudly she spoke 'you do not know Ś'iva.' Only those, who do not understand the ways of the magnanimous speak in this manner. Ś'iva's inauspicious form, his penniless condition, his wearing elephant-hide on his body, his smearing the funeral ashes to his limbs and his moving on the old bull—all these can be understood only by those who know his real nature. Curious are the ways of the great. Even with all this paraphernalia, Ś'iva is respected by Indira

III

Kumarasambhava: a Mahākāvya:

✓ The class of works to which कुमारसंभव belongs, according to the Sanskrit rhetoricians, is that of महाकाव्यसः. As such कुमारसंभव is to be sharply distinguished from works such as सूक्तकविक, रत्नावली, शाकुन्तलम्, etc. which constitute the 'dramatic' or 'scenic' part of literature in Sanskrit, and are therefore styled 'दृश्य काव्य'. At the same time, कुमारसंभव has to be held apart from works like बाण's कदम्बरी or हर्षचरित which are characterised as a कथा and an आख्यायिका respectively, though both these, along with महाकाव्य fall in the category of श्रव्य as distinguished from the दृश्य forms of literary composition. The reason for such a distinction to be made between a महाकाव्य and कथा or आख्यायिका is, that the former as a rule is couched in verses, whereas the latter, for the major part, are couched in prose, though a sprinkling of stanzas in the वक्त्र and अपरवक्त्र metres, is not only allowed, but regarded as necessary for a कथा (Vide साहित्यदर्पण of विश्वनाथ, परिच्छेद VI, stanzas 332, 333). No careful reader is likely to confound a महाकाव्य with a चम्पू—'गद्यपद्यात्मकं काव्यं चम्पूरित्यभिधीयते' albeit what has been said, regarding the medium of a महाकाव्य viz. **verses** or **stanzas**, as distinguished from mere prose. Yet one more pit-fall is to be avoided. That is, that though a खण्डकाव्य typically represented by Kālidāsa's 'मेघदूतम्' is all couched in verses, it is not a महाकाव्य for the simple reason that it is too short to be so regarded. A poetical work, composed in verses, satisfying the condition regarding the minimum length alone is entitled to be called a महाकाव्य.

Distinguishing a महाकाव्य from नाट्य or 'दृश्य काव्य' in general, and further, from कथाऽ or आख्यायिकाऽ, from चम्पूऽ and from खण्डकाव्यऽ, marks only the beginning of the process of learning what a महाकाव्य is. It is, however, even more important to learn, what a thing is than to learn what it is not. The negative way serves its purpose all right, but cannot take any one far enough. This necessitates, therefore, a careful consideration of the positive traits of a महाकाव्य, which alone can throw the required light on the form of literature, which कुमारसेभव represents. From the days of Dandin, the author of Kāvyaadarsha, the question has received the attention of rhetoricians. Following is the account that विश्वनाथ in his नाट्यदर्पण, 'Mirror to Literature,' gives in this connection—

मर्गबन्धो महाकाव्यं तर्गको नायकः सुरः ॥ ३१५ ॥
 सङ्गः क्षत्रियो वापि धीरोदात्तगुणान्वितः ।
 एकवचनभावा भूषाः पुलका बहुवोपि वा ॥ ३१६ ॥
 शृङ्गारवीरशान्तानामेकोही रस-दृष्यते ।
 अङ्गानि सर्वेऽपि रग्नाः सर्वे नाटकसन्धयः ॥ ३१७ ॥
 इतिहासोद्भवं श्रुतमन्वद्वा सञ्जनाश्रयम् ।
 चत्वारस्तस्य वर्गाः स्युस्तेश्चेकं च फलं भवेत् ॥ ३१८ ॥
 भार्गवी नमस्किर्यादीनां यस्तु निर्दिष्ट एव वा ।
 वशिष्ठिभन्दा-गन्धर्वादीनां सती च शुण्डीतनयम् ॥ ३१९ ॥
 एकवृत्तमयैः पद्यैरयमानेऽप्यवृत्तकैः ।
 नातिस्वल्पा नातिदीर्घाः सर्गाः शृङ्गाधिका इह ॥ ३२० ॥
 नानावृत्तमयः वापि सर्ग-वधन दृश्यते ।
 मर्गान्ते भाविमर्गस्य कथायाः सूचनं भवेत् ॥ ३२१ ॥
 मंशासूत्रेन्दुरजनीश्रद्धोपधान्वागवराः ।
 शार्ङ्गमृग्याः कृष्णयात्रैर्लुब्धकान्वागवराः ॥ ३२२ ॥
 सम्मोहविशम्भौ च मुनिस्वर्गपुराणराः ।

रणप्रयाणोपशममन्त्रपुत्रोदयादयः ॥ ३२३ ॥

चर्मनीया यथाशौचं साद्वोपाङ्गा अमी इह ।

कवेर्द्वैतस्य वा नास्ति नायकस्येतत्स्य वा ॥ ३२४ ॥

नामास्य सर्वोपादेशकयथा सर्वनाम तु ।

✓ Or, in other words, a महाकाव्य is a composition in cantos, canto being the name given to the divisions into which the text of the entire महाकाव्य is presented. In a महाकाव्य, there figures a hero, who is a god, or in some cases a mortal born of a high क्षत्रिय—family, possessed of noble qualities, or in some others, many such persons belonging to the same race are seen to occupy that role. The poet, it is necessary, develops some one as the main sentiment, may be it is the erotic, the heroic or the quietistic (शान्त) etc, against a background of other sentiments which have to be brought in to ensure variety and effect. The story to be unfolded during the course of the poem, should be known to history (or similar works), or else, it may be any, i. e. even imaginary, but one that deals with good folk. The opening of the poem should be marked by a salutation (*Vide जगतः पितरं वन्दे पार्वती-परमेश्वरं ।* सु. I, 1), or a benediction or a reference to something vitally connected with the plot. To emphasize the moral tone, विश्वनाथ proceeds to state, that in places there should be censure of the evil and glorification of the qualities of the noble. On the formal side, a महाकाव्य should be one composed in verses, all in the same metre, in a given canto, though towards the end of the canto, it will be seen by the author, that a different metre is adopted. The cantos in their own turn, would be neither ridiculously short nor abnormally long. Their number should be either 8 or more. Occasionally a poet is seen reserving a whole canto for the display of his mastery over a variety of metres. Such a canto composed in many metres, is however, an exception and not the rule.

Every canto, towards the end, should indicate what is to follow in the next. As a महाकाव्य is but a form of belles letters, it is but meet that it should be full of beautiful descriptions of some of a wide range of themes. Twilight (hues), the sun, the moon, the night or its beginning, the evening, the blinding darkness, the day, the dawn or the noon, from the realm of Nature, are some from which the poet may make his own choice. Or, alternatively, he may give graphic accounts of hunting expeditions, of mountain (scenery), of seasons (and their specialties), of forest (site)s, of oceans, of love in union and separation, of sages, of the heavenly world, of cities, of sacrifices, of military expeditions, of marriages, of counsels (given by expert ministers to the king they are serving) or finally of births of sons (as that of रघु in रघुवंश Canto III). The poem should be named after the poet himself, or after the plot, or after the hero, or after some other character in the story (for instance शिशुपालवधम् where the slaughter of शिशुपाल—the villain of the piece, is mentioned prominently in the name of that महाकाव्य).

It is in no way difficult to show that these traits of a महाकाव्य, given by विश्वनाथ are revealed by कुमारसंग्रह. Thus God Shankara, who, it need hardly be said is possessed of noble qualities, is the hero (तत्रैको नायकः सुरः :... श्रीरोदात्तगुणान्वितः). The poem develops the Karuna Sentiment, (शृंगार-वीरशान्तानाम् ' being only उपलक्षणात्मक, and there being no obligation on the poet that only some of these three should be taken up), answering the विप्रलम्भ part of the condition ' संभोगविप्रलम्भाच्च ', in Canto IV. The story is based on what the Purāṇas, which come under इतिहास, tell about Kārtikeya and the demon Tāraka (इतिहासोद्भवं यत्नम्). The poem opens with the description of Himālaya (आदेशं वम्बुनिर्देश एव वा)—so

vitally connected with the plot. Canto VIII does justice to the Sambhoga vanely of Shringāra. The extent of the poem, as generally accepted, is eight cantos (सर्गा अष्टाविंशः=अष्ट सर्गाः or अष्टाविंशः सर्गाः). 'Upayama' or marriage is described in the seventh canto. The cantos, without being too long or too short, strike the golden mean in respect of their extent. They end with a different metre, as shown in detail in the notes on those portions. Towards the end of canto IV, in the words heard from the sky, there is a suggestion as to what is to follow in Canto V; for, the words give an assurance to Rati of her union with her lord, which has the acceptance of Pārvati by Shankara (canto V) as its necessary pre-condition. Kālidāsa, it will be seen, has named this work after the main incident in the story, viz., the birth of Kārtikeya, the Generalissimo-to-be, of the Gods.

IV

कुमारसंभवम् in the light of western literary criticism:

An account of कुमारसंभवम्, and of महाकाव्यः generally as they are called by Sanskrit rhetoricians, has so far been given. By way of giving a finishing touch to this aspect of the study of the poem, there need be added one more consideration. To students of literary criticism, and to those of comparative literary criticism especially, the names given to and the characteristics stated of similar works in the western literary world, are sure to be interesting and instructive. To literary criticism as it obtains in English, are known two kinds of epic. There is thus, what they call, the 'epic of growth' ('primitive epic' or 'authentic epic' according to some). It has been explained, that this is not

entirely the work of a single author, and that there is so much of pre-existing material of the nature of floating legends and earlier folk—poems, that contributes to the text of the epic of growth, which is thus the final product of the process of accretion and synthesis, that has occupied a long period of time. As typical instances of this variety of epic, are cited the Homeric *Illiad* and *Odyssey*, where Homer, it has to be noted is not the author of everything that is contained in the poems. *Mahābhārata*, in the history of Sanskrit literature offers an instance of the 'epic of growth' thus understood, Vyāsa, having been responsible for, as far as was possible, systematically putting together the vast mass of stories, legends etc that already had been current. As differentiated from the epic of growth, there is another, the 'epic of art' (or the 'literary epic'). In spite of the resemblances it may have with the epic of growth, such as that in regard to subject matter which is of the old mythical and heroic kind, in that of the use of the supernatural, in that of the structural plan etc, it has also points of difference from the epic of growth. Thus a single poet invents or adopts to his own purpose, the legendary material. The freshness, spontaneity, the raciness that characterise the 'epic of growth' are replaced by erudition, bookishness, an easily noticed imitativeness, in the 'epic of art'. (For details, read pages 138-141, 'An Introduction to the Study of Literature' by W. H. Hudson). Milton's 'Paradise Lost' in English literature and Vālmiki's *Rāmāyana* in Sanskrit (dropping what decidedly are interpolations), illustrate this class of 'epics of art'. It may with advantage be added, that *Rāmāyana* is regarded as an 'illustration of the first and more virile form of artificial epic,—subjected to self-conscious rules, but palpitant with an early heroism (Vide—History of Epic The Indian Epic, pages 778-782). Gaylay and Kurtz, the authors of the 'History of Epic', point out that a beginning of artificial epic had been made with

the Rāmāyana as (the unified, artistically handled theme of Vālmiki is in striking contrast to the conglomerate and confused mass of the Mahābharata.' The Kāvyaś of 'artificial court epics', they add, 'pushed artistic workmanship and artificial rules to the extreme.' In the light of these remarks, it will easily be seen that the five Mahākāvyaś रघुवंशम्, कुमारसंभवम्, नैषधम्, शिशुपालवधम् and किरातार्जुनीयम् fall in the category of the 'epics of art' (or 'artificial' or 'literary epics', and are an advance on Rāmāyana, the first 'epic of art' in Sanskrit).

V

Metres employed in कुमार IV and V.

Critics have observed that Kālidāśa was well aware of the importance of employing the right metre in the right place. That the metre is, in poems, the vehicle for the thought to be expressed and that there should be as perfect an agreement between the thought and its vehicle are commonplaces of the literary art, that no great author could afford to ignore. This explains Kālidāśa's choice of just the मन्दाकरान्ता metre for his नैषधम्, half the beauty of which would vanish, supposing it were composed in some other metre. The words are important, no doubt. But equally so are the peculiar combinations of short and long syllables, technically known as गणश्च in which they are arranged. A आर्द्धलक्ष्मिरीडित is a fitting medium to convey all that is 'heroic' or vigorous or something akin to the same. The metre, again, is highly effective, when properly used, in the creation of the 'atmosphere' the author aims at. Thus it is 'due to no accidental circumstance, that कालिदास makes father कश्यप pronounce his

4
 blessing on his daughter on the eve of her departure to her husband's, in a stanza with its metre reminiscent of the Vedic worship and ritual (Vide अमो वेदिं परितः वन्द्यतश्चिन्मयाः ।...वतानास्त्वा वदयः पावयन्तु ।). One need only read aloud such stanzas to appreciate this aspect of propriety of a particular metre in a particular context. This would help readers understand Kālidāsa's employment of the विधोगिनी metre, so eminently fitted for the delineation of the वदयः sentiment, in stanzas 1-44 of कुमार. IV. This same would bring out the reason why he selected वदयः as the metre for stanzas 1-84, कु. V.

Sanskrit, like other languages, has its own prosody. The rules laid down by this latter, have to be observed by the poet who cares to have the reputation as a versifier who knows his art well. By way of elementary things, it may be noted that Sanskrit prosody distinguishes long syllables from short—all long vowels (such as आ, ई, ऊ, ऋ, ए, ऐ, औ and औ) or consonants in conjunction with long vowels (such as म्ना, प्रे etc) being regarded as long. Likewise, the short vowels, अ, इ, उ, ऋ etc. or consonants in conjunction with them (such as क, प्र, त्र etc) are regarded as short syllables. As a further relevant detail, it may be noted that a short vowel followed by an anuswāra or a visarga or a conjunct consonant is regarded as long and that the last syllable in a foot (pāda or quarter) is either short or long as the metre requires. Following are the technical names given to combinations of syllables that are possible,—'L' standing for a long syllable and 'S' for a short one

य-SLL, meaning that it is a combination of three syllables, where the 1st is short, and the 2nd and 3rd are long. Similarly, म-LSS, व-SLS, न-SSS, म-LLL, र-LSL, त LLS and स SSL. In the definitions of metres ल signifies a short

syllable and ग stands for a long syllable. This should enable a learner to scan the first two lines of IV, 39, for instance, and see how the lines are in keeping with the definition, विदमे ससजा गुहः समे । सभसलोथ गुहर्वियोगिनी, meaning in the odd lines, 1st and 3rd there is the combination of स, स, ज and a long syllable, and that in the even lines, 2nd and 4th, there is the combination of स, भ, र, a short syllable and finally a long syllable. Metrically the lines may thus be written,

इतिदे हविमु वतयेरिध ताम्—SSL (स), SSL (स), SLS (ज) and L (ग).

रतिमा काशभ वासर स्वती—SSL (स), LSS (भ), LSL (र) S (ल) and L (ग).

Similar treatment can be given to वंदस्व, the metre of V, 1-84, defined as वदन्ति वंशस्थविलं जती जरौ, giving the combinations ज त ज र. The metre of IV, 45, called वमन्ततिलका, defined as उक्ता वरान्ततिलका तभजाजगौग, would yield the गणस, त भ ज ज ग ग (illustrated by V, 85 and 86) Finally, the metre of IV, 46, is पुष्पिताग्रा, defined as अयुजि नयुगरेफतो यकारो युजि तुं ननौ जरगाथ पुष्पिताग्रा. Lines 1 & 3 would give न न र य and lines 3 & 4, न ज ज र य.

VI

Kalidas'as style.

No study of a literary work can be regarded as complete unless the salient features of the style of the author are carefully considered. A perusal of कुमारसंभन्म् IV & V should, therefore, enable one to understand the im-

portant characteristics of Kālidāsa's manner of writing. It has been observed by those who have thoroughly and exhaustively studied this aspect of Kālidāsa's works that कुमारसंभवम् I, II and III cannot be regarded as representative of Kālidāsa's style—in the sense, that the poet seems to be at pains while writing those portions of the work and in that there is less of the lucidity and naturalness and ease evidenced by the three cantos, than by other, and may we add, later, writings of the poet. Fortunately, कुमारसंभवम् IV and V do not fall in a line with the preceding cantos of the work, in this respect. And it can be stated unhesitatingly, that all those features which mark कालिदास's works, such as were composed by him when he definitely attained the maturity of his genius, as they can be seen from his शाकुन्तलम् and रुद्रमम्, can be found in a representative enough measure in कुमारसंभवम् IV & V,

Kālidāsa has been hailed as the greatest exponent of the वैदर्भी रीति, by common consent of those who write on the theory of poetry, the best of all 'styles'. The principle features of the Valdearbhi style are, on the negative side, an absence of inordinately long compounds and unduly involved constructions, and on the positive side, the presence of a judicious combination of the qualities of lucidity (प्रसाद) sweetness (माधुर्य) vigour (ओजस्) and others. The phrase 'judicious combination' deserves a specially careful attention. The composition must be possessed of the qualities in such a manner as to produce the impression of a thing that is beautiful quite naturally, even like the flower in a garden. There must be no deliberate striving after effect, or at least as little of it as possible. Another point is that Sentiment (rasa) should be the chief thing aimed; all else, the alnakaras in particular, of both s'abda and artha, must be subordinated to the former; and so must

also the metre. In view of the detailed observations made on the various stanzas, as occasion required in the 'Notes', it should be no difficult task to understand how कुमारमंभवम् IV and V come up to the standard of the वैदर्भीरति. There are very few unnecessarily long compounds in the stanzas contained in this part of the work; involved constructions too, if not exactly conspicuous by their absence, are few and end far between. The pathetic sentiment is dominant in Canto IV and as would but be expected a large number of stanzas are remarkable for their lucidity (Vide remarks in the 'Notes' on IV, 7, 10, 11, 21, 22, 32, to point out only the most evident) and this is, not unoften, happily combined with 'alliteration'. Kālidāsa, there can be little doubt, was possessed of a rich imagination, which aided by his mastery over language, enabled him to endow his compositions with decoration. Among such alankāras, that beautify his writing, the most prominent and the most pleasant, in fact, the forte of Kālidāsa, is his उपमा (IV, 30, 46). Metaphors are not wanting, हरशोषवति, being an instance. To point out just one delightful fancy (उपमेया), reference may be made to V, 25. Of generalisations, there is an ample measure ('सरोरमाचंगलु धर्मतावनम्' न धर्मवृद्धेषु वयः समीक्ष्यते V, 33 and 16, respectively). Naturally, the अथांतरान्यास is well represented. So are other figures, such as प्रतिरस्तुमा (V, 44), पर्याय (IV, 24), दृष्टान्त (V, 9), which have been cited and fully explained in their proper places. 'तपः कः वामे न न सारवं वयुः' illustrates the विपक्ष अदंशर. Finally, only one remark may be added. That is that Kālidāsa has been a great success in composing dialogues. That between the मन्त्रचारिन् and शर्वरी in Canto V, is enough to convince the doubting. If there are any, that the poet's choice of words and the

arrangement are absolutely perfect, and thoroughly suited to the development of the atmosphere, intended to be created. Words being well matched to the spirit, metre and alankāras subordinated to the Sentiment, involved constructions and undeservedly long expressions being carefully weeded out, 'humour' and 'irony' being introduced to heighten the 'dramatic' effect of an episode—all these have contributed to कुमार IV and V being rendered some of the most pleasant of poetic compositions, which a reader is never tired to turn back to, though he may have read them twice thrice over previously.

Errata

[Mistakes such as can easily be corrected by the reader are left out. Only those, positively misleading, are listed below. In making the corrections, the usual method of printing the word wrongly printed, and then giving the correct word instead, is not followed. Instead, the page, the line, the **number** of the word, and the correct spelling of the word, are given. Thus, ५, 9, 2 & ३ वससीति means, on page ५, in line 9, the 2nd and the 3rd words should be वससीति.]

१०, 26, 2—bereft
 १८, 4, 6—विलोलपद्माः
 ५६, 26, 8—drought
 ६५, 13, 3—Of
 ७३, 14, 3—complete
 „ 20, 4—disappeared
 „ 24, 8—knew
 ८०, 30, 3—एकपदे
 ८१, 12, 7—the
 ८८, 7, 4—प्रियते
 „ 29, 10—ते
 ९४, 26, 9—leather
 ९५, 7, 9—wilderness
 ९९, 5, 7—s
 १००, 3, 9—propriety
 „ 5, 2—sprouts
 „ 26, 5—critics
 १०५, 16, after 12, insert her
 १०८, 27, 9—उद्यमान्
 १०९, 14, 10—mobility
 ११०, 6, 1—दुष्णान्
 १११, 14, 4—now

११२, 3, 1 & 2—to it
 „ 15, 3—fore-parts
 ११४, 14, 10—tremulous
 ११६, 1, 3—eyes?
 „ 5, after 2, insert, having
 १२१, 8, 6—sun's
 „ 20, 8—अयाचितो—
 १२५, 16, 4—leagues
 १२७, 6, 6—immortal
 १३२, 1, 6—krama
 १३७, 16, 6—seven
 १३९, 28, 9—past
 १४१, 16, insert after 9,—for
 १४४, 11, 5—on
 १५३, 14, 6—तपःकृशं
 १५७, 3, after 6 insert, or
 १६१, 8, 4.—संप्रति
 १६३, 21, 2—about
 १६८, 5, 3—अविनाह
 १७४, 13, 1—altercation
 „ 23, 1—possessed

कुमारसंभवम् ।

चतुर्थः सर्गः ।

मूर्च्छिता रतिरित्युक्तम् । संप्रति तद्वृत्तान्तमेवाह—

अथ मोहपरायणा सती विवशा कामवधूर्विबोधिता ।

विधिना प्रतिपादयिष्यता नववैधव्यमसहायेदनम् ॥ १ ॥

अथेति ॥ अथानन्तरं मोहो मूर्च्छा परमयनमाश्रयो यस्याः सा मोहपरायणा मोहैकशरणा सती । 'परायणमभिप्रेते तत्परे परमाश्रये' इति यादवः । विवशा मूढत्वाभिधेया कामवधू रतिः । असह्या दुःसहा वेदना यस्मिस्तत्तथोक्तम् । विधवाया गतभर्तृकाया भावो वैधव्यम् । नवं च तद्वैधव्यं चेति नववैधव्यम् । नवप्रह्वं दुःसहन्वद्यौतनार्यम् । प्रतिपादयिष्यतानुभावयिष्यता । क्रियार्थक्रियायां लृट् । विधिना दैवेन । 'विधिर्विधाने दैवे च' इत्यमरः । विबोधिता । वैधव्यानु-
फलोऽयं विधिरिति भावः । अस्मिन्सर्गे विद्योपनिषत्तानि—'विपमे सप्तज्ञा गुरुः
समे सभरा लोऽथ गुरुर्वियोगिनी' इति लक्षणात् ॥

Then, Kāma's Consort (i. e. Rati), who was entirely under the influence of the swoon (lit., with the swoon as her highest resort), helpless (vivasā), was caused to be conscious [again] by Fate, desirous of causing [her] to experience fresh widow-hood of unbearable agony.—I

अवधानपरे चकार सा प्रलयान्तोन्मिषिते विलोचने ।

न विवेद तयोरुत्तयोः प्रियमत्यन्तविलुप्तदर्शनम् ॥ २ ॥ dead

अवेति ॥ सा रतिः प्रलयान्ते मूर्च्छावसाने । 'प्रलयो नष्टचेष्टा' इत्यमरः ।
उन्मिषिते उन्मीलिते विलोचने । अवधानं परं प्रवानं ययोस्तेऽवधानपरे दिद-

क्षयावहिते चकार । द्रष्टव्याभावात् न विवेदेत्याह—नेति । प्रियं काममत्त-
योस्तृप्तिं न गतयोः । नित्यदिदृक्षमाणयोरित्यर्थः । तयोर्लोचनयोः । दर्शनक्रिया-
पेक्षया संबन्धे पृष्ठा । अत्यन्तविलुप्तं दर्शनं स्वलोचनयोः करणयोर्यस्य कर्मभूतस्य
तमत्यन्तविलुप्तदर्शनं सन्तं न विवेद न ज्ञातवती । प्रियनाम्नापरिज्ञानादिदृष्टां चक्र
इति तात्पर्यार्थः ॥

She made her eyes, opened at the termination of the
swoon (pralayah) quite attentive; [she, however,] did
not know the dear one of those two [eyes], not [fully]
satisfied, to have the [chance of his] sight [by others]
totally disappeared.—2

अयि जीवितनाथ जीवसीत्यभिधायोत्थितया तया पुरः ।

ददृशे पुरुषाकृतिं क्षितौ हरकोपानलमस्म केवलम् ॥ ३ ॥

अयीति ॥ अयीति प्रश्ने । 'अयि प्रध्वानुनययोः' इति विश्वः । अयि जीवि-
तनाथ, जीवसि प्राणिनि कश्चिदित्यभिधायोत्थितया तया रस्या पुरोऽग्रे क्षितौ
पुरुषस्याकृतिरिवाकृतिर्यस्य तत्पुरुषाकृतिं केवलमेकं हरकोपानलमस्म ददृशे दृष्टम् ।
न तु पुरुष इति भावः ॥

By her who had risen, having uttered [the words] "oh
[my] lord of life, are you alive?", was seen on the
ground in front [a heap of] mere ashes of the fire of the
wrath of Hara, with a form similar to [that of] a
man.—3.

अथ सा पुनरेव विद्वला वसुधालिङ्गनधूसरस्तनी ।

विललाप विकीर्णमूर्धजा समदुःखामिव कुर्वती स्थलीम् ॥ ४ ॥

अपेति ॥ अथ मत्सदर्शनानन्तरं पुनरेव विद्वला विह्वला वसुधालिङ्गनधू-
सरस्तनी वसुधालिङ्गनेन क्षितिमुष्टनेन धूसरी धूमरवर्णा स्तनी कुर्वती यस्याः
सा तपोष्ठा । 'स्वाश्रयोपसर्जनादसंयोगोपपन्ना' इति जीव । विकीर्णमूर्धजा
विकीर्णा विदिता मूर्धजाः केशा यस्याः सा तपोष्ठा सा रतिः स्थली वनभू-

मिम् । तत्रत्याग्राणि इत्यर्थः । 'जानपदकुण्डगोणस्थल-' इत्यादिना स्त्री । समदुःखां स्वतुल्यशोकां कुर्वतीव विललाप परिदेवितवती । 'विलापः परिदेवनम्' इत्यमरः ॥

She then, distressed once again, bemoaned [her lord's loss], with her breasts dusty on account of rolling about on the ground (*vasudhālingana*) with her hair disshevelled, [and] making the [entire forest—] site possessed of an equal amount of grief, as it were.—4.

उपमानमभूद्विलासिनां करणं यत्तय कान्तिमत्तया ।

तदिदं गतमीदृशां दशां न विदीर्ये कठिनाः खलु स्त्रियः ॥ ५ ॥

उपेति ॥ तव यत्करणं शायम् । 'करणं दाधकृतमं क्षेत्रगात्रेन्द्रियेष्वपि' इत्यमरः । कान्तिमत्तया सौन्दर्येण हेतुना विलासिनां विलमनशीलानाम् । 'वी कयलस-' इत्यादिना पिनुग्रहयः । उपमीयते येन तदुपमानमभूत् । तत्करण-मिदमीदृशां दशमवस्थां गतम् । भस्मीभूतमित्यर्थः । तथापि न विदीर्ये न विदीर्या भवामि । कतरि लट् । तथाहि । स्त्रियः कठिनाः खलु । कठिनत्वादविदीर्यमाण-त्वमित्यर्थः । कारणात्कार्यसमर्पणरूपोऽर्थान्तरन्यासः । 'धीरसंचारिणी दृष्टिर्गति-गोदृष्टमागिता । रिमतपूर्वं तयालापो विलाम इति कीर्तितः' ॥ इति ॥

"That body (करण) of yours which because of its handsomeness was the standard of comparison for lovely persons (*vīlāsīnām*),—here has it been reduced to this plight (lit., condition), [and even so] I am not torn asunder; to be sure, women are tough !—5.

अनु मां त्वदधीनजीवितां विनिर्दीर्य क्षणभिन्नसौहृदः ।

नलिनी क्षतसेतुबन्धनो जलसंघात इयासि विद्रुतः ॥ ६ ॥

हेति ॥ हे प्रिय, क्षतसेतुबन्धनो भग्नसेतुबन्धनो जलमंथनो जलोप्यो नलिनी-मिव । जलं क्षायतत्रीवितामिति शेषः । त्वदधीनजीवितां त्वदायत्तानां मां अ. पु विनिर्दीर्य पुत्र वा निश्चित्य क्षणभिन्नसौहृदः क्षयलक्षणसौहृदः सन् । विद्रुतः

पलायितोऽसि । सेतुसौहृदयोः स्थितिहेतुत्वेन साम्यम् । सुहृदो भावः सौहृदम् । युवादित्वादण्यत्ययः । 'हृदयस्य हृल्लेखयदण्यसेषु' इति हृदादेशः । अणि हृद्भावात् 'हृद्भगसिन्वन्ते पूर्वपदस्य च' इत्युभयपदशुद्धिः । हृद्भूतस्याण्विधाने तुभयपदशुद्धिः स्यात् । यथा सुहृदो भावः । सौहार्दमिति । तदेवाह वामनः—'सौहृदसौहृदशब्दावदणि हृद्भावात्' इति ॥

"Where indeed have you who have broken in a moment [the tie of] affection, bolted off, having abandoned (vinikīrya) me who am dependent for my [very] life on you, like a mass of water that has destroyed the construction of the dam [that held it under check so far, and that runs away], having left off the lotus—plant ?—6

कृतवानसि विप्रियं न मे प्रतिकूलं न च ते मया कृतम् ।

किमकारणमेव दर्शनं विलपन्त्यै रतये न दीयते ॥ ७ ॥

कृतेति ॥ हे प्रिय, त्वं मे मम विप्रियमप्रियं कृतवानासि । मया च ते स्वप्रतिकूलमप्रियं न कृतम् । अकारणं निष्कारणमेव । परस्परकारणरूपकारणाभावेऽपीक्ष्यः । क्रियाविशेषणमेतत् । विलपन्त्यै । त्वदर्शनार्थिन्या अपीति भावः । रतये किं फलं दर्शनं न दीयते । क्रियाग्रहणाच्चतुर्थी ॥

"You have not done [anything] disagreeable to me, nor has [anything] unfavourable to you been done by me; why [then], even when there is no cause, is your sight not granted to Rati, [who is] lamenting ? 7

विप्रियमाशङ्कते—

स्मरसि स्मर मेखलागुणैरुत गोत्रस्थलितेषु यन्धनम् ।

च्युतकेसरद्विपितेक्षणान्यवतंसोत्पलताडनानि वा ॥ ८ ॥

स्मरमीति ॥ हे स्मर, गोत्रस्थलितेषु नामधेयगतोषु । 'गोत्रं नाम्नायते पुले' इति विश्वः । मेखलागुणैर्वन्धनं स्मरस्युत स्मरसि वा । 'विक्रलो किं किमुत च' इत्यमरः । च्युतकेसरीश्रृङ्गकिष्किर्द्विपिते दंशणे येषु तान्यवतंसो-

तप्लताङ्गानि । सधूलिक्षेपताङ्गानीत्यर्थः । स्मरसि ॥ । अपकारस्मरणादि-
दमदर्शनमिति भावः ॥

“Do you remember, oh Cupid, the binding [you were subjected to at my hands] by the strings of the girdle on the occasions of [your] blundering in [uttering] the names? [or, do you remember] beatings by the lotus [used as an] ear-ornament, wherein your eyes were soiled (इषिन्) by the filaments which dropped down?—8

हृदये वसतीति मत्प्रिय यद्वोचस्तदयमि केतवम् ।

उपचारपदं न चेदिदं त्वमनङ्गः कथमक्षता रतिः ॥ ९ ॥

हृदय इति ॥ हृदये वसतीति स्मरवाक्यानुवादः । इत्येवमपि मत्प्रिय यद्वोच उक्तवानसि । मूनी उक्तिः ‘वच उम्’ इत्युमागमः । तत्केतवमवैमि मिध्येति मन्वे । इदं वचनमुपचारपदं परम्य रचनार्थं यदसंख्यभाषणं स उपचारस्तस्य पदं स्थानम् । केतवस्थानमिति यावत् । न चेत्त्वमनङ्गोऽक्षरीरः । कथं रतिरक्षताविनष्टा । आश्रयनाशोऽप्याश्रितमविनष्टमिति विरोधादिति भावः ॥

“That I know [to be a bit of your] roguery, what you said [and] was agreeable to me ‘viz. you dwell in my heart’ [if this were not a formal utterance (upachārapada)] how [is it, that] you are devoid of your body [and still] Rati [continues to be] uninjured?—9

न च मे कश्चिद्विचारः किंतु लोकः शोच्यते इत्याह—

परलोकनवप्रवासिनः प्रतिपत्स्ये पदवीमहं तत्र ।

विधिना जनैः पश्यं धाञ्चितस्त्वदधीनं यत्तु देहिनां सुखम् ॥ १० ॥

परलोकेति ॥ परलोकं प्रति नवप्रवासिनोऽचिरप्रोषितस्य । अनेनानुगमः न कालानतिपातः सूच्यते । तत्र परवीं मार्गं प्रतिपत्स्ये । त्वामनुगमिष्यामीत्यर्थः । अतो मे नास्ति विचारः इति भावः । किंतु विधिना देवेनैव जनो लोको वसितः प्रसारितः । देहिनां मुखं त्वदधीनं त्वदधीनं यत्तु । अधिश-

व्यस्य शौण्डादिकत्वात् 'सप्तमी शौण्डः' इति समासः । 'अभ्युत्पदात्' इति सप्रत्ययः । एवमन्यत्रापि । सुखप्रदभावे कुतः सुखमिति भावः ॥

"I shall step on to the track (padavi) of you, who are a new (or recent, nava) traveller to the other world. By Fate is this person here [that is, am I here], duped. Depending on you is the happiness of the embodied beings [or, alternatively, lines 3 and 4: 'This world of the living, (ayam jansh) has been duped by Fate (in that it has been deprived of you); on you depends the happiness of the embodied beings]'—10

तदेवाह—

रजनीतिमिरावगुण्ठिते पुरमार्गे घनशब्दविह्वलाः ।

वसति प्रिय कामिनां प्रियास्त्वद्वत्ते प्रापयितुं क ईश्वरः ॥ ११ ॥

रजनीति ॥ हे प्रिय, रजनीतिमिरेणावगुण्ठित आवृते पुरमार्गे घनशब्द-
विह्वला गर्जितभीता. प्रियाः कामिनां वसति प्रापयितुं त्वद्वत्ते त्वां विना ।
'अन्यारादितरैः—' इत्यादिना पयमी । क ईश्वरः शक्तः । न कश्चिदित्यर्थः ।
न हि कामान्धानां भीतिरस्तीति भावः ॥

"Who, excepting you, oh dear, is able to lead (lit., to cause to reach) to the abode of [their] lovers, the beloved damsels, distressed at the rumbling of clouds, while the street in the city is enveloped in the darkness of the night ?—11

नयनान्यरुणानि घूर्णयन्वचनानि स्खल्यन्पदे पदे ।

असति त्वयि वारुणीमदः प्रमदानामधुना विडम्बना ॥ १२ ॥

नयनानीति ॥ अरुणानि नयनानि घूर्णयन्प्रमयन् । तथा पदे पदे प्रति-
पदम् । वीर्यायां द्विरुक्तिः । वचनानि स्खल्यन्निर्पयोसयन्प्रमदानां वारुणीमदो
मधमदोऽपुना त्वय्यसति विडम्बनानुश्रुतिमात्रम् । मदनाभावे मदस्य

निष्फलत्वादिति भावः । तथा च शिशुपालवधे—‘तां मदो दमितसंगमभूयः’
(१० । ३३) इति ॥

“The intoxication of proud [young] ladies due to wine, making their reddish eyes roll about, causing their utterances to falter at every step, is now, when you are not there, a [mere] ridicule [or a pointless imitation].—12.

अथगम्य कथीकृतं वपुः प्रियबन्धोस्तत्र निष्फलोदयः ।
बहुलेऽपि गते निशाकरस्तनुतां दुःखमनङ्ग मोक्षयति ॥ १३ ॥
अथगम्येति ॥ हे अनङ्ग अशरीर, प्रियबन्धोः प्रियसखस्यः तव वपुः शरीरं
कथीकृतमकथा कथा संपद्यमानं कृतं शब्दमात्रावशिष्टमवगम्य ज्ञात्वा निष्फलो-
दयः । बहुप्याभावादुरीपनवैकन्यमिति भावः । निशाकरश्चन्द्रो बहुले कृष्णपक्षे
गतेऽपि तनुतां काश्यं दुःखं यथा तथा कृच्छ्रान्मोक्षयति । वृथा वृद्धिरिति
दुःखयिष्यत इत्यर्थः ॥

“Having known the body of you who hold your friends dear [or who are dear to your friends] to be reduced to [the state of being the object of mere] stories, oh limbless one, the moon [lit., maker of night], with his [according to Sanskrit idiom, though ‘her’ would be expected in the English rendering] rising [now all] in vain, will give up his thinness with great difficulty, even after the dark fortnight has elapsed.—13.

हरितामणचारुबन्धनः कलपुंस्कोकिलशब्दसूचितः ।

यद् संप्रति कस्य बाणतां नवचूतप्रसवो गमिष्यति ॥ १४ ॥

हरितेति ॥ हरितं चारुं च । ‘वर्णो वर्णेन’ इति तत्पुरुषः । हरितामणं
चाह बन्धनं घृन्तं पुङ्गव्यं यस्य स तथोक्तः । कलेन मधुरेण पुंस्कोकिलशब्देन
पुरुषकोकिलनादेन सूचितोऽनुमापितश्च । चूतचर्वणकार्यत्वात्कलशब्दस्येति भावः ।
नवचूतप्रसवो नवचूतकुमुदं संप्रति कस्य बाणतो शरत्वं गमिष्यति चद् । अन्यस्य
पुण्यबाणस्याभावादिति भावः ॥

“ Say, to the state of [being] whose arrow, will now go the fresh mango-sprout, with its stalk [also punningly, the feathered end of the arrow], charming as it is green and reddish [and] indicated by the sweet notes of the male Kokila bird ?—14.

भलिपङ्क्तिरनेकशस्त्वया गुणकृत्ये धनुषो नियोजिता ।

विस्तैः करुणस्वनैरियं गुरुशोकामनुरोदित्रीव माम् ॥ १५ ॥

. भलीति ॥ त्वयानेकशो बहुशो धनुषः कामुकस्य गुणकृत्ये मीर्वाकार्ये गुण-
वत्कर्मणि च नियोजिताधिकृत्येयमलिपङ्क्तिः करुणस्वनैर्दीनस्वनैर्विस्तैः कूजितगुरुशोका
दुर्मरदुःखाम् । ‘गुरुस्तु गोपती श्रेष्ठे गुरौ पितरि दुर्मरे’ इति चाब्दान्वः ।
मामनुरोदित्रीव । उपसर्गात्सकर्मकत्वम् । ‘रुदादिभ्यः सार्वधातुके’ इतीडागमः ॥

“ The row of bees more than once appointed by you to the duty of [being] the string of your bow is, here (iyam), as though lamenting with me whose grief is heavy, with its hummings, possessed of piteous notes.—15.

प्रतिपद्य मनोहरं वपुः पुनरप्यादिश तावदुत्थितः ।

रतिदूतिपदेषु कोकिलां मधुरालापनिसर्गपण्डिताम् ॥ १६ ॥

प्रतिपद्येति ॥ तावत्पुनरपि मनोहरं वपुः शरीरं प्रतिपद्य प्राप्योत्थितः सन् ।
मधुरालापेषु प्रियोक्तिषु निसर्गपण्डितां स्वभावप्रवृत्ता कोकिली रतिदूतिपदेषु
सुरतश्रुतीस्थानेष्वप्यादिशतापय । प्रगल्भानामेव दीक्षाधिकार इति भावः ।
जीवन्तस्यापि दतीशब्दस्य छन्दोभङ्गभयाद्भदस्व । ‘अपि मायं मयं कुर्याच्छन्दोमन्त्रे
त्यजेत्रिभम्’ इति केचिन् । ‘उणादयो बहुलम्’ इति बहुलप्रहणाद्भदस्व इति बह्वचः ॥

“ Assuming (your) attractive form again, and rising up, [do you] just order the female Kokila, adept by nature [lit., naturally learned, nisargapandita] in [the art of uttering] sweet notes, to the offices (पदेषु) of female messengers in love [—matters]—16.

शिरसा प्रणिपत्य याचितान्युपगूढानि सवेपथूनि च । १५८ ॥

सुरतानि च तानि ते रहः स्मर संस्मृत्य न शान्तिरस्ति मे ॥ १७ ॥

शिरसेति ॥ हे स्मर, शिरसा प्रणिपत्य याचितानि सवेपथूनि सकम्पानि । 'द्वितोऽधुन्' इत्यधुप्रत्ययः । सात्विकान्तरोपलक्षणमेतत् । 'लम्भप्रलयरोमाद्याः खेदो वैवर्ण्यवेपथू । अश्रुवैस्वर्यमित्यथै सात्विकाः परिकीर्तिताः ।' इति । उपगूढान्यालिङ्गनानि च । नपुंसके भावे कः । तान्यनुभूतप्रकाराणि रह एकान्ते सुरतानि च संस्मृत्य मे शान्तिर्नास्ति । अत्र समानकर्तृकत्वं दुर्घटं समानक्रिया-पेक्षास्तीति केचित् ॥

"Having recalled [to my mind] those entreaties (lit., beggings) with prostration followed by bent head (शिरसा प्रणिपत्य) those embracings accompanied by tremor [or better, those embracings accompanied by tremor, begged of by you, with prostration followed by bent head] and those amorous sports of yours, in private, oh Smara, there is no peace for me.—17

रचितं रतिपण्डित त्वया स्वयमङ्गेषु ममेदमार्तवम् ।

ध्रियते कुसुमप्रसाधनं तव तच्छाद्य यपुर्न दृश्यते ॥ १८ ॥

रचितमिति ॥ हे रतिपण्डित रतिकुशल, त्वया ममाङ्गेष्वङ्गवेषु स्वयं रचितम् । ऋतुरस्य प्राप्त आर्तवं वासन्तम् । 'ऋतोरण्' इत्यणप्रत्ययः । कुसुमप्रसाधनं पुष्पाभरणमिदं ध्रियतेऽवतिष्ठते । 'धृन् अवस्थाने' इति धातोस्तीदादिकात्कर्तरि लट् । तव तत्प्रसाधकं चाह सुन्दरं वपुस्त्वं न दृश्यते ॥

"Here, oh learned in love, is being borne the seasonal decoration of flowers arranged on my limbs personally by you; that handsome form of yours [however,] is not [to be] seen !—18

विबुधैरसि यस्य दारुणैरसमाप्ते परिकर्मणि स्मृतः ।

तमिमं पुरु दक्षिणेतरं चरणं निर्मितरागमेदि मे ॥ १९ ॥

विबुधैरिति ॥ दाहणैः कस्यैः । प्रणान्तिके कर्मणि नियोगादिति भावः । विबुधैर्देवैः । अनभिज्ञत्वं च ध्वन्यते । यस्य मचरणस्य परिकर्मणि प्रसाधने । 'परिकर्म प्रसाधनम्' इत्यमरः । असमाप्ते सति स्पृतोऽसि तमिमं दाक्षिण्यं तं वामं मे चरणं निर्मितरागं रचितलासारागं कुर्वेद्वागच्छ ॥

"Come [and] make this [lit., other than the right] left foot of mine, [one] with its dying completed [lit., produced], this [foot], while yet the decoration of which—was incomplete, you were remembered by the cruel gods.—19.

✓ अहमेव पतङ्गवर्त्मना पुनरङ्गाभ्रयिणी भवामि ते ।

चतुरैः सुरकामिनीजनैः प्रिय यावन्न विलोभ्यसे दिवि ॥ २० ॥

अहमिति ॥ अहं पतङ्गवर्त्मना शलभमार्गेण । अग्निप्रवेशेनेत्यर्थः । 'पतङ्गः शलभे चाग्नौ मार्जारेऽर्के शरे खगे' इति वैजयन्ती । एत्यागत्य पुनस्तेऽङ्गाभ्रयि-
ण्युत्सङ्गवर्तिनी भवामि संप्रत्येव भविष्यामि । 'वर्तमानसामीप्ये वर्तमानवद्वा' इति लट् । हे प्रिय, दिवि स्वर्गे चतुरैः सुरकामिनीजनैरप्सरोगणीयां वन्न विलोभ्यसे विलोभयिष्यसे । 'यावत्पुराणिपातयोर्लट्' इति लट् ॥

"Coming by the path of [that is, laid down by] the moth, I shall be [one] resorting to your lap again, oh dear, so long as you are not lured away by the skilled hosts (janasāh) of the celestial damsels in heaven.—20

✓ मदनेन विनाकृता रतिः क्षणमात्रं किल जीवितेति मे ।

वचनीयमिदं व्यवस्थितं रमण त्वामनुयामि यद्यपि ॥ २१ ॥

मदनेनेति ॥ हे रमण, त्वामनुयामि यद्यप्यनुगमिष्याम्येव । 'वर्तमानसामीप्ये वर्तमानवद्वा' इति लट् । 'यद्यपीत्यवधारणे' इति केशवः । किंतु रतिर्मदनेन विनाकृता । विद्योजिता सतीत्यर्थः । सुप्सुपेति समासः । क्षणमात्रं जीविता किलेतीदं वचनीयं निन्दा मे यम व्यवस्थितं स्थिरमभूत् ॥

"Rati, bereft of Madana, they say (kila), lived [may be, just] for a moment, " this censure in regard to me, has

got itself firmly established, oh sweet, though, to be sure (yadyapi) I [shall] follow you [in death, immediately]. 21.

क्रियतां कथमन्त्यमण्डनं परलोकान्तरितस्य ते मया ।

सममेव गतोऽस्यतर्कितां गतिमङ्गेन च जीवितेन च ॥ २२ ॥

क्रियतामिति ॥ परलोकेऽन्तरितस्य व्यवहितस्य । मृतस्येत्यर्थः । ते तव मयान्त्यमण्डनं कथं केन प्रकारेण क्रियताम् । क्रियतामित्यत्र कामचारे लोट् बोध्यः । हुतदग्धस्य ते यथेच्छमण्डनमपि न संभवतीत्यर्थः । कुतः । अत्रेन च जीवितेन च समं सहैवातर्कितामविचारितां गतिं गतोऽसि । इह मृतशरीरमपि नास्ति कस्य मण्डनमिति भावः ॥

"How can the last decoration [i. e. funeral rites] of you screened from me by the other world, be effected by me? [For,] with your body and life simultaneously, you have reached a state (gati, lit., course), never before imagined.—22.

अनुतां नयतः स्मरामि ते शरमुत्सङ्गनिषण्णधनुनः ।

मधुना सह सस्मितां कथां नयनोपान्तयिलोकितां च यत् ॥ २३ ॥

अनुतामिति ॥ शरमुत्सङ्गनिषण्णधनुनं नयत उत्सङ्गे निषण्णमङ्गनं धनुर्वस्य तस्य । 'धनुर्वध' इत्यनङ्गदेशः । ते तव मधुना वगन्तेन सह । 'मधुर्दले वगन्ते च धन्वे च' इति विश्वः । सस्मितां कथामाद्यं तथा नयनोपान्तयि-
लोकितामपाह्नवीक्षणम् । त इत्यनुवृत्तिः । तव स्मरामि ॥

"[Well do] I remember the conversation, accompanied by a smile with Madhu [Spring], and [that] which [was a] glance through the corner of the eye of you, with the bow resting in your lap, as you were straightening [lit., leading to the condition of being straight] the arrow.—23.

क नु ते हृदयंगमः सखा कुसुमायोजितकार्मुको मधुः ।

न खलु प्ररुपा पिनाकिना गमितः सोऽपि सुहृद्गतां गतिम् ॥ २४ ॥

केति ॥ हृदयं गच्छतीति हृदयंगमो हृदः । खच्छकरणे 'गमेः सुप्युपसंख्या-
नम्' इति खच्छप्रत्ययः । 'अरुर्द्विषदजन्तस्य शुम्' इति मुमागमः । ते तव सखा
कुसुमैरायोजितमारचितं कार्मुकं येन कार्मुकनिर्माता मधुर्वसन्तः क नु क वा ।
गत इति शेषः । अथवा सोऽप्युग्ररुपा तीव्रकोपेण पिनाकिनेश्वरेण सुहृदा मदनेन
गतां प्राप्तां गतिम् । भस्मतामित्यर्थः । न गमितः खलु न प्रापितः किम् ।
'जिहासातुनये खलु' इत्यमरः ॥

"Where indeed is your bosom-friend, Madhu, who
fashioned [your] bow with flowers? May I know
(khalu), whether he too has not been made by the
possessor of Pināka [Lord Ś'ankara] of fierce anger, to reach
the state undergone by his friend ?"—24

अथ तैः परिदेविताक्षरैर्हृदये दिग्धशरैरिवाहतः ।

रतिमभ्युपपत्तुमातुरां मधुरात्मानमदर्शयत्पुरः ॥ २५ ॥

अथेति ॥ अथ तैः परिदेविताक्षरैर्विषाणवचनैर्हृदये दिग्धशरैर्विपलितमुखैः
शरैरिवाहतः सन् । 'विषाकै दिग्धलिप्तकै' इत्यमरः । मधुर्वसन्त आतुरामापन्नां
रतिमभ्युपपत्तुमनुग्रहीतुम् । आश्वासयितुमित्यर्थः । 'अभ्युपपत्तिरनुग्रहः' इत्य-
मरः । आत्मानं पुरोऽदर्शयत् । आविरभूदित्यर्थः ॥

Then Vasanta struck at heart by those words [lit., syllables]
of lamentation, as though by arrows smeared with poison
(dighdbhāh) showed himself up in front, to console the
distressed Rati.—25.

तमवेक्ष्य रुरोद सां भृशं स्तनसंवाधमुखे जघान च ।

स्वजनस्य हि दुःखमग्रतो विवृतद्वारमिवोपजायते ॥ २६ ॥

रतिमिति ॥ सा रतिस्तं मधुमवेक्ष्य दृष्ट्वा भृशं रुरोद । स्तनौ संवाप्य स्तनसं-
वाधम् । 'परिक्रिश्यमाने च' इति ञमुल् । रुरो जघान ताडितवती च ।

तथाहि । स्त्रजनस्याग्रतो दुःखं विवृतमपसारितं द्वारं कपाटं यस्य तदिवोपजायत
आविर्भवति । उच्छृङ्खलं प्रवर्तत इत्युत्प्रेक्षाभिप्रायः ॥

On seeing him, she wept excessively (bhria'am) and beat her bosom, [thereby] having pained her breasts (stana-sambādham). As is well known (hi), grief in the presence of one's relatives becomes as though [that] which has its doors thrown open.—26

इति चैनमुवाच दुःखिता सुहृदः पश्य वसन्त किं स्थितम् ।
तदिदं कणशो विकीर्यते पवनैर्भस्म कपोतकर्पूरम् ॥ २७ ॥

इतीति ॥ दुःखमस्याः संजातं दुःखिता । संजातदुःखेत्यर्थः । तारकादित्वा-
दितच् । सा रतिरेन वसन्तमित्युवाच च । चकारः पूर्वोक्तसमुपचार्यः । हे
वसन्त, पश्य सुहृदस्त्वत्संगस्य किं स्थितं किमुपस्थितं तदिदं कपोतकर्पूरं पारा-
वतशबलं कणशक्षूर्णोभूतम् । अल्लसार्थोच्छ्रयत्ययः । भस्म पवनैर्विकीर्यते विक्षि-
प्यते । पश्य भस्मीभूतस्ते सुहृदित्यर्थः ॥

And thus did the afflicted [Rati] speak to this [Vasanta]
“ See, oh Vasanta, what has remained of [your] friend !
Those ashes here, variegated (karburam) like a pigeon
are being scattered about in particles by breezes [of
wind]. ”—27

अयि संप्रति देहि दर्शनं स्मर पर्युत्सुक एव माधवः ।
दयितास्यनवस्थितं नृणां न मनु प्रेम चलं सुहृज्जने ॥ २८ ॥

अयीति ॥ अयि स्मर, संप्रति दर्शनं देहि । एव माधवो वसन्तः पर्यु-
त्सुकस्त्वद्दर्शनोत्कण्ठितः । त्वामप्यनादृतवतोऽप्य को माधव इत्याशङ्कपाह—
नृणां पुत्राणां दयितानामुप्रेमानवस्थितमम्भिरम् । चलमित्यर्थः । सुहृज्जने प्रेम
मु न चलं मनु ।

“ Ah ! vouchsafe your sight [at least] now ; oh Smara,
here this Mādhava is all eager (paryutsuka) [to see you].

The affection of men, not [quite] firm towards their beloveds, is indeed not fickle towards their [male] friends.—28.

ईदृशाः सुदृढः ऋति न सन्तीत्याशङ्क्य न कोऽप्रीत्याह—

अमुना ननु पार्श्ववर्तिना जगदाशां ससुरासुरं तव ।

विसतन्तुगुणस्य कारितं धनुषः पेलवपुष्पपत्रिणः ॥ २९ ॥

अमुनेति ॥ ननु मदन, पार्श्ववर्तिना सहचरेणामुना वसन्तेन समुदासुरं सुरासुरसहितं जगद्विसतन्तुगुणस्य मृणालमूत्रमीर्वाकस्य पेलवानि कोमलानि पुष्पाण्येव पत्रिणो बाणा यस्य तस्य तव धनुष आशां कारितम् । जगदाशां कारितेत्यर्थः । 'हृद्योरन्यतरस्याम्' इति जगतः कर्मत्वम् ॥

" Indeed, by this one standing by your side, the world along with the gods and the demons, was caused to carry out (*kāritam*) the command of your bow, with tender flowers for its arrows and fibres of lotus-stalks as its string.—29.

गत एव न ते निवर्तते स सखा दीप इवानिलाहतः ।

अहमस्य दशेष पश्य मामविपद्यव्यसनेन धूमिताम् ॥ ३० ॥

गत इति ॥ स ते सखानिलाहतो वायुताडितो दीप इव गत एव न निवर्तते । अहमस्य दीपायमानस्य दशा वर्तिरिव । तिष्ठामीति शेषः । 'दशा वर्तावत्स्थायां वल्लान्ते स्युर्दशा अपि' इति विश्वः । कुतः । अविपद्यव्यसनेन सौद्रुमशक्यदुःखप्रकोपेण धूमितां संजातधूमां मां पश्य । धूमवत्त्वाच्च दीप-दशाराम्यं धूमस्य व्यसनमेवेत्यर्थः ॥

" Definitely (*eva*) gone is that friend of yours. Like a lamp struck by [a gale of] wind, he does not return. [am] like the wick of this [lamp]. Look at me [made] smoky by the unbearable calamity [owing to my lord's departure to the yonder world].—30.

कर्तव्यश्चायमर्थः शीणमित्याह—

शशिना सह याति कौमुदी सह मेघेन तडित्प्रलीयते ।

प्रमदाः पतिवर्त्मगा इति प्रतिपन्नं हि विचेतनैरपि ॥ ३३ ॥

शशिनेति ॥ कौमुदी चन्द्रिका शशिना सह याति । शशिन्यस्तामिति स्वयं नश्यतीत्यर्थः । तडित्सौदामिनी मेघेन सह प्रलीयते प्रणश्यति । कर्तरि लट् । प्रमदाः स्त्रियः पतिवर्त्म गच्छन्तीति पतिवर्त्मगाः पतिमार्गानुगामिन्य इत्येताद्विचेतनैः । अविचेकिभिरपीत्यर्थः । नायस्तु 'पृथग्जनैः' इति पपाठः । प्रतिपन्नं ज्ञातम् । 'अलवणा यथागूः' 'अनुदरा कन्या' इतिवदल्पत्वामिप्रायेण विचेतनैरपीति निर्देशः । पतिवर्त्मगा इत्यत्र स्मृतिः—'आतर्ति मुदिते हृष्टा प्रीयिते मलिना कृशा । मृते भ्रियेत या पत्यौ सा स्त्री ज्ञेया पतिप्रता ॥' इति ॥

With the moon goes the moonlight ; with the cloud is the lightening dissolved. That ladies follow the path of their husbands is really (hi), 'understood by even the insentient.—33.

अमुनैव कपायितस्तनी सुभगेन प्रियगाग्रभस्मना ।

नवपल्लवसंस्तरे यथा रचयिष्यामि तनुं विभावसौ ॥ ३४ ॥

अमुनेति ॥ अमुना पुरोवर्तिना सुभगेन शोभनेन प्रियगाग्रभस्मनैव । एवकारो मण्डनान्तरनिवृत्त्यर्थः । कपायितस्तनी रञ्जितस्तनी । 'एगे कापे कपायोऽस्त्री निर्यासे सौरभे रसे' इति वैजयन्ती । नवपल्लवसंस्तरे यथा नवपल्लवतल्प इव विभावसौ बह्वी तनुं शरीरं रचयिष्यामि निधास्यामीत्यर्थः ॥

"With breasts decorated by these fine (sublime) ashes of the body of my dear [husband], I shall place my body on the fire, as on a bed of fresh sprouts. 34.

कुसुमास्तरणे सहायतां बहुशः सौम्य गतस्त्वमाचयोः ।

कुश संप्रति तायदाशु मे प्रणिपाताञ्जलियाचितञ्चिताम् ॥ ३५ ॥

कुसुमेति ॥ हे सौम्य साधो, 'त्वमाचयो रतिपद्मबाणयोर्बहुशो बहुवारं कुसुमास्तरणे पुष्पशयने सहायतां गतः । संप्रति प्रणिपाताञ्जलिना याचितः ।

अञ्जलिपूर्वकं प्रार्थितः सन्नित्यर्थः । आहु मे चितां काष्ठचयं कुरु कुरुष्व । यथेह
तयामुत्रोपकर्तव्यं मित्रेणेत्यर्थः ॥

"Many a time have you acted as a helper [lit., gone to the state of one that helps], oh gentle one, in the [matter of the] arrangement of a flower-bed, for us two. Do now, requested [by me] with hands folded as a mark of salutation, quickly arrange the [funeral] pyre for me.—35.

तदनु ज्वलनं मदपितं त्वरयेद्दक्षिणयानयीजनैः ।

विदितं सद्यु ते यथा स्मरः क्षणमप्युत्सहते न मां विना ॥ ३६ ॥

तदन्विति ॥ तथिताकरणमन्वनन्तरं मध्यस्थितं मदपितं ज्वलनमग्निं दक्षिणवा-
तवीजनैर्मलयमाहनसंचारणैस्त्वरयेः त्वरितं ज्वलयेत्यर्थः । त्वराहेतुमाह—ते तव
विदितं सद्यु । 'मतिशुद्धिपूजार्थेभ्यश्च' इति वर्तमाने क्तः । तद्योगात्कर्त्तरि पठ्यते ।
यथा येन प्रकारेण स्मरौ मां विना क्षणमपि नोत्सहते न हृष्यति । तथा त्वया
ज्ञातमेवेत्यर्थः ॥

"And after that, hasten [the burning of] the fire placed on me by the fannings with the southern breezes. Indeed, to you it is [well] known that (yathā) Smara does not feel happy (na utabate), even for a moment, without me.—36.

इति चापि विधाय दीयतां सलिलस्याञ्जलिरेक एव नौ ।

अविमज्ज परत्र ते मया सदितः पास्यति ते स बान्धवः ॥ ३७ ॥

इतीति ॥ अपि केत्येवं विधाय कृत्वा नावावाभ्यामेक एव सलिलम्याञ्जलिदी-
यताम् । समप्रति स ते बान्धवः मया परत्र परत्येके मया सदितोऽवि-
मज्ज पास्यति ॥

"Furthermore (cha api) having done this (tu), let just a cavity-ful of water be given us. That friend of yours,

in the other world, without dividing [into twain as his share and my share], will drink, that [very water], along with me (*mayā sahitaḥ*)—37.

परलोकविधौ च माधव स्मरमुद्दिश्य विलोलपल्लवाः ।

निवपेः सहकारमञ्जरीः प्रियंचूतप्रसवो हि ते सखा ॥ ३८ ॥

परलोकेति ॥ किंच हे माधव वसन्त, परलोकविधौ पिण्डोदकादिकर्मणि स्मरमुद्दिश्य विलोलाः पल्लवा यासु ताः सहकारमञ्जरीधूतवल्लीनिवपेदेहि । हि यस्मात्कारणात् सखा स्मरः प्रियाधूतप्रसवा यस्य स तथोक्तः ॥

“And in rites with regard to the other world, you will offer (as libation, lit., or as a funeral offering), oh Mādhava, mango-blossoms with their sprouts waving (*vilolapallavāḥ*). For, your friend [is one] who holds dear [all] mango-produce.”—38.

इति देहविमुक्तये स्थितां रतिमाकाशमया सरस्यती ।

शफरीं ह्रदशोषविह्वलां प्रथमा वृष्टिरितान्वकम्पयत् ॥ ३९ ॥

इतीति ॥ इति देहस्य विमुक्तये शरीरस्य विसर्गाय स्थिताम् । कृतनिश्चयामिति यावत् । रतिमाकाशमया सरसत्यशरीरा वाग्प्रदशोषविह्वला ह्रदस्य जलाधारस्य शोषेण विह्वला शफरी प्रीष्टीम् । ‘प्रीष्टी तु शफरी द्वयोः’ इत्यमरः । प्रथमा वृष्टिर्वर्षमिवान्वकम्पयदनुकम्पितवती सदयमुवाचेत्यर्थः । ‘कृपा दयानुकम्पा स्यात्’ इत्यमरः ॥

A voice (rising) from the sky, took pity on Rati, (who) thus stood [prepared] for the abandonment of her body, like the first shower [of rain, after summer, taking pity on] a female Shaphara [a variety of fish], distressed by the drying up of the pond [she inhabits],—39.

कुसुमायुधपति दुर्लभस्तव भर्ता न विराद्भविष्यति ।

शृणु येन स कर्मणा गतः शलभत्वं हरलोचनाचिपि ॥ ४० ॥

कुसुमेति ॥ हे कुसुमायुधपत्नि स्ते, तव मर्ता विराधिरं दुर्लभो न भविष्यति । कित्वचिरमेव सुलभो भविष्यतीत्यर्थः । किंच शृणु । 'तत्कर्मति शेषः । येन कर्मणा स ते मर्ता हरलोचनम्यार्चिज्वाला । 'ज्वाला भासो न पुंस्पर्चिः' इत्यमरः । तस्मिंश्चलभत्वं पतद्भत्वं मतः । 'समौ पतद्भालर्भा' इत्यमरः ॥

" [Oh] spouse of [the] flower-weaponed [god], your husband will not be difficult to be secured, for you, long. Listen to [that] act by which he was reduced to [lit., went to] the condition of a moth, in the flame (archis) from S'ankara's eye.—40

तदेव कर्मोपाचष्टे—

अभिलाषमुदीरितेन्द्रियः स्वसुतायामकरोत्प्रजापतिः ।

अथ तेन निगृह्य विक्रियामभिषत्तः फलमेतदन्यभूत् ॥ ४१ ॥

अभिलाषेति ॥ उदीरितेन्द्रियः प्रेरितेन्द्रियः । स्मरेणेति शेषः । प्रजापति-
र्ब्रह्मा स्वसुतायां सरस्वत्यामभिलाषमनुरागमकरोत् । अथ तेन प्रजापतिना
विक्रियामिन्द्रियविकारं निगृह्य निरुप्याभिषत्तः सन् । एतत्फलं दाहात्मकं स्वकर्म-
फलमन्यभूत् ॥

" The Lord of Creatures [i. e. Brahmā], with his [sexual] sense impelled (uddṛita) [by Madana] entertained a [far from natural] longing towards his own daughter. And [subsequently] cursed by him, on having controlled the abnormal activity (vikṛiyā) [of his senses],— this [one i. e. Madana], experienced this fruit.—41.

शापावधिरपि तेनैवोक्त इत्याह श्लोकद्वयेन—

परिजेप्यनि पार्वतीं यदा तपसा तत्प्रवर्णकृतो हरः ।

उपलब्धसुरास्तदा स्मरं घणुषा स्वेन नियोजयिष्यति ॥ ४२ ॥

इति चाह स धर्मेयाचितः स्मरशापावधिदां सरस्वतीम् ।

अशनेरमृतस्य चोभयोर्वशिनश्चाम्बुधराश्च योनयः ॥ ४३ ॥

परिणेष्यतीति । इतीति च ॥ धर्मेण धर्माख्यप्रजापतिना याचितः प्रार्थितः

स भगवान्ब्रह्मा । तपसा कारणेन तस्यां पार्वत्यां प्रवर्णीकृतोऽभिमुखीकृतो हरः शिवो यदा पार्वतीं परिणेष्यत्युद्दिश्यति तदोपलब्धमुखः प्राप्तानन्दः सन् । स्मरं कामं स्नेहं वपुषा नियोजयिष्यति संगमयिष्यति । इत्येवं स्मरशापस्यावधिदाम-
वसानदायिनीं सरस्वतीं याचं चाह । एवं शापावधिमप्युक्तवानित्यर्थः । ननु
तथा कुन्दस्य कयमीदृशी शान्तिरत आह—वशिनो त्रिवेन्द्रियाश्चाम्बुधराश्चाशनेर-
मृतस्य चैत्युभयोर्योनयः प्रभवाः । वशिपक्षेऽशन्यमृतशब्दौ कोपप्रसादपरी ।
अन्यत्र वैद्युतामुदकपरी । युग्मकम् ॥

"When Hara will marry Pārvatī, [being] made to incline favourably towards her by the austerities [she will practise -], (then, tadā) [after] having secured happiness [arising out of love or generally speaking], [he] i. e. Śaṅkara], will cause him to be endowed with [lit. united with] his body [again]. And -thus (tu cha) he uttered the speech, giving the limit (avadhī) of the curse on Madana, being begged of [to do so] by Dharma [a Creator]. Of both aśani [anger and thunderbolt and amṛita [favour and water], the self-controlled ones (varīṇah) and the clouds [lit., holders of water] are sources.—42 & 43.

तदिदं परिरक्ष शोभने भवितव्यप्रियसंगमं यपुः ।

रविपीतजला तपात्यये पुनरोधेन हि युज्यते नदी ॥ ४४ ॥

तदिति ॥ हे शोभने, तत्तत्प्राकाराणां दूषितम्भो भविष्यन्निप्रयसंगमो यस्य तत्तयोक्तमिदं यपुः परिरक्ष । तथाहि । रविपीतजला नदी तपात्यये प्राप्ति ।
'प्राप्ति तपात्यये' इति ह्यनुग्रहः । पुनरोधेन प्रवाहेन युज्यते गंगच्छने हि ॥

"Preserve well, therefore (tat), oh good lady, this body of yours, with its union with the dear [one] destined

(bhavitavya) [i. e. sure] to take place. For, a river with the water evaporated [lit., drunk in] by the sun, is united again with the stream (or current), at the end of Summer [lit. heat].—44”

इत्थं रतेः किमपि भूतमदृश्यरूपं ॥ ४४ ॥

मन्दीचकार मरणव्यवसायबुद्धिम् ।

तत्प्रत्ययाद्य कुसुमायुधबन्धुरेना-

माभ्यासयत्सुचरितार्थपदैर्यचोभिः ॥ ४५ ॥

इत्थमिति ॥ इत्थमनेन प्रकारेणादृश्यरूपं किमपि भूतं कश्चित्प्राणी । ‘युक्ते क्षमादायुक्ते भूतं श्रण्यतीते समे त्रिषु’ इत्यमरः । रतेर्मदनदाराणां मरण-व्यवसायबुद्धिं मरणोद्योगबुद्धिं मन्दीचकार । निवारयामासेत्यर्थः । ‘मूढाल्पा-पटुनिर्भाग्या मन्दाः’ इत्यमरः । अथ कुसुमायुधबन्धुर्वसन्तश्च तत्प्रत्ययात्तन्मि-न्भूते विश्वासान् । ‘प्रत्ययोऽधीनशपयज्ञानविश्वासहेतुषु’ इत्यमरः । एतां रतिं मुहुः चरितार्थानि पदानि येषां सर्वचोभिर्वाक्यैराश्वासयत् । सर्वथा ते देवता-प्रसादाद्विप्रसंगमो भविष्यतीत्यादिवचनैरस्या दुःखमपाचकारेत्यर्थः ॥

• Some indescribable being, of an invisible form, thus slackened [lit. made dull] the thought (buddhi) of the act (vyavasāya) of death [inflicted on oneself] of Rati. And owing to his confidence in that [being], the friend of the flower-weaponed [god] comforted this [one, i. e. Rati] by utterances (vacobhūb) the words in which had their meaning well carried out.—45

अथ मदनवधूरुपप्लवान्तं व्यसनकृत्वा परिपालयांबभूव । ॥ ४५ ॥

शशिन इव दिवातनस्य लेखा किरणपरिक्षयधूसरा प्रदोषम् ॥ ४६ ॥

अथेति ॥ अपानन्तरं व्यसनेन दुःखेन कृत्वा मदनवधू रतिरुपप्लवान्तं विप-दवधिं किरणपरिक्षयेण धूसरा मलिना दिवातनस्य दिनभवस्य । ‘शायंचिरम्’ इत्यादिना टपुप्रत्ययः । शशिनचन्द्रस्य लेखा प्रदोषं रात्रिमिव परिपालयांबभूव

प्रतीक्षाचक्रे । पुष्पिताम्रावृत्तम्—‘अयुजि नयुगरेफतो यकारो युजि ॥ नजौ
जरगाश्च पुष्पिताम्रा’ इति लक्षणात् ॥

The wife of Madana, emaciated with grief, awaited the end of her calamity (*upaplava*) like the digit of the moon by day, dusty on account of an all round reduction (परिक्षय) of rays, [awaiting the arrival of] the fore—part of the night.—46.

इति श्रीमन्महामहोपाध्यायकोलाचलमहिनाथसरिविरचितया संजीविनी-
समाख्यया व्याख्यया समेतः श्रीकालिदासकृतौ कुमारसंभवे
महाकाव्ये रतिविलासो नाम चतुर्थः सर्गः ।

पञ्चमः सर्गः ।

तथा समक्षं दहता मनोभवं पिनाकिना भग्नमनोरथा सती ।

निनिन्द रूपं हृदयेन पार्वती प्रियेषु सौभाग्यफला हि चाद्यता ॥१॥

तथेति ॥ पर्वतस्यापत्यं स्त्री पार्वती तथा तेन प्रधरेणाश्वोः समीपे समक्षं पुतः । 'अध्ययं विभक्तिसमीपसमुद्दि-' इत्यादिनाप्यधीभावः । मनोभवं मन्मथं दहता भस्मीकुर्वता पिनाकिनेश्वरेण मग्नः खण्डितो मनोरयोऽभिलाषो यस्याः सा तपोष्ठा सती हृदयेन मनसा रूपं सौन्दर्यं निनिन्द । धिक्चे रूपं यद्धरमनोहरणाय नालमिति गर्हितवतीत्यर्थः । युक्तं चैतदित्याह—तथाहि । चाद्यता सौन्दर्यं प्रियेषु पतिषु विषये सौभाग्यं प्रियवाङ्मयं फलं यस्याः सा तपोष्ठा । सौन्दर्यस्य तदेव फलं यद्भक्तसौभाग्यं लभ्यते । नो चेद्विफलं तदिति भावः । अस्मिन्सर्गे वंशस्थं वृत्तम्—'जती तु वंशस्यमुदीरितं जरी' इति लक्षणात् ॥

Pārvati being (sati) [one] with her cherished desires frustrated by the possessor of the Pināka [i. e. god Ś'ankara], thus burning the mind-born [Kāma] before [her very] eyes, found fault with [lit., blamed, censured] her beauty (rūpa) in her mind; for charm [lit., the state of being charming, ebhāratā] has good luck [or success] with the beloved as its fruit.—I.

इयेष सा कर्तुमयन्ध्यरूपतां समाधिमास्थाय तपोभिरात्मनः ।

अवाप्यते वा फयमन्यथा ह्यर्थं तथाविधं प्रेम पतिश्च तादृशः ॥२॥

इयेवेति ॥ सा पार्वती समाधिमिकाप्रतामास्थायैवलम्ब्य तपोभिर्विश्रमण-नियमैः कर्णभूतैरात्मनः स्वाभावग्न्यरूपतां सफलसौन्दर्यं कर्तुमिदमेच्छति स्म । तपसा शिवं यशोर्कतुमुपुच्छेत्यर्थः । अन्यथा ततोऽन्यप्रकारेण कथं वा तद्व्ययम-वाप्यते । किं तद्व्ययम् । तथाभूता विधा प्रकाशे यस्य तत्तथाविधं प्रेम स्नेहः येनार्थादहदा हरस्य मवेदिनि भावः । तादृशः पतिश्च । यो मृग्युजय इति

भावः । द्रयमेव खलु स्त्रीणामपेक्षितं यद्गर्तृवाङ्मयं जीवद्गर्तृकत्वं चेति । तद्य तपश्चर्मकसाध्यमिति निश्चिन्तयेत्यर्थः । अत्र मनुः—'यदुष्करं यदुत्तमं यदुर्गं यद्य दुस्तरम् । तत्सर्वं तपसा प्राप्यं तपो हि दुरतिक्रमम् ॥' इति ॥

She desired to accomplish (kartum) [in] her own [case], the state of having beauty [that was] not [altogether] fruitless, by austerities, after having resorted to (āsthāya) the concentration [of her mental powers, samādhi]; or how is the pair secured otherwise [viz.,—] love of that [noble] kind, and a husband of that distinction [lit., of that type] ?—2.

निशम्य चैनां तपसे कृतोद्यमां सुतां गिरिशप्रतिसक्तमानसाम् ।

उवाच मेना परिरम्य वक्षसा निवारयन्ती महतो मुनिमताम् ॥३॥

निशम्येति ॥ मेना मेनका च गिरिशप्रतिष्कमानसा इत्युक्तवित्तां तपसे तपश्चरणाय कृतोद्यमां कृतोद्योगां सुतां निशम्य श्रुत्वा चैनां पार्वती वक्षसा परिरम्या-
लिङ्गप महतो मुनिमतास्तपसी निवारयन्त्युवाच । मुनिमतादित्यत्र यद्यपि मुनिमतस्य मेनकाया अतीप्सितत्वात् 'वारणार्णामासीत्सितः' इति तापादानस्य तथापि कृतोद्यमामिति मानसप्रवेशोक्तत्वात् 'धुवमपायेऽग्नदानम्' इत्युपादानत्वमेव स्यात् । यथाह भाष्यकारः—'यद्य भिक्ष्या संश्रप्य निवर्तते तद्य धुवमपायेऽग्नदानमिति प्रसिद्धम्' इति ॥

Having learnt about [lit., heard] her daughter, who had her mind firmly attached to [the lord of mountain i. e.] S'ankara, [and] who had started her efforts for [practising] penance, Menā [Pārvatī's mother] embracing her close to [lit., by] her bosom, [with the purpose of] dissuading her from the rigorous (mahatah) vow of an ascetic, said—3.

सामान्यनिषेधमुक्त्वा विशेषनिषेधमाह—

मनीषिताः सन्ति शृङ्गेषु द्रव्यतास्तपः क. पत्से कञ्च तापके यपुः ।

पदं सदेत भ्रमरस्य पेल्लयं शिरीषपुष्पं न पुनः पतन्निषणः ॥ ४ ॥

मनीषिता इति ॥ हे वत्से, मनस ईषिता इष्टा मनीषिताः । शकन्वादि-
त्वात्साधुः । देवताः शच्यादयो गृहेषु सन्ति । त्वं ता आराधयेति शेषः ।
तपः क तवेदं तावकम् । 'युष्मदस्मदोरन्यतरस्यां स्य' इत्यणप्रत्ययः । 'तवक-
ममकावेकवचने' इति तवकादेशः । वपुश्च क । तथाहि । पेलवं मृदुलं शिरीष
पुष्पं भ्रमरस्य मृत्स्य पदं पदस्थितिं सहेत । पतत्रिणः पुनः पत्रिणस्तु पदं न
सहेत । अतिसौकुमार्यादिव्योपभोगयोग्यं ते वपुर्न दारुणतपःक्षममित्यर्थः । अत्र
दृष्टान्तालंकारः ॥

"In the house, [there] are (santi) deities desired by the
mind [Or with 'मनीषितायाचं' as the reading, Worship
the deities at home for (the obtainment of) the mind's
desire]. Dear child, where [is] penance and where this
[frail] body of 'yours ? The delicate, शिरीष flower, may
bear the foot of a bee, but not certainly [lit., again punish]
that of a winged being [i. e. a bird] ."—4

इति ध्रुवेच्छामनुशासती सुतां शशाक मेना न नियन्तुमुद्यमात् ।
क ईप्सितार्थस्थिरनिश्चयं मनः पयश्च निष्ठाभिमुखं प्रतीपयेत् ॥५॥ ।

इतीति ॥ इत्येवमनुशासत्युपदिशन्ती मेना ध्रुवेच्छां स्थिरम्यवसायां सुतां
पार्वतीमुद्यमादुद्योगात्तपोलक्षणाजियन्तुं निवारयितुं न शशाक समर्था नाभूत् ।
तथाहि । ईप्सितार्थं इष्टार्थं स्थिरनिश्चयं मनो निष्ठाभिमुखं पयश्च कः प्रतीपयेत्प्र-
तिकूलयेत् । प्रतिनिवर्तयेदित्यर्थः । निम्नप्रवर्णं पय इवेष्टार्थाभिनिविष्टं मनो
दुर्वारमिति भावः । अत्र दीपवानुप्राणिनोऽप्यान्तरन्यासालंकारः ॥

Menakā, thus instructing her daughter of a firm desire,
was not able to keep [lit., restrain, control] her from her
undertaking [or resolve]. Who would cause to run in the
opposite direction (*pratipayet*), the mind with its resolve
firm in regard to the object that is desired, and water
flowing in the direction of a lower level ?—5

कदाचिदासघ्नसखीमुखेन सा मनोरथश्वं पितरं मनस्विनी ।

अयाचतारण्यनिवासमात्मनः फलोदयान्ताय तपःसमाधये ॥६॥

कदाचिविति ॥ अथ कदाचिन्मनस्विनी स्थिरचित्ता सा पार्वती मनोरथश्वम-
भिलाषामिहं पितरं हिमवन्तमासन्नसख्यात्तसखी सैव सुखमुपायः । 'मुखं निःस-
रणे वक्त्रे प्रारम्भोपाययोरपि' इति विश्वः । तेन फलोदयः फलोत्पत्तिरन्तोऽवधि-
र्यस्य तस्मै 'तपःसमाधये तपोनियमार्यमात्मनः स्वस्वारण्यनिवासं वनवासमया-
चत । 'बुद्ध्याच्-' इत्यादिना द्विकर्मकत्वम् ॥

Once, that spirited (manasvini) [Pārvati], begged of
her father who was aware of [her] heart's desire, [permi-
ssion for] her (ātmanah) residence in 'the forest, for
concentration [of mental powers] for penance with the
winning (udaya, lit., rise) of the fruit as its end, through the
medium [lit. the mouth] of her female friend [who stood]
close by,—6.

अथानुरूपाभिनिवेशतोपिणा कृतान्म्यनुज्ञा गुरुर्या गरीयसा ।

प्रजासु पश्चात्प्रथितं तदाख्यया जगाम गौरी शिखरं शिखण्डिमत् ॥७॥

अथेति ॥ अथ गौर्यनुरूपेण योग्येनाभिनिवेशेनापदेन नुम्यतीति तथोक्तेन
गरीयसा पूज्यतमेन गुरुर्या पित्रा कृतान्म्यनुज्ञा तपः कुर्विति कृतानुमतिः सती
पश्चात्तपःसिद्धपुत्तरकार्लं प्रजासु जनेषु तदाख्यया तस्या गौर्याः संशया प्रथितम् ।
गौरीशिखरमिति प्रसिद्धमित्यर्थः । शिखण्डिमत् । न तु हिंसप्राग्निपुरमिति
भावः । शिखरं शृङ्गं जगाम गौरी ॥

Then, with the permission granted by the noble (gariyas)
father, having gratification at [her] firm attachment to a
worthy [anurūpa person, as her husband], Gaurī went to
the peak, seeming with peacocks, celebrated later among
men (prajāsu) after her name (ākhyā) [as Gaurī's ikhara,
Gaurī's Peak].—7.

विमुच्य सा हारमहार्यनिश्चया विलोलयष्टिप्रविलुप्तचन्दनम् ।

वयन्ध बालारुणवभ्रु वल्कलं पयोधरोत्सेधविशीर्णसंहति ॥ ८ ॥

विमुच्येति ॥ अहार्यनिश्चयानिवार्यनिश्चया सा गौरी विलोलाभिधलाभिर्य-
ष्टिभिः प्रतिसरैः प्रमुष्टं चन्दनं स्तनान्तरगतं येन तं तथोक्तं हारं मुक्तावली
विमुच्य विहाय बालारुणवभ्रु बालार्कपिङ्गलं पयोधरयोः स्तनयोस्तसेधेनोच्छ्रायेण
विशीर्णं विघटिता संहतिरवयवसंश्लेषो यस्य तत्तथोक्तं वल्कलं कण्ठलम्बि स्तनोत्त-
रीयभूतं वयन्ध । धारयामासेत्यर्थः ॥

She, with a resolve not to be shaken, having taken off (vimuchya) [her] necklace, that caused the sandal paste [applied to her breasts] to be rubbed away by its unsteady (vilola) strings, tied a bark (garment), tawny (babhru) like the young sun, with its contact [with the] body (samhati) loosened (विशीर्णं lit., shattered) by the protuberance of [her] breasts.—8.

यथा प्रसिद्धैर्मधुरं शिरोरुहैर्जटाभिरप्येयमभूत्तदाननम् ।

न पट्पदध्रेणिभिरेव पङ्कजं सदीपलासङ्गमपि प्रकाशते ॥ ९ ॥

पथेति ॥ तस्या देव्या आननं तदाननं प्रसिद्धैर्भूयितः । 'प्रसिद्धी प्ल्यात-
भूयिनी' इत्यमरः । रोहन्तीति रुहाः । 'इगुपधस्ताप्रीकिरः कः' इति
कप्रत्ययः । शिरसि रुहान्नैः शिरोरुहैर्मूर्धभ्रियंया मधुरं प्रियमभूत् । 'स्वा-
दुप्रिया तु मधुरा' इत्यमरः । जटाभिरप्येवं मधुरमभूत् । तथाहि पङ्कजं
पद्मं पट्पदध्रेणिभिर्भ्रमरपट्टिभिरेव न किंतु सह दीपलासङ्गेन सदीपलासङ्गमपि ।
'तेन सदेति तुल्ययोगे' इति बहुव्रीहिः । प्रकाशते । दीपतेनापि शोभत
एवेत्यर्थः ॥

The face of that [Pārvati] was as much (evam) sweet with the matted hair, as (yathā) with the hair well decorated (prasiddha). A lotus appears beautiful [lit. shines brightly] not only with the rows of bees, but even [when it is] associated with moss clinging [to it].—9.

प्रतिक्षणं सा कृतरोमविक्रियां व्रताय मौञ्जीं त्रिगुणां बभार याम् ।
अकारि तत्पूर्वनिबद्धया तया सरागमस्या रशनागुणास्पदम् ॥१०॥

प्रतीति ॥ सा देवी प्रतिक्षणं क्षणे क्षणे कृतरोमविक्रियां पादप्यात्कृतरोमायां
त्रिगुणां त्रिरावृत्ता यो मौञ्जी मुञ्जगंयी मेखला व्रताय तपसे बभार । तदेव
पूर्वं प्रथमं यस्य तत्पूर्वं यथा तथा निबद्धया तया मौञ्ज्यास्या देव्या रशना-
गुणस्यास्पदं स्थानं जपनम् । सह रागेण सरागं खलोहितमकारि कृतम् ।
सीकुमार्योतिशयादिति भावः ॥

The string of munja grass with three threads [in it],
which she wore for [carrying out] the vow, [and] which
made a change [in the normal state] of her hair, [i. e.
caused her to experience horripilation] every moment,—
by that [string] worn [lit., bound] for that (tat) the
[very] first time (pūrva), the seat of the strings of
[her] girdle, was made red [lit., associated with
redness].—10.

विमृष्टरागादधराभिवर्तितः स्तनाङ्गरागारुणिताच्च कन्दुकात् ।
कुशाङ्कुरादानपरिक्षताङ्गुलिः कृतोऽक्षसूत्रप्रणयौ तया करः ॥११॥

विमृष्टेति ॥ तया देव्याः विमृष्टरागात्त्यक्तलाक्षारसरजनादधरादधरोष्ठाभिव-
र्तितः । 'विमृष्टरागात्' इति पाठे नितरां त्यक्तलाक्षाररागान् । रागत्यागेन
निष्प्रयोजनत्वादिति भावः । तथा स्तनाङ्गरागेण्यरुणितादङ्गुलीरुनान् । पतनसमये
तस्य स्तनयोः परोषादिति भावः । कन्दुकाच्च निवर्तितः । कुशाङ्कुराणामादानेन
स्वनेन परिक्षता मणिना अङ्गुलयो यस्य स तयोक्तः करः पाणिरससूत्रप्रणयक्ष-
मालासाहचरः कृतः ॥

By her, her hand turned away from the lower lip, which
had given up [its] redness [the result of painting with
the lac dye], [and] from the ball [rendered] reddish
(भङ्ग) on account of the unguent of the breasts
[with which it came into contact], with its fingers

severely (pari) pricked because of the plucking of Kus'a grass, was made a lover of rosary beads [i. e. was kept busy counting the beads of the rosary].—11. :

महार्हशय्यापरिवर्तनच्युतैः स्वकेशपुष्पैरपि या स्म दूयते ।
अंशेत सा बाहुलतोपधायिनी निपेदुपी स्थण्डिल एव केवले ॥१२॥

महार्हेति ॥ महानर्हां मूल्यं यस्याः सा महार्हां श्रेष्ठा या शय्या तस्यां परिवर्तनेन लण्ठनेन च्युतैर्ग्रंथैः स्वकेशपुष्पैरपि या देवी दूयते स्म किंयति स्म । पुष्पाधिकर्साकुमायादिति भावः । सा देवी बाहुलतामुपधत्त उपधानी-करोतीति बाहुलतोपधायिनी सती केवले संस्तरणरहिते स्थण्डिले भूमावेवांशेत शमितयती । तथा निपेदुप्युपविष्टा च । 'कमुध' इति कमुः । 'उगितध' इति ङीप् । भूमावेव शयनादिन्यवहारो न जातूपरीत्यर्थः ॥

She who used to be pained even by the flowers from her (own sva) hair, dropped by [reason of] rollings on the costly bed, [now] sat [literally, sitting] [and] slept on just [eva] bare (kevale) ground (sthandila) with the creeper-like arm as [her] pillow.—12.

पुनर्ग्रहीतुं नियमस्थया तया द्रव्येऽपि निक्षेप इवार्पितं द्रव्यम् ।
लतासु तन्वीषु विलासचेष्टितं विलोलदृष्टं हरिणाङ्गनासु च ॥ १३ ॥

पुनरिति ॥ नियमस्थया व्रतस्थया तया द्रव्या द्रव्येऽपि द्रव्यं पुनर्ग्रहीतुं पुनरानेतुं निक्षेपोऽर्पितमिव निक्षेपत्वेनार्पितं किमु । अचिरं 'द्रव्यासु' इति प्रामादिकः पाठः । कुत्र द्रव्ये किं द्रव्यमर्पितमित्याह—तन्वीषु लतासु विलास एव चेष्टितं विलासचेष्टितं हरिणाङ्गनासु विलोलदृष्टं चमलावलोक्षितं च मनसायां तस्यां तयोरदर्शनात्प्राप्तसु दर्शनापार्पितमित्युन्मेषा न तु वस्तु-तोऽर्पणमस्तीति भावः ॥

By her abiding by (stha) the vow (niyamā), was entrusted [lit., given] the pair [of things] to the pair [of beings], as a deposit (niyēn) [with the intention]

to take back (1) movements full of grace (विलासचेष्टितम्)
to the creepers and (2) tremulous glances (विलोलदृष्टम्)
to the female deer. 13.

✓ अतन्द्रिता सा स्वयमेव वृक्षकान्धटस्तनप्रस्रवणैर्व्यवर्धयत् ।

गुहोऽपि येषां प्रथमाप्तजन्मनां न पुत्रवात्सल्यमपाकरिष्यति ॥ १४ ॥

अतन्द्रितेति ॥ सा देवी - स्वयमेवातन्द्रितासंजाततन्द्रा सती । तारका-
दित्वादित्प्रत्ययः । वृक्षकान्स्त्वल्पावृक्षान् । 'अल्पे' इत्यल्पार्थे कप्रत्ययः ।
पटावेव स्तनौ तयोः प्रस्रवणैः प्रसृतपयोभिर्व्यवर्धयत् । गुहः कुमारोऽपि प्रथमा-
प्तजन्मनां प्रथमलब्धजन्मनाम् । अप्रजातानामित्यर्थः । येषां वृक्षकाणां संबन्धि
पुत्रवात्सल्यं मुतप्रेम नापाकरिष्यति । उत्तरत्र कुमारोदयेऽपि न तेषु पुत्रवात्सल्यं
निवर्तिष्यत इत्यर्थः ॥

She, [ever] vigilant [lit., not slack, atandritā], herself
reared by pourings [of milk in the form of water] from
breasts in the form of pitchers, the young trees, [her]
affection as for her sons (putravātsalyam) for whom the
first born [ones], even Guha [Skanda or Kārtikeya]
would not [be able to] set aside.—14.

अरण्यबीजाञ्जलिदानलालितास्तथा च तस्यां हरिणा विशभ्यतुः ।

यथा तदीयैर्नयनैः कुतूहलात्पुरः सखीनाममिमीत लोचने ॥ १५ ॥

अरण्येति ॥ अरण्यबीजानां बीजारादीनामञ्जलयस्तेषां दानेन लालिता
हरिणा च तस्यां देव्यां तथा विशभ्यतुर्विषम्भं जम्बुः । 'समी विषम्भविद्वासा'
इत्यमरः । यथा कुतूहलादात्पुण्यातदीयैर्हरिणसंबन्धिभिर्नयनैर्नयनैः करणैः ।
नयनीये लोचने सखीनां पुरः पुरतः । अनेन तेषां संबन्धसदृश्यमुपमम् ।
अमिमीत । अक्षिपरिमाणानुरतम्यजानाय मानं चकारेत्यर्थः । केचित्तु ता
पार्यन्ती तदीयैर्नयनैः कुतूहलात्पुरोऽग्रे वर्तमानानां सखीनां स्नेहने अमिमीत मत्स्थ-
त्वामात्मन इत्याहुः । 'माह् माने' इत्यम्माह्तात्तोल्लङ् । इयमेव यत्तु विश्वागत्य
परा खाटा यदतिपीडनेऽपि न धुम्यन्तीति भावः ॥

And the deer fondled by the giving of cavity-fuls (anjalī) of forest [grown] seeds, trusted her to such an extent (tathā) that (yathā) she measured the eyes of her female friends [standing] in front (pura), with those of theirs [i. e. deer's,] out of curiosity [alternatively, she measured her (own) eyes with those of the deer, in the presence of her female friends, out of curiosity].—15

तपःप्रभावमाह—

कृताभिषेकां हुतजातवेदसं स्वयंसेवासङ्गवतीमधीतिनीम् ।

दिदधस्तामृषयोऽभ्युपांगमध धर्मवृद्धेषु ययः समीक्ष्यते ॥ १६ ॥

कृतेति ॥ कृताभिषेकां हुतज्ञानां हुतजातवेदसं हुताभिकाम् । हुतज्ञोमामि-
त्यर्थः । त्वचा वस्त्रकेनोत्तरासङ्गवतीमुत्तरीयवती त्वगुत्तराङ्गवतीम् । अधीत-
मस्या अस्तीत्यधीतिनी स्तुतिपाठादिर्बुधतीम् । 'इष्टादिभ्यश्च' इतीतिप्रत्ययः । तां
देवी दिदधतां इष्टुमिच्छत ऋषयो मुनयोऽभ्युपांगमन्गमुपांगताः । न चात्र
कनिष्ठेवादीय इत्याह—धर्मवृद्धेषु ययो न समीक्ष्यते न प्रमाणीक्यते । सति
धर्मवृद्धेषु ययोर्धर्मवृद्धं न प्रयोक्तव्यमित्यर्थः । तथा च मुनिः—'न तेन वृद्धो भवति
येनास्य पलितं शिरः । यो वा युवाप्यधीयानन्ते देवाः स्थविरं विदुः ॥' इति ॥

Sages approached [her], being desirous of seeing her (tām) who used to have taken her bath (अभिषेक) [likewise] to have offered [oblations] to fire (jātarodas), possessed of the bark as her upper garment [and who used to be] reciting the sacred texts (adhīdāim). In regard to those that are senior (vriḍḍha, lit., old) in [performance of] sacred duty [dharma], age is not taken into account [at all].—16

वितोषितव्योऽज्ञितपूर्वमत्सरं द्रुमैर्योऽप्रसयाचिंतातिथि ।

नयोऽज्ञाभ्यन्तरसंभृतानलं तपोपनं तथा यभूव पापनम् ॥ १७ ॥

वितोषति ॥ वितोषिभिः गर्हणोऽप्याद्यादिभिरज्ञितपूर्वमत्सरं स्थाप्य-
वत् । क्षिप्तदितमित्यर्थः । द्रुमैर्योऽप्रसयाचिंतातिथिः पृथिव्या अपि यमो

यस्मिस्तत्तयोक्तम् । नवानामुद्विजानां पर्णशालनामभ्यन्तरेषु संभृताः संचिता अनला अग्रयो यस्मिस्तत्तयोक्तं तच्च तपोवनम् । पावयतीति पावनं बभूव । अहिंसातिथिसत्त्वारामिपरिचर्याभिर्जगत्पावनं बभूवेत्यर्थः ॥

That penance grove, where the previous jealousy was given up by animals (sattva) antagonistic [to each other], where guests were honoured with the desired produce (prasaava) [such as flowers etc.] by the trees, where fire was well preserved [lit., collected] inside a new [—ly erected] cottage became [endowed] the with purifying [quality].—17

यदा फलं पूर्वतपःसमाधिना न तावता लभ्यममंस्त काङ्क्षितम् ।
तदानपेक्ष्य स्वशरीरमार्दवं तपो महत्ता चरितुं प्रचक्रमे ॥ १८ ॥

यदेति ॥ सा देवी यदा यस्मिन्काले तावता तावत्प्रमाणेन पूर्वतपःसमाधिना पूर्वैशानुष्ठीयमानप्रकारेण तपोनियमेन काङ्क्षितं फलं लभ्यं लब्धुं शक्यं नानंस्त । अद्यप्यममंस्त्वर्थः । तदा तत्प्राप्ते । अतिक्रान्तेनेत्यर्थः । स्वशरीरस्य मार्दवं मृदुत्वं सौकुमार्यमनपेक्ष्याविगण्य महदुद्धरं त्मचरितुं साधयितुं प्रचक्रम उपचक्रमे ॥

When she considered the desired fruit [as] not obtainable with that much [little] previous concentration [of mental powers] for [the practising of] penance, (then, tadā) disregarding the delicacy (mārdavam) of her (own) body, she started practising great [er] austerities [still].—18

श्रमं ययौ कन्दुकलील्यापि या तथा मुनीनां चरितं व्यगाह्यत ।
ध्रुवं ययुः काञ्चनपद्मनिर्मितं सुदु प्रकृत्या च ससारमेव च ॥ १९ ॥

श्रममिति ॥ या देवी कन्दुकलील्या कन्दुकलील्यापि श्रमं ययौ ययति प्राप तथा देव्या मुनीनां चरितं तीव्रं तपो व्यगाह्यत प्रविष्टम् । अत्रोत्प्रेक्षणे—
ध्रुवमस्या ययुः काञ्चनपद्मेन सुवर्णमलेन निर्मितं पटितम् । अत्राप्यप्रकृत्या

पद्मस्वभावेन मृदु च सुकुमारमपि कामनस्वभावेन ससारं च कटिनमेव । तथा च तदुपादानकल्यादेव्या वपुषः सुकुमारस्यापि तीव्रतपःश्रमत्वमित्युपेक्षार्थः ॥

-The activity (charitam) of sages [viz., practising penance] was carried out [lit., fathomed or plunged into, vyagābyata] by her, who [prior to this] used to be fatigued even on account of the 'sport with the ball; surely [her] body, fashioned out of a gold lotus [was] by nature [prakṛti] at once delicate and full of strength [i. e. tough].—19.

शुचौ चतुर्णां ज्वलतां हविर्भुजां शुचिस्मिता मध्यगता सुमध्यमा ।
विजित्य नेत्रप्रनिघातिनीं प्रभामनन्यदृष्टिः सवितारमैक्षत ॥ २० ॥

शुचाविनि ॥ शुचौ ग्रीष्मे शुचिस्मिता विशदमन्दहासा सुमध्यमा पार्षती ज्वलतां दीप्तिमतां चतुर्णां हविर्भुजामग्रीणां मध्यगता सती । नेत्रे प्रतिदन्तीति तां नेत्रप्रनिघातिनीं प्रभां ग्रावित्रं तेजो विजित्य न विद्यतेऽन्यत्र दृष्टिर्यस्याः सानन्यदृष्टिः सती सवितारं सूर्यमैक्षत ददर्श । 'ग्रीष्मे पद्माग्रिमध्यस्थो वर्षांशु म्भगिडलेशयः' इति स्मरणान् । पद्मामिम्ये तपस्वचारेत्यर्थः । तत्र सवितैव पद्ममोऽस्ति—'अग्निः सविता सवितैवाग्निः' इति र्थातल्लिङ्गान् ॥

During summer (s'uchau) [she] with a charming [lit., pure] smile and a slender waist, standing [lit., gone] in the midst of four burning fires, having conquered the [sun's] lustre dazzling [lit., striking against] the eyes, with [her] gaze (दृष्टि) [riveted] on naught else, looked [on] at the sun.—20.

तथातितप्तं सवितुर्गमस्तिभिर्मुग्धं तदीयं कमलधियं दधौ ।

अपाह्नयोः केवलमस्य दीर्घयोःशनैःशनैः श्यामिकया कृतं पदम् ॥ २१ ॥

तथेति ॥ सवितुः सूर्यस्य गमन्तिभिः क्षिरजैर्मया पूर्वोक्तप्रकारेणातितप्तं संतप्तं तस्या ददं तदीयं मुग्धं कमलधियं कमलस्य शोभां दधा प्राप । यथा सवितापातकमलं न म्लायति प्रद्युतं विकसति तथा तदीयं मुग्धमाणीदिनि भावः ।

किंवस्य मुखस्य दीर्घयोरपात्रयोः केवलं नेत्रान्तयोरेव शनैःशनैर्मन्दमन्दं
श्यामिकया कालिम्ना पदं स्थानं कृतम् । तयोः सौकुमार्यादित्यर्थः ॥

The face of that [Pārvatī], heated excessively in that manner, by the rays of the sun, had the beauty (s'riyam) of a lotus. In the long corners of the eyes of this [face] alone (kevalam), a footing was made, by degrees (s'annaih s'annaih), by the darkness [of skin or complexion.].—21.

अयाचितोपस्थितमश्वु केवलं रसात्मकस्योदुपतेश्च रश्मयः ।

धभूय तस्याः किल पारणाविधिर्न घृक्षवृत्तिव्यतिरिक्तसाधनः ॥२२॥

अयाचितेति ॥ अयाचितोपस्थितमप्रायितोपनतं केवलमम्वृद्धं रसात्मकस्या-
मृतमयस्योद्भूतां नक्षत्राणां पतिध्वन्द्रस्तस्य रश्मयश्च तस्याः पार्यत्याः पारणाविधि-
रभ्यवहारकर्म बभूव । तावन्मात्रसाधनकोऽभूदित्यर्थः । साध्यसाधनयोरभेदेन
वापदेशः साधनान्तरव्यावृत्त्यर्थः । किलेति प्रसिद्धौ । घृक्षाणां वा वृत्तिर्जीवनो-
पायस्तद्व्यतिरिक्तं साधनमुपायो यस्य ॥ तथोक्तः पारणाविधिर्न बभूव । घृक्षोऽव्य-
याचितोपस्थितेन मेघोदकेनेन्दुकिरणैश्च जीवतीति प्रसिद्धम् । अभ्यवहारि ताव-
न्मात्रमवालम्भतेत्यर्थः ॥

Mere water that became available [lit., came near] without being asked for and the rays of the lord of constellations [i. e. the moon] with nectar as his essence— [these two] indeed, became the means (vidhi) of the termination [of the fast, she was observing], having no means [of subsistence] other than [those of] the trees.—22

निकामतप्ता विविधेन वद्विना नमश्चरेण्यन्धनसंभृतेन सा ।

नपात्यये धारिभिर्गक्षिता नवैर्भुवा सहोष्माणममुश्चदूर्ध्वगम् ॥२३॥

निकामेति ॥ विविधेन पञ्चविधेनेत्यर्थः । नमश्चरेण रोचरेण । आदित्य-
पेणेलयः । इन्धनसंभृतेन वाष्टगमिदेन वद्विना निकाममत्यन्तं तप्ता गाम्भिर्या
तपान्यये प्रीमान्ते । प्रादृशीत्यर्थः । नवैर्धारिभिर्गक्षिता पिप्पला राशी भुवा

पश्चामिततया सहोर्ध्वंयमूर्ध्वप्रसृतमूष्माणं वाप्यममुद्यत् । 'प्रीप्सोष्मवाणमूष्माणम्'
इति यादवः ॥

Extremely heated by the manifold fire—by that which moved in the sky and [the others] built up with fuel, she, sprinkled by fresh waters at the passing away of Summer, gave forth, [even] along with the earth, vapour, going upwards.—23.

स्थिताः क्षणं पक्ष्मसु ताडिताधराः पयोधरोत्सेधनिपातचूर्णिताः ।
वलीषु तस्याः स्खलिताः प्रपेदिरे चिरेण नाभिं प्रथमोदयिन्दवः ॥२४॥

स्थिता इति ॥ उदकस्य विन्दव उदयिन्दवः । 'मन्यादन—' इत्यादिनोदकशब्द-
स्योदादेशः । प्रथम उदयिन्दवः । प्रथमविशेषणायिन्दवन्तां विरलत्वं बहुवचनाच्चा-
तिविरलत्वं च गम्यते । तथा च चिरत्वेनाभ्यन्तरगमनयोर्निर्वाहः । तस्याः पा-
वत्या पक्ष्मसु नेत्रलोमसु क्षणं स्थिताः स्थितिं गताः । स्थिता इत्यनेन पक्ष्मणां
सान्द्रत्वं क्षणमिति ध्वन्यं च गम्यते । अनन्तरं ताडिता व्यथिताऽधर औष्ठो
यैस्ते तथोक्ताः । एतेनाधरस्य भेदत्वं गम्यते । ततः पयोधरयोः स्नयोरसंसेध
उपरिभागे निपातेन पननेन चूर्णिता अजंरिताः । कुचकाटिभ्यादिनि भावः ।
तदनु वलीषूदरेस्तासु स्खलिताः । निम्नोन्नतत्वादिति भावः । इत्थं चिरेण न तु
शीघ्रम् । प्रतिपन्धयाहुस्त्यादिति भावः । नाभिं प्रपेदिरे प्रविष्टा न तु निजंमुः ।
एतेन नाभेर्गाम्भीर्यं गम्यते । अत्र प्रतिपदमर्थवत्त्वात्परिकरालंकारः ॥

Drops of the first [shower of rain—] water, which stood for a while on [her] eye-lashes, had struck the lower lip, got themselves shattered into particles by [their] fall on the protuberance of [her] breasts [and] stumbled through the [three] folds [on her belly], reached, after long, her navel.—24.

शिलाशयां तामनिकेतयासिनीं निरन्तरास्यन्तरचानवृष्टिषु ।
व्यलोकयन्मुनिमपितैस्तदिन्मयैर्महानपःसाक्ष्य इयस्थिताःक्षपाः॥२५॥

शिलाशयामिति ॥ निरन्तरासु नीरन्ध्रास्वन्तरे मध्ये वातो यासां तादृशो या
 वृष्टयस्तास्वन्तरवातवृष्टिषु । न निकटे गृहे वसतीत्यनिकेतवासिनीम् । अनावृतदेश-
 वासिनीमित्यर्थः । शिलायां शेव इति शिलाशयां शिलातलशायिनीम् । 'अधिकरणे
 शेतेः' इत्यच्प्रत्ययः । तां पार्वतीं साक्षाद्दृष्ट्वा साक्षी । 'साक्षाद्दृष्टरि संज्ञायाम्'
 इतीतिप्रत्ययः तस्य कर्म साक्ष्यं महातपसाः साक्ष्ये स्थिताः क्षपास्तडिन्मूर्धैर्विद्युद्-
 पैहन्मिपितरवभोकनैर्व्यत्येकयन्निव । इवेति चक्षुषा विलोक्नमेवोत्प्रेक्ष्यते । साक्ष्यं
 तु 'आदित्यचन्द्रानिलोऽनलश्च सौभूमिरापो हृदयं यमश्च । अहश्च रात्रिश्च उभे च
 संध्ये धर्मश्च जानाति नरस्य वृत्तम् ॥' इति प्रमाणसिद्धत्वाद्योत्प्रेक्ष्यमित्यनुसंधेयम् ॥

Nights standing witnesses as it were to her great penance,
 saw her, lying on a [slab of] stone, not staying in a house,
 [all] through the ceaseless showers interspersed by winds,
 by the openings of their eyes (उज्ज्वलिनैः) in the shape of
 [lit., full of] lightning [flashes].—25.

एवं वर्षासु विहितं तपःप्रकारमुक्त्वा संप्रति हेमन्ते तपधरणप्रकारमाह—

निनाय सात्यन्तहिमोत्किरानिलाः सहस्यरात्रीरुदयासतत्परा ।
 परस्पराक्रन्दिनि चक्रवाक्योः पुरो वियुक्ते मिथुने कृपावती ॥ २६ ॥

निनायेति ॥ सा पार्वती । उत्किरन्ति शिपन्तीत्युत्किराः । 'इषुषध्या-'
 इत्यादिना कः । अत्यन्तं हिमानामुत्किरा अनिला यामु सा सहस्यरात्रीः । पाप-
 रात्रीः । 'पापे तैपमहस्यां ह्य' इत्यमरः । उदके वास उदवाराः । 'पैर्पवासवाह-
 नधिषु च' इत्युदादेशः । उदवाते तत्परा आसत्तथा तथा परस्परमाक्रन्दिन्यन्बो-
 माक्रोशिनि पुरोऽपि वियुक्ते विरहिणि । वियोगं प्राप्त इति यावत् । चक्रवाकी च
 चक्रवाकश्च चक्रवाकौ तयोश्चक्रवाक्योर्मिथुने द्वन्द्वे कृपावती सती निनाय । दुःखिणु
 कृपातुल्यं महतां स्वभाव इति चक्रवाकमिथुने कृपा न तु वामितयेति वाच्यानव-
 काशः । 'अप्सु वासस्तु हेमन्ते क्रमशो वर्षयेत्तः' इति मनुः ॥

She, bent on staying in water, passed the nights of the
 month of Pōi, with the winds excessively scattering snow
 [being] full of compassion for the pair of the chakravākas,

separated [from each other] in front [of her], piteously
(३) crying out for each other.—26.

मुखेन सा पद्मसुगन्धिना निशि प्रवेपमानाधरपत्रशोभिना ।
तुपारवृष्टिस्तपद्मसंपदां सरोजसंधानमिवाकरोदपाम् ॥ २७ ॥

मुखेनेति ॥ सा पार्वती निशि रात्रौ पद्मसुगन्धिना सुभिन्ना । 'गन्धस्येत्—'
इत्यादिनेकारः । प्रवेपमानः कम्पमानोऽधर ओष्ठ एव पत्रं दलं तैर् शोभते
तथोक्तेन मुखेन तुपारवृष्ट्या तुद्दिनवर्षेण क्षत्ता नाशिताः पद्मसंपदो यासां तातामपां
सरोजसंधानं पद्मसंघटनमकरोदिव । इत्युग्रेऽलंकारः । पद्मान्तरं तुद्दिनेनोपहन्यते
तन्मुखपद्मं तु न तथेति व्यतिरेकालंकारो व्यज्यत इत्युभयोः संकरः ॥

She with her face fragrant like a lotus, [appearing]
charming on account of the excessively (pra) throbbing leaf
in the form of [her] lower lip, effected, at [the hour of]
night, the restoration (sandhānam lit. putting together or
putting well) as it were, of lotuses [in the case] of waters,
with the wealth of their lotuses destroyed (क्षन), by the
shower of snow.—27.

स्वयंविशीर्णद्रुमपर्णवृत्तिता परा हि काष्ठा तपसस्तया पुनः ।
तदप्यपाक्रीर्णमतः प्रियंवदां यदन्त्यर्णोति च तां पुराविदः ॥ २८ ॥

स्वयमिति ॥ स्वयं विशीर्णानि स्वतश्च्युतानि द्रुमपर्णान्येव गृन्तुर्जीवनं यस्य
तस्य भावन्तता तपसः परा काष्ठा परमुत्कर्षो हि । 'काष्ठोत्कर्षे स्थितौ दिशि'
इत्यमरः । तथा देव्या पुनस्तत्पर्णवर्तनमप्यपाक्रीर्णमपावृत्तम् । अतः पर्णापाक-
रणाद्धेतोः । प्रियं वदन्तीति प्रियंवदा । 'प्रियवशे वदः गच्छ' इति गच्छप्रत्ययः ।
'अरिपदजन्तस्य मुग्ध' इति मुग्धगमः । तां पार्वतीं पुराविदः पुराणज्ञानारः करण-
समयेऽविद्यमानं पर्णमक्षणं गम्याः सागर्णेति यदन्ति । नामान्तरगमुचयार्थशकारः ।
अत्र 'अपर्णाम्' इत्यपपाठ इति शब्दाभिहिते द्वितीयानुपपत्तेः । यथाह वामनः—
'निपातेनाभिमिहिने कर्मणि ॥ कर्मविमर्शः परिगणनस्य प्राशिकत्वात्' इति ।
स्वयं प्रियंवदाः परेषामपि प्रियवादभाजनानि भवन्तीति भावः ॥

The state of maintaining [oneself] on leaves of trees (द्रुमपर्णवृत्तिना) fallen of their own accord (स्वयंविशीर्ण) is, undoubtedly (hi) the highest limit (काष्ठा) of austerities. By her, however (punah), even that was cast away. Hence [those] conversant with [matters of] old [times], speak of her, of agreeable speech (priyamvadām) as अपर्णा [or, alternatively, those conversant with matters of old times (who are themselves priyamvadah) of agreeable speech, call her अपर्णा].—28

मृणालिकापेलवमेवमादिभिर्व्रतैः स्वमङ्गं ग्लपयन्त्यहर्निशम् ।
तपः शरीरैः कठिनैरुपार्जितं तपस्विनां दूरमधश्चकार सा ॥ २९ ॥
मृणालिकेति ॥ मृणालिकापेलवं पद्मिनीकन्दकोमलं स्वं स्वकीयमङ्गं शरीर-
मेवमुक्तप्रकारतोयामिमध्यवासयतमादिर्येषां तैर्व्रतैरहश्च निशा चाहर्निशम् । समा-
हारे द्वन्द्वैकवद्भावः । अत्यन्तसंयोगे द्वितीया । ग्लपयन्ती कर्णयन्ती सा पार्वती
कठिनैः क्लेशसहैरित्यर्थः । शरीरुपार्जितं संपादितं तपस्विनामृषीणां तपो
दूरमत्यन्तमधश्चकार तिरश्चकार । अतिशय इत्यर्थः । तपस्विभिरप्येवं तपः कर्तुं
न शक्यत इति तात्पर्यार्थः ॥

She, causing to be reduced her own body, delicate like a small stalk of a lotus, by vows such as this and others (evamādibhih), by day and by night, far (dūram) surpassed [lit., threw down] the penance of ascetics secured, thanks to [lit., by their] tough bodies.—29.

अथाजिनापादधरः प्रगल्भवाग्ज्वलन्निव ब्रह्ममयेन तेजसा ।
विवेश कश्चिज्जटिलस्तपोवनं शरीरवद्धः प्रथमाश्रमो यथा ॥ ३० ॥

अथेति ॥ अयानन्तरमजिनं कृष्णमृगतत्वं । आपादः प्रयोजनमस्येत्यापादः
पालाशदण्डः । 'पालाशो दण्ड आपादः' इत्यमरः । 'विशालापादादमन्व-
दण्डयोः' इत्यण्यत्ययः । तयोर्धरस्तथोक्तः प्रगल्भवाक्प्रौढवचनो ब्रह्ममयेन वैदि-
केन तेजसा । ब्रह्मवर्चसेनेत्यर्थः । ज्वलन्निव स्थितः । इवशब्दो निर्धारणार्थः ।

विधिप्रयुक्तां परिगृह्य सत्क्रियां परिथमं नाम विनीय च क्षणम् ।
उमां स पश्यन्नुनैव चक्षुषा प्रचक्रमे यत्कुमनुस्त्रितक्रमः ॥ ३२ ॥

विधीति ॥ स प्रह्लाचारी विधिना प्रयुक्तमनुष्ठितां सत्क्रियां पूजां परिगृह्य
स्वीकृत्य क्षणं परिथमं विश्रामं च विनीय नाम । नामेत्यपरमार्थः । अयोमा-
नुनैव विलासरहितेनैव चक्षुषा पश्यन्नुज्जितक्रमोऽत्यक्तो नितपरिपाटीकः सन् ।
वक्तुं प्रचक्रमे प्रारभे ॥

Having accepted the honour done (*prayukta*) in keeping
with the ['a'str'ic] rules (*vidhi*), and having feignedly
(*māma*) removed (*vinīya*, lit., led away) fatigue, for a
moment, he, looking at Umā with just a straight [i. e.
free altogether from gallantry or 'sexual immorality'] eye,
began speaking, not casting off (*anusthita*) the due decorum
(*kramah*),—32.

अपि क्रियार्थं सुलभं समित्कुशं जलान्यपि स्नानविधिक्षमाणि ते ।
अपि स्वशक्त्या तपसि प्रवर्तसे शरीरमाद्यं खलु धर्मसाधनम् ॥ ३३ ॥

अपीति ॥ अप्रापिशब्दः प्रश्ने । क्रियार्थं होमादिकमानुष्ठानार्थम् । समिधश्च
कुशाश्च समित्कुशम् । 'जातिरग्राणिनाम्' इति द्वन्द्वकवद्भावः । सुलभमपि सुलभं
कथित् । जलानि ते तव स्नानविधिक्षमाणि स्नानक्रियायोग्यान् अपि कथित् । किञ्च
स्वशक्त्या निजसामर्थ्यानुसारेण तपसि प्रवर्तसेऽपि । देहमपीडयित्वा तपश्चरसि
कथिदित्यर्थः । युक्तं च नामतत् । खलु यस्माच्छरीरमाद्यं धर्मसाधनम् । धर्मस्तु
कायेन वाचा गुदपा धनादिना च बहुभिः साध्यते तेषु च वपुरेव मुख्यं साधनं
सति देहे धर्मार्थकाममोक्षलक्षणाद्यनुवर्गाः साध्यन्ते । अतएव 'सततमात्मानमेव
नोपयात' इति श्रुतिः ॥

"Are the sacrificial sticks and Kus'a grass easily
obtainable to you? [Likewise] are there waters suitable
[क्षमाणि, lit., capable of] for the performance (*vidhi*) of
[your] bath? Are you active in [regard to] your austerities,
[quite] in accordance with your [bodily] strength? The

body, is verily (*khalu*), the foremost [lit., the first] means for [the performance of sacred] duty.—33.

अपि त्वदायर्जितवारिसंभृतं प्रवालमासामनेवन्धि वीरुधाम् ।
चिरोज्जितालककपाटलेन ते तुलां यदारोहति दन्तवाससा ॥ ३४ ॥

अपीति ॥ त्वयावर्जितेन सिक्तेन वारिणा संभृतं जनितमासां वीरुधां लतानां प्रवाल पल्लवमनुबन्ध्यप्यनुस्यूतं किम् । यत्प्रवालं चिरोज्जितधिरकालस्यसो लाक्षारामो येन तत्तथापि पाटलम् । स्वभावरक्तमित्यर्थः । तेन चिरोज्जितालक-कपाटलेन ते त्व दन्तवाससापरेण । 'ओष्ठार्धं तु रदनच्छदी दशनवाससी' इत्यमरः । तुलां साम्यमारोहति । गच्छतीत्यर्थः । अत्र तुल्यशब्दस्य सादृश्य-वाचिरवात्तद्योगेऽपि 'तुल्यार्धरतुलोपमाभ्याम्-' इति न तृतीयाप्रतिषेधस्तत्र सूत्रे सदृशवाचिन एव ग्रहणादिनि ॥

"Are the tender leaves of these creepers, nourished [lit. produced] by water sprinkled by you, continuous [—ly growing, *anubandhi*] [the leaves] that attain [lit., mount] comparison with your lower lip, red [*pātala*], [though it has] since long given up the *alaktaka* (lac) dye?—34.

अपि प्रसन्नं हरिणेषु ते मनः करस्थदर्भप्रणयापहारिषु ।
य उत्पलाक्षि प्रचलैर्विलोचनैस्तयाक्षिसादृशमिव प्रयुञ्जते ॥ ३५ ॥

अपीति ॥ करस्थान्दर्भान्प्रणयेन छेदेनापहरन्तीति ते तथोक्तेषु । नापराधेऽपि हि भावः । 'करस्थदर्भप्रणयापहारिषु' इति पाठे दर्भाणां प्रणयेन प्रार्थनयापराधेषु हरिणेषु विषये ते मनः प्रसन्नमिति न धुमिनं किम् । नापराधेऽपि न कोपितव्यं तपस्विभिरिति भावः । हे उत्पलाक्षि, ये हरिणाः प्रचलैश्चलैर्विलोच-नैर्नेत्रैस्तयाक्षिसादृशं प्रयुञ्जत इवाभिनयन्तीति । प्रसन्नत्वान्मृगनेप्राप्ति स्वप्रयत्नैः साम्यमुपयान्तीति भावः । 'उत्पलाक्षे चर्त' इति पाठ्यन्तर उत्पलद्वयचर्तः । भावानयने द्रव्यानयनमिति न्यायेन शिष्यमागोन्मलचलैरित्यर्थः ॥

"Is your mind unagitated [lit., pleased *prasannam*] in regard to the deer that take away the *darbhā* [blades] on

[your] hands, in affection (प्रणय) [alternatively, with अपराधिषु as the reading instead of अपहारीषु "Is your mind unagitated in regard to the deer that offend you, out of their affection- [i. e. greed] for the darbha grass on your hands],—[these deer] which, oh lotus-eyed [one], display a similarity with your eyes, by their eyes [that are] excessively (प्र) tremulous ?—35.

यदुच्यते पार्यति पापवृत्तये न रूपमित्यव्यभिचारि तद्वचः ।
तथा हि ते शीलमुदारदर्शने तपस्विनामप्युपदेशतां गतम् ॥ ३६ ॥

यदिति ॥ हे पार्वति, रुमं सौम्याकृतिः पापवृत्तये पापाचरणाय न भवतीति मनुज्यते । लौकैरिति शेषः । तद्वचो न व्यभिचरति न स्खलतीत्यव्यभिचारि इत्यम् । ' यत्राकृतिस्तत्र गुणः ' ' न सुरुपाः पापसमाचारा भवन्ति ' इत्यादयो लोकवादा न विसंवादमासादयन्तीत्यर्थः । किमिति शायते—तथा हि । हे उदारदर्शने आयताक्षि । मुरूपे इत्यर्थः । अथवोजतज्ञाने । विवेकवतीत्यर्थः । ते तव शीलं सद्वृत्तम् । ' शीलं स्वभावे सद्वृत्ते ' इत्यमरः । तपस्विनामप्युपदिश्यतेऽनेनैत्युपदेशः प्रवर्तकं प्रमाणं तत्तामुपदेशतां गतं प्राप्तम् । सुबयोऽपि त्वां वीक्ष्य स्ववृत्ते प्रवर्तन्त इति भावः ॥

" That statement ('vachas') which is [often] made (uchyate), oh Pārvati, that [excellent] form is not for [i. e. does not go hand in hand with, is incompatible with] sinful behaviour, is absolutely true [lit. is not having a deviation (vyabhichāra)], For, oh wide-eyed [one], your noble conduct has reached the state of being [little less than a regular course of] instruction (upadesatā), even to the [avowed or professional] ascetics.—36.

विकीर्णसप्तपिंबलिं प्रहासिभिस्तथा न गाङ्गैः सलिलैर्दिवश्च्युतैः ।
यथा त्वदीयैश्चरितैरनाविलैर्महीधरः पावित एष सान्वयः ॥ ३७ ॥

विकीर्णंति ॥ एष महीधरो हिमवान् । सप्त च ते ऋषयश्च सप्तर्षयः ।
 'दिवसंख्ये संज्ञायाम्' इति समासः । विकीर्णः पर्यस्तैः सप्तर्षीणां संबन्धिभिर्बलिभिः
 पुष्पोपहारीः प्रहसन्ति ये तथोक्तैर्दिवोऽन्तरिक्षाद्युत्तैर्गात्रैः सलिलस्तथा न पावितः ।
 अनाविलस्रकलुषैस्त्वदीयेभ्यश्चितैर्यथा सान्त्वयः सपुत्रपौत्रः पावितः पवित्रीकृतः ॥

"This mountain [Himālaya] along with the [entire] line [of ancestors] has not been sanctified to the same extent (yathā) by the waters of the Ganges, dropped down from heaven, [and] smiling brightly (prabhāsibhiḥ) with the worship—material [such as flowers etc] of [i. e. offered by] the seven sages which gets itself scattered about (विकीर्णं), to which (yathā) [the mountain is sanctified] by your pure activities.—37.

अनेन धर्मः सविशेषमद्य मे त्रिवर्गसारः प्रतिभाति भाविनि । —
 त्वया मनोनिर्विषयार्थकामया यदेक एव प्रतिगृह्य सेव्यते ॥ ३८ ॥
 अनेनेति ॥ हे भाविनि प्रशस्तभिप्राये, अनेन कारणेन धर्मः शविशेषं साति-
 क्षयमद्य मे । त्रयाणां धर्मकामार्थानां वर्गत्रिवर्गः । 'त्रिवर्गो धर्मकामार्थश्चतुर्वर्गः
 समोक्षकः' इत्यमरः । तत्र सारः श्रेष्ठः प्रतिभाति । यद्यस्मात्कारणान्मनसो
 निर्विषयार्थकामौ यस्यास्तथा त्वयैको धर्म एव प्रतिगृह्य स्वीकृत्य सेव्यते ।
 यत्त्वयार्थकामौ विहाय धर्म एवावलम्बितः । अतः सर्वेषां नः स श्रेयानिति
 प्रतिपद्यत इत्यर्थः ॥

Oh [lady] of excellent thoughts [bhāvinī or with bhāminī, oh fortunate lady] [the performance of sacred] duty appears to me, [to be] especially (मविशेषम्) best of the group of three [Dharma, Artha and Kāmā], to day, by [reason of] this [fact], that [yet], by you with a mind that has Artha [winning of wealth] and Kāma [satisfaction of the carnal desire], deprived of their objects (निर्विषयो) [in other words, without any the least scope], only one [viz., Dharma], having been accepted, is being followed (सेव्यते).—38.

संप्रति मनोरथं विजानुः प्रस्तांति—

प्रयुक्तसत्कारविशेषमात्मना न मां परं संप्रतिपत्तुमर्हसि ।
यतः सतां संनतगात्रि संगतं मनीषिभिः साप्तपदीनमुच्यते ॥३९॥

प्रयुक्तेति ॥ आत्मना त्वया प्रयुक्तः कृतः सत्कारविशेषः पूजातिशयो यस्य तं मां परमन्यं संप्रतिपत्तुमवगन्तुं नार्हसि । हे संनतगात्रि संनताद्वि । ‘अङ्गगात्र-
कण्ठेभ्यः’ इति षष्ठ्यान्टीप् । यतः कारणात्मनस इषिभिर्मनीषिभिर्विद्वद्भिः । शकन्वादित्वासाधुः । सतां संगतं सख्यं सप्तभिः पदैरापद्यत इति साप्तपदीनं साप्तपदोच्चारणसाध्यमुच्यते । तथात्वयोस्त्वत्कृतसत्कारप्रयोगादेव सिद्धमित्यर्थः । ‘साप्तपदीनं सख्यम्’ इति निपातनात्साधु ॥

“It does not be¹phve you (na arhasi) to takeme (samprati-
pattum) to whom an excellent welcome has been offered,
[to be a] stranger [param], in so far as, oh [you] with
drooping limbs, the friendship [lit., coming together] of
the good, is by the learned declared to be [one] that is
formed by [an exchange of] seven words.—39.

अतोऽत्र किञ्चिद्भवती बहुक्षमां द्विजातिभावादुपपन्नचापलः ।

अयं जनः प्रष्टुमनास्तपोधने न चेद्ब्रह्मस्य प्रतिवक्तुमर्हसि ॥ ४० ॥

अत इति ॥ हे तपोधने, अतः सख्यादेतोरत्र प्रस्तावे बहुक्षमाम् । बहुक्ति-
हाम् यद्वा क्षमावतीम् । भवती त्वां द्विजातिभावाद्व्याघ्रणत्वादुपपन्नचापलः सुलभ-
धाष्टर्षोऽयं जनः । स्वयमित्यर्थः । किञ्चित्प्रष्टुं मनो यस्य स किञ्चित्प्रष्टुमनाः
प्रष्टुकामः । ‘तुं काममनसोरपि’ इति मकारलोपः । रहसि भवं ब्रह्मस्य गोप्यं
न चेत्प्रतिवक्तुमर्हसि ॥

“On this account (atah), this person here [i. e. I]
with his [or my] boldness [easily] explicable [or justifi-
able], (upapanna) on account of his [or my] being a twice-
born [i. e. ■ Brāhmana,] has [or have] a mind to ask you,
of great forbearance, something in this [matter] (atra);

oh [lady] with penance as your riches, if [that be] not a secret, it would behove you (*tram arhasi*) to reply.—40

प्रष्टव्यमाह—

कुले प्रभृतिः प्रथमस्य वेधसस्रिलोकसौन्दर्यमिवोदितं वपुः ।

अमृत्युमैश्वर्यसुखं नवं ययस्तपःफलं स्यात्किमतः परं घट् ॥४१॥

कुल इति ॥ प्रथमस्य वेधसो हिरण्यगर्भस्य कुलेऽववाये प्रसूनिदत्ततिः । 'यशार्थं हि मया गृष्टो हिमवानचलेध्रुवः' इति त्र्यपुराणवचनान् । वपुः शरीरं प्रयागो लोकानां सौन्दर्यमिवोदितमेकत्र समाहृतम् । ऐश्वर्यमुरगं संपत्सुखममृत्यु-मन्वेपणीयं न भवति । किंतु सिद्धमेवेत्यर्थः । वयो नवम् । र्यावनमित्यर्थः । अतः परमतोऽन्यत्किं तपःफलं स्याद्दद । अस्ति चेदिति शेषः । न किंचिदस्तीत्यर्थः ॥

"Birth in the family of the first Creator (*vedhah*); this form that has risen [even] like the beauty of the three worlds [put together]; the happiness that accrues to [one in possession of] wealth (*ऐश्वर्यमुत्तम्*) in, not to be sought for; fresh youth [—all these are at your disposal]; tell me, what [else] higher than this, can be the fruit of austerities ?—41

भयत्यनिष्टादपि नाम दुःसहान्मनस्विनीनां प्रतिपत्तिरीदृशी ।

विचारमार्गप्रदिनेन चेतसा न दृश्यते तच्च कृदोदरि त्ययि ॥४२॥

भयतीति ॥ दुःसहान्मनस्विनीनां प्रतिपत्तिः । 'प्रतिपत्तिस्तु गौरवे । प्राप्तौ प्रवृत्तां प्रागन्त्ये' इति केनच । भवति नाम । नामेति संभावनायाम् । विचारमार्गे प्रदिनेन चेतसा चित्तो न तदनिष्टं च । हे कृदोदरे, स्वयि न दृश्यते । विचार्यमाणे तदपि नास्तरसंभावित्वादित्यर्थः ॥

"There possibly (*nāma*) is a tendency (*protipatti*) of this sort on the part of spirited ladies, even on account of some undesirable [occurrence or event], difficult to bear;

that too (tat cha) is not seen in you, oh you of slender belly by [my] mind sent along the path of thought.—42.

अनिष्टाभावमेव प्रपश्यति—

अलभ्यशोकाभिभवेयमाकृतिर्विमानना सुधु कुतः पितुर्गृहे ।
पराभिमर्शो न तवास्ति कः करं प्रसारयेत्पन्नगरत्नसूचये ॥ ४३ ॥

अलभ्येति ॥ हे सुधु, इयं त्वदीयाकृतिर्भूतिरलभ्यो लब्धुमनहं शोकेन भर्त्राद्यवमाननेन दुःखेनाभिभवस्तिरस्कारो यस्याः सा तपोक्ता । दृश्यत इति शेषः । असंभावितध्यायमर्थं इत्याह—पितुर्गृहे विमाननावमानः कुतः । न संभाव्यत एवेत्यर्थः । 'सुधुः कुतस्तातेगृहेऽवमाननम्' इति पाठान्तरकरणं तु साध्यसमेवोक्तोपपत्तिसंभवात् । अन्यत्रापि 'सुधु त्वं कुपितेत्यपास्तमशनं त्यक्त्वा कया योपिताम्' इत्यादिप्रयोगदर्शनाद्वसंस्थितौ पादादौ जगन्मन्त्रप्रसङ्गाच्चेत्यलं गोष्ठोभिः । न चान्यस्माद्भावीत्याह—पराभिमर्शः परधर्षणं तु तव नास्ति । पन्नगरत्नसूचये फणिशिरोमणिशलाकां ग्रहीतुमित्यर्थः । 'क्रियाधोपपदस्य च कर्मणि स्थानिनः' इति चतुर्थी । करं हस्तं कः प्रसारयेत् 'सुधु' इत्यत्र भूशब्दस्योवहस्थानीयत्वात् 'नेयदुवहस्थानावली' इति नदीसंज्ञाप्रतिषेधात् 'अम्बार्थनद्योर्ह्रस्वः' इति ह्रस्वत्वं नास्ति । तेन ह्रस्वः प्रमादिक इति केनित् । अन्ये तु 'अप्राणिमातेध्वारज्ज्वादीनाम्' इत्यत्र 'अलायूः' 'कर्कन्धूः' इत्युकारान्तादप्युहप्रत्ययमुदागहार भाष्यकारः । एतस्मादेव ज्ञापकात्कचिदुकारान्तस्याप्युहन्तत्वाच्चदीत्वे ह्रस्वत्वमित्याहुः । अतएवाह धामनः—'ऊकारादप्युहप्रकृतेः' इति ॥

" This [beautiful] figure (*ākṛti*) [of yours] is one in the case of which humiliation due to grief is impossible of obtainment. Whence can there be disregard [for you], oh you of beautiful eye-brows, in the house of [your] father? Touch at the hands of a stranger, [simply] exists not in your case. Who would [dare] stretch out his hand for the pointed (*sūchi*) gem [on the hood] of a serpent [or, with *sūlaye* as the reading instead of *sūchaye*, who would dare stretch out his hand for the

disturbance and removal of the gem on the hood of a serpent]?—43.

किमित्यपास्याभरणानि यौवने धृतं त्वया चार्धकशोभि वल्कलम् ।
यद् प्रदोषे स्फुटचन्द्रतारका विभावरी यद्यरुणाय कल्पते ॥ ४४ ॥

किमिति ॥ हे गौरी, किमिति केन हेतुना यौवने त्वयाभरणान्यपास्य विहाय ।
वृद्धस्य भावो चार्धकम् । मनोशदित्वाद्व्युत्पन्नव्ययः । 'चार्धकं वृद्धसंपाते वृद्धत्वे ।
वृद्धकर्मणि' इति विश्वः । तत्र शोभत इति चार्धकशोभि वल्कलं धृतम् । प्रदोषे
रजनीमुले स्फुटाः प्रकटाश्चन्द्रतारकाश्च यस्याः सा स्फुटचन्द्रतारका विभावरी
राशिररुणाय सूर्यमुताय कल्पने यद्यरुणं गन्तुं कल्पते किम् । यद् ग्रही । 'क्रियार्थो-
पपदस्य—' इत्यादिना चतुर्थी । दीप्रमानशशाङ्गनारके प्रदोषे यद्यरुण उदेति
ततो विभूषणापहारेण तच्च वल्कलधारणं संपद्यत इति भावः ॥

"For what has the bark garment becoming old age, been worn by you, after having thrown away (apāya) [your] ornaments [just] in [your] youth? Say, whether (yadi), the night with the moon and the stars manifest, is fit for approaching the harbinger of the sun [i. e. for coming to an untimely or premature end] in [just her] fore-part [or beginning or youth, प्रदोष]. —44.

तपःप्रयोजनं निराकर्तुमाह—

दियं यदि प्रार्थयसे वृथा धर्मः पितुः प्रदेशास्तव देवभूमयः ।
अथोपयन्तारमलं समाधिना न रक्षामन्विष्यति मृग्यते हि तत् ॥ ४५ ॥

दियमिति ॥ दिवं स्वर्गं प्रार्थयसे वामयसे यदि तर्हि धर्मस्तरवरणप्रदायो
वृथा निष्फलः । यदि स्वर्गार्थं तप्यसे ततः धर्मं मा कार्षीः । श्रुतः । तव पितु-
र्हिमवतः प्रदेशा देवभूमयः स्वर्गप्रदेशाः तपस्या इत्यर्थः । अथोपयन्तारं परं
प्रार्थयसे तर्हि समाधिना तपमात्म्यं न कर्तव्यमित्यर्थः । निरेष्यस्य निरेषं प्रति
करणत्वात्तृतीया । तथाहि । रत्नं कर्तुं । नाविविष्यति न मृगयते । ग्रहीनारमिति

शेषः । किं तु तदर्थं मृष्यते ग्रहीतुमिति शेषः । न हि वरार्थं त्वया तपसि वर्तितव्यं किं तु तेनैव त्वर्थमिति भावः ॥

"If you are eagerly desiring [to win] heaven, in vain [is your] effort. The regions [in the possession] of your father [Himālaya] are the residences (bhūmayah) of gods. Next (atha), [if you eagerly desire to secure] a husband, enough of concentration [of mental powers for penance]; a jewel seeks not [rather, ^{bi}] it is sought after [by the needy].—45.

वरवाचकाक्षरश्रवणानन्तरमेव देव्या ब्रह्मोच्छ्वासमाकर्ष्य प्रभेयु न प्रत्युत्तर—
मनुपलभ्य स्वयमेवाशङ्कवाह—

निवेदितं निश्चसितेन सोप्यणा मनस्तु मे संशयमेव गाहते ।
न हृदयते प्रार्थयितव्य एव ते भविष्यति प्रार्थितदुर्लभः कथम् ॥४६॥

निवेदितमिति ॥ सोप्यणा निश्चसितेन निश्चासवत्युन निवेदितम् । चिन्तानुभावेनोष्णोच्छ्वासेन ते वरमित्त्वं सूचितमित्यर्थः । तर्हि किं प्रश्रव्यसनेनेत्याह—
मनस्तु तथापि मे संशयमेव गाहते प्राप्नोति । कुतः । ते तव । ' कृत्यानां कर्तो वा ' इति पट्टी । प्रार्थयितव्यः प्रार्थयितुमर्ह एव न हृदयते । प्रार्थितदुर्लभः प्रार्थितो दुर्लभः स कथं भविष्यति । नास्त्येवेत्यर्थः ॥

" By your hot (सोप्यण, lit., accompanied by heat) sigh [the reason for your practising austerities] has been told. My mind, however, entertains [lit., bathes in] a doubt [even] a single (^{eva}) [person] eagerly to be desired by you is not [to be] seen; how will [he] be difficult of being secured on being sought after [by you].—46.

अथ पतिप्रार्थनामेव सिद्धवत्कृत्वाह—

अहो स्थिरः कोऽपि तवेप्सितो युवा विराय कर्णोत्पलशून्यतां गते
उपेक्षते यः रुधिरलम्बिनीर्जटाः कपोलदेशे कलमाप्रपिहलाः ॥४७॥

यंगः ।

कुमारसंभवम् ।

अहो इति ॥ अहो चित्रम् । तवोमित आप्तुमिष्टो युवा कोऽपि स्थिरः कटिनः ।
वर्तत इति शेषः । कुतः । यो युवा चिराय चिरात्प्रमृति कर्णोत्पलशून्यतां गते
प्राप्ते कपोलदेसे गण्डस्थले श्रयाः शिथिलबन्धना अनप्य लम्बिन्यस्ताः श्रयल-
म्बिनीः कलमः शालिविशेषास्तेषामप्राणि तद्वत्पित्रत्य जटा उपेक्षते । यस्त्वामीदृशी
दृष्ट्वा न व्यथते स नूनं धनद्वय इत्यर्थः ॥

"Oh, hard [—hearted, stiver, indeed] is the young man
desired by you, whoever (kepi) [he be], who ignores
the matted hair hanging loosely, tawny like the sprouts of
paddy, on the region of your cheeks, which has reached a
state of being devoid of the lotus on the ear [used as an
ornament], all this long (chirāya).—47.

मुनिव्रतैस्त्वामतिमात्रकशिंतां दिवाकराप्लुष्टविभूषणास्पदाम् ।
शशाङ्गलेखामिव पश्यतो दिवा सचेतसः कस्य मनो न दूयते ॥४८॥

मुनिव्रतैरिति ॥ मुनिव्रतैश्चान्द्रायणादिभिरतिमात्रमत्यन्तं कर्मितां दिवाकरेण
सूर्येणाप्लुष्टानि दग्धानि बानातपयस्पर्शान्मृदुत्वाच्च द्यामीदृशानि विभूषणास्पदानि
भूषणस्थानानि यस्यास्तां तथोक्तम् । अनप्य दिवाहनि शशाङ्गरेणामिव स्थिता
स्यां पश्यतः सचेतसो जीवन् । कस्य पुंसो मनो न दूयते न परितप्यते । अत्र तु
गर्दस्यैवेत्यर्थः ॥

"The mind of what person, is not afflicted to see
[पश्यतः] you, extremely reduced by the sages' vow, with the
places of ornaments [on your body] scorched by the sun
['s rays and, therefore, looking] like the digit of the moon
by day [extremely emaciated, and with the function (āspada)
of beautifying the whole world being snatched away
(भण्ड) by the sun] ?—48.

अयमि सांभाष्यमदेन घञ्जितं तव प्रियं यद्वतुरायल्लोकिनः ।
करोति नन्दये चिरमस्य चक्षुषो न यदप्रमाग्मीयमगलपदमणः ॥४९॥

भवेमीति ॥ तव प्रियं वह्नये सांभ्राम्यमदेन सौन्दर्यगर्वेण कर्त्रा । वधितं
विप्रलम्भमयमि धेनि । प्रिययनुरं मधुरमवलोकत इति चतुरावलीकेनाऽऽसत्प-
क्ष्मणः कुटिलरोम्भः । 'अरालं वृजिनं जिह्मम्' इत्यमरः । अस्य त्वदीयस्य
चक्षुष आत्मीयं यस्मिन् मुखं चिरं लक्ष्यं विषयं न करोति । दृष्टिपयं न गच्छतीत्यर्थः ।
तदयं गर्वेण हतो निष्फलात्मत्वामो जात इति भावः ॥

"I know your beloved to have been duped by [his]
pride of beauty, in that he [lit., who, यः] does not, for
long, make his own face the mark for this eye of yours
that beholds in so lovely (*chaturam*) [lit., clever] a manner
[and] has curved eye-lashes.—49.

कियाच्चिरं भ्राम्यसि गौरि विद्यते ममापि पूर्वाभ्रमसंचितं तपः ।
तद्धर्धभागेन लभस्व काङ्क्षितं वरं तमिच्छामि च साधु वेदितुम् ५०
कियदिति ॥ हे गौरि, किंवदित्प्रमाणकम् । किमधिकमित्यर्थः । निरभ्राम्यसि
तपस्मसि । अत्यन्तसंयोगे द्वितीया । ममापि पूर्वाभ्रमः । अथमाभ्रमो ब्रह्मचर्याभ्रम-
स्तात्र संचितं संपादितं तपो विद्यते अर्धभागी भागश्च तेन तस्य तपसोऽर्धभागेनैक-
देशेन काङ्क्षितमिष्टं वरमुपयन्तारं लभस्व । तं वरं साधु सम्यग्वेदितुं शान्तिमिच्छामि ।
ययसी योग्मो भवति तदा ममापि संमतिरिति भावः ॥

"How long are you going to toil [or fatigue yourself,
s'rāmyasi]; oh Gauri ! [to] my [credit] even, [there is],
penance accumulated during the first (*pr̥eva*) stage of
life [i. e. *Brahmacharya*]. Do you obtain the desired
[one] with half the share of it. And [*cha*, as one more
thing], I wish to know him well [i. e. in a detailed
manner]."—50.

इति प्रविश्यामिद्विता द्विजन्मना मनोगतं सा न शशाक शंसितुम् ।
अथो वयस्यां परिपार्श्ववर्तिनीं विवर्तितानजननेवमैक्षत ॥ ५१ ॥

इतीति ॥ इतीत्यं द्विजन्मान द्विजेन प्रविश्यान्तर्गतत्वा । आसवद्रहस्यमुद्रा-
ध्यैत्यर्थः । अमिद्वितीया सा पार्वती मनोगतं हृदित्यं वरं शंसितुं वक्तुं न शशाक

समर्था नाभूत् । लज्जयेति शेषः । अयोऽनन्तरं परिपार्श्ववर्तिनीं वयस्यां सखीं
विवर्तितं विचालितमनजनं व्रतवशाद्वर्जितकबलं नेत्रं यस्मिन्कर्मणि तत्तथैकत ।
नेत्रसंज्ञयैव प्रत्युत्तरं वाचयांचकारेत्यर्थः ॥ 38142

She thus addressed by the twice-born [one] after
[his] having secured an entrance [into her confidence,
i. e. having won her confidence], was not able to speak
out (s'amsitum) [her] mind [lit., the (thought) contained
in her mind]. Then (atha), [she] looked at her female
friend, who constantly used to be by her side, having
turned [her] eyes, devoid of collyrium (an-anjane) [towards
the latter].—51.

सखी तदीया तमुयाच वर्णिनं नियोध साधो तव चेत्कुतूहलम् ।
यदर्थमम्भोजमियोष्णधारणं कृतं तपःसाधनमेतया वपुः ॥ ५२ ॥

सखीति ॥ तस्याः पार्वत्या इयं तदीया सखी वयस्यां तम् । 'वर्णः प्रशम्नः ।
इति क्षीरस्वामी । सोऽस्यान्तीति वर्णिनं व्रतधारिणम् । 'वर्णाद्ब्रह्मचारिणि'
इतीति प्रत्ययः । उवाच ब्रूते स्म । किमिति । हे साधो विद्वन्, तव कुतूहलं चेत् ।
श्रोतुमन्तीति शेषः । तर्हि नियोधावगच्छ । आकण्ठेत्यर्थः । 'युध अवगमने'
इति धातोर्मावादिक्कालोद् । श्रोतव्यं किं तदाह—यस्मै लभायेदं यदर्थम् । 'अर्थेन
सह नित्यसमासः सर्वलिङ्गता चेति वक्तव्यम्' इति वार्तिकनियमादिकया विशेषणम् ।
एतया पार्वत्याम्भोजं पद्ममुष्णधारणमातपत्रमिव वपुः शरीरं तपःसाधनं कृतम् ।
तपःप्रशस्तिवारणमुच्यते भूयतामित्यर्थः ॥

The female friend of that [Pārvatī] said to that celibate
student of Veda (वर्णिनम् व्रतधारिणम्), 'know fully, oh good
man ! if there is curiosity on your part, [that] for
which, by this one has been made her body a means
for penance [even] like a lotus [being used as] the
warder off of [i. e. as an umbrella protecting one against
the] heat [of the sun]."—52.

‘दक्षानःसत्रसंकल्यो जायतः कृत्ताररतिः । हीत्वागोन्मादमुच्छान्ता इत्यन-
ज्ञदशा दश ॥’ इति । तत्रास्याः काचिदशाः ‘कममनादस्यैव योजयति ‘इयम्’
इत्यादिभिः पङ्क्तिभिः श्लोकैः—

इयं महेन्द्रप्रभृतीनाधिधियश्चतुर्दिगीशानवमत्य मानिनी ।

अरूपहार्यं मदनस्य निग्रहात्पिनाकपाणिं पतिमाप्नुमिच्छति ॥ ५३ ॥

इयामिति ॥ मानिनीन्द्राणीप्रभृतीरतिशय्य वर्तितव्यमित्यभिमानवतीयं
पार्वत्यधिधियोऽधिकैश्वर्यान्महेन्द्रप्रभृतीनिन्द्रादींश्चतसृणां दिशामीशानिन्द्रवरुणयम-
कुवेरान् । ‘तद्विहार्यं—’ इत्यादिनोत्तरपदसमासः । अवमत्यावधूय मदनस्य
निग्रहात्पिनाकपाणेनः । अक्षमुकत्वादित्यर्थः । रूपेण सौन्दर्येण हार्यो वशीकरणीयो
न भवतीत्यरूपहार्यं पिनाकः पाणी यस्य तं पिनाकपाणिं हरम् । ‘प्रहरणार्थेभ्यः
परं निष्ठासप्तम्यौ भवतः’ इति साधु । पतिं भर्तारमाप्नुमिच्छति । एतेन संकल्पा-
वस्था सूचिता ॥

“This [Pārvatī] here, [extremely] proud, having
disregarded the lords of the four quarters, Indra and others,
possessed of great wealth, desires to secure as [her]
husband, the [god] with the Pināka [bow in his] hand,
who can not be enticed by beauty, by reason of [his]
thwarting (nigrāha) of Madana.—53.

असह्यङ्कारनिवर्तितः पुरा पुरारिमप्राप्तमुखः शिलीमुखः । ५४ ॥
इमां हृदि व्यायतपातमक्षिणोद्विशीर्णमूर्तेरपि पुष्पधन्वनः ॥ ५४ ॥

असह्येति ॥ पुरा पूर्वमसह्येन सौकुमार्यशक्त्येन हङ्कारेण रौद्रेण निवर्तितः । अतएव
पुरारिं हरमप्राप्तमुखोऽप्राप्तफलो विशीर्णमूर्तेर्नष्टशरीरस्यापि पुष्पधन्वनः कामस्य
शिलीमुखो बाण इमां पार्वती हृदि व्यायतः । सुदूरावगाढ इति यावत् । तारकपातः
प्रहारो वस्तिन्कर्मेणि तत्तयाक्षिणोदकशतम् । दग्धदेहस्यापि मार्गणो लभः । ‘वृद्धः
सर्वत्र बाध्यते’ इति भावः । अनेन ‘विवृण्वती शैलमुतापि मायम्’ (१ । ६८)
इत्यत्रोक्तं चतुःश्रुतिमनःसत्ताख्यमवस्थाद्वयमनन्तरावस्थोपयोगितयान्तरं कार्या-
वस्था सूचिता ॥

तमानजनितमदनपदेनामेनां वीक्ष्य किनर्योऽपि रुदुरिति भावः । अत्र वर्णस्तलन-
लक्षणकार्योक्त्या पुनः पुनस्तत्कारणाभूतमूर्च्छावस्थाप्रादुर्भावो व्यज्यतेऽन्यथा
सखीरोदनानुपपत्तेरिति । द्वादशावस्थापक्षे तु प्रलापावस्था च व्यज्यते । 'प्रलापो
गुणकोतनम्' इत्यालंकारिकाः ॥

"When the life of Pināki [S'ankara] had songs [in
its honour] taken up [for singing], this one [Pārvati]
here, many a time, made the young kinnara—princesses,
her female companions in singing in the forest-regions,
weep, by [her] words that faltered in the throat
[choked] with tears.—56 .

त्रिभागशेषास्तु निशास्तु च क्षणं निमील्य नेत्रे सहसा व्यबुध्यत ।
कः नीलकण्ठः प्रजसीत्यलक्ष्ययागंसत्यकण्ठार्पितयाहुबन्धना ॥५७॥

त्रिभागेति ॥ किंचेति चार्थः । शिष्यव इति शेषः । कर्मणि घञ् । त्रिभ्यो
भागेभ्यः । शेषास्तुशेषास्तु । बद्धा रात्रेऽस्त्रियामत्वेन शसिद्वत्त्वात्तृतीयो भागत्रि-
भागः । संख्याशब्दस्य वृत्तिविषये पूरणार्थत्वमिष्यते । यथा 'शतांशः'
'सहस्रांशः' इति । त्रिभागः शेषो यासां तास्तु निशास्तु क्षणं क्षणमात्रं नेत्रे
निमील्य नीलयित्वा सहसा सच । हे नीलकण्ठ, कः प्रजसि कुत्र गच्छसीत्यलक्ष्य
निर्विषया वाक्चर्चनं यस्याः सा तयोष्णा तथासत्ये मिथ्वाभूते कण्ठेऽर्पितं बाहुबन्धनं
यस्याः सा तथा सखी व्यबुध्यत विबुद्धवती । एतेन जागरोन्मादी सूचिती ॥

"And during nights with [their] third part remaining
[two thirds already having been passed without a wink
of sleep], [Pārvati] having closed [her] eyes for a
moment, used to be awakened,—all on a sudden [ahaaā],
with aimless (अन्धकार) words 'Where oh Nilakantha !
are you going ?' [and] with the noose [lit., tie] of [her]
arms thrown round a non-existing (नास्त) neck.—57.

स्वप्नगादस्य श्रुतिदत्तं न तद्वत्सृष्टस्य चास्याधत्वारो निरदिष्टां विनोदाः । तत्र
स्वप्नतददर्शनमुरत्वा श्रुतिदत्तदर्शनमाह—

यदा बुधैः सर्वगतस्त्वमुच्यसे न वेत्ति भावस्थमिमं कथं जनम् ।
इति स्यहस्तोल्लिखितश्च मुग्धया रहस्युपालभ्यत चन्द्रशेखरः ॥५८॥

यदेति ॥ यदा । यत इत्यर्थः । यदेति हेतावित्युक्त्वा गणव्याख्यानेऽस्योदा-
हृतत्वात् । त्वं बुधैर्मनीषिभिः सर्वगतः सर्वव्यापीत्युच्यसे । तत इत्यध्याहारः ।
भावे । रत्याख्ये तिष्ठतीति भावस्थम् । त्वय्यनुगणिमितीत्यर्थः । इमं जनम् ।
इममित्यात्मनिर्देशः । कथं न वेत्ति न जानासीति मुग्धया मूढया । अकिंचित्कर-
धिन्नगतोपालम्भ इत्यजानानयेत्यर्थः । तथा स्वहस्तेनोल्लिखितश्चित्रे लिखितचन्द्र-
शेखरो रहस्येकान्ते । सखीमात्रसमक्षमित्यर्थः । उपालभ्यत साधिक्षेपमुक्तम् ।
उक्तसमुच्चयार्थश्चकारः । यद्यपि रहसीत्युक्तं तथापि सखीसमक्षकरणाद्ब्रह्मात्यागो
व्यज्यत एव ॥

“ When you are described [as] omnipresent [lit., gone everywhere], by the learned, how do you not know this person [i. e. me] in love (bhāvaṣṭha) [with you]?—thus, further, (cha) was the moon-crested [god] drawn [in a picture] by her own hand, secretly rebuked, ” by the simple one (mugdhayā).—58

यदा च तस्याधिगमे जगत्पतेरपश्यदन्यं न विधिं विचिन्वती ।
तदा सहासामिरनुग्रया गुरोरियं प्रपन्ना तपसे तपोवनम् ॥ ५९ ॥

यदेति ॥ जगत्पतेस्तत्पतेस्तस्याधिगमे प्राप्तावन्यं विधिमुपायं विचिन्वती ।
मृगयमाणा यदा नापश्यत्तदेयं पार्वती गुरोः पितुरनुग्रयात्माभिः सह तपसे
तपश्चरितुं तपोवनं प्रपन्ना प्राप्ता ॥

“ And when, searching [a good deal] [this one] did not see any other means (vidhi) for the winning of that lord of the world, (then—tadā), along with us, [Pārvatī] came to the penance-grove for [practising] austerities, with the permission of [her] father.—59

द्रुमेषु सख्या कृतजन्मसु स्वयं फलं तपःसाक्षिषु दृष्टमेव्यपि ।
न च प्रतोद्वाभिमुखोऽपि दृश्यते मनोरथोऽस्याः रुदिमौलिसंश्रयः ६०

दुमेष्टिविति ॥ 'सख्या पार्वत्या स्वयं कृतं जन्म तेषां तेषु । स्वयं रोपितेष्वित्यर्थः । तपसः साक्षिणु साक्षाद्दृष्ट्वेषु दुमेष्ट्वपि फलं दृष्टं लब्धम् । जन्मित्यर्थः । अस्याः पार्वत्याः शशिमौलिसंश्रयश्चन्द्रशेखरविषयो मनोरथस्तु प्ररोहा-
भिमुखोऽङ्कुरोन्मुखोऽपि न दृश्यते । 'प्ररोहस्त्वङ्कुरोऽङ्कुरः' इति वैजयन्ती । स्वयं रोपितवृक्षफलकालेऽप्यस्या मनोरथस्य नाङ्कुरोदयोऽप्यस्ति । फलाशा तु दुरापास्तैत्यर्थः ॥

"Even on these trees, brought into existence, by [my] friend, personally, and witnesses to [her] penance, is seen [their] fruit. Her cherished desire -resorting to the god with the moon on his head is, however, not (na cha) seen to be ready for [so much as] a shoot.—60.

न वेदि स प्रार्थितदुर्लभः कदा सखीभिरलोत्तरमक्षितामिमाम् ।
तपःकृशामभ्युपपत्स्यते सखी वृषेय सीतां तदवग्रहक्षताम् ॥६१॥

नेति ॥ प्रार्थितः सन्दुर्लभः प्रार्थितदुर्लभः स देवस्तपःकृशा तपसा कृशा क्षीणमतएव सखीभिरलोत्तरमभ्युपगम्य गया भवति तथेक्षितामिमां नः सखीं तस्येन्द्रस्यावग्रहेणानावृष्ट्या क्षतां पीडिताम् । 'वृष्टिर्वै तद्विषातेऽवग्राहावग्रही समौ' इत्यमरः । अवग्रहः । वर्षप्रतिबन्ध इत्यर्थः । सीतां कृष्टभुवम् । 'सीता कात्रल-
पद्यतिः' इत्यमरः । वृषा वासव इव । 'वासवी वृषहा वृषा' इत्यमरः । कदाभ्यु-
पपत्स्यते कदानुग्रहीष्यति न वेदि । अत्र यावयायं कर्म । तदवग्रहक्षतामित्यव-
ग्रहक्षतामित्यनेनैव गतार्थत्वे तत्पदस्य वैवर्ध्यापत्तेरिति भिन्नं पदं वेद्यीत्यस्य
कमेति युक्तमुत्पदयामः ॥

"I do not know, when he, difficult to be obtained though solicited, would favour [our] friend, here, emacia-
ted (krishām) by penance, and looked at by her friends, in a manner dominated by tears (asrottaram), like Indra [favour-
ing] the ploughed ground, dried up (क्षताम्) by draught [caused by him]."—61

अगूढसद्भावमितीक्षितज्ञया निवेदितो नैष्ठिकसुन्दरस्तया ।
अयीदमेवं परिहास इत्युमामपृच्छद्व्यञ्जितहर्षलक्षणः ॥ ६२ ॥

अगूढेति ॥ इतिज्ञया पार्वतीहृदयाभिज्ञया । 'इतिज्ञं हृदतो भावः' इति
राजनः । तया गौरीसख्येत्येवमगूढसद्भावं प्रकाशितसदभिप्रायं यथा तथा निवेदितो
ज्ञापितो निष्ठा मरणमवधिर्वन्म स नैष्ठिको यावन्जीवब्रह्मचारी सुन्दरो विलासी ।
नैष्ठिक्यासी सुन्दरश्चेति तथोक्तः । द्वयोरन्यतरस्य विशेषणविविधधर्मा विशेषण-
समाप्तः । किन्तु नैष्ठिकत्वविशेषणेन कामित्वविरोधः । अथवा देवस्यार्त्तिकमहिम-
त्वादुभयं तात्त्विकमिति न विरोधः । अव्यञ्जितं हर्षलक्षणं मुसुरागादि हर्षलिङ्गं
यस्य तथाभूतः सन् । अकिं गौरी । अयीति कोमलामन्त्रणे । इदं त्वस्सखीमापिन-
मेवम् । सत्यं किमित्यर्थः । परिहासः केतिर्वा । 'द्वक्केलिपरिहासाः' इत्यमरः ।
इत्येवमुमामपृच्छत्पृष्ठवान् ॥

The handsome, life-long (नैष्ठिक) Brahmacharin, thus
informed by her, who knew the secret of Pārvatī's heart, in
a manner in which the real intention (sadbhāva) was
disclosed, [without disclosing signs of joy [he felt]
inquired of Umā, 'O [delicate] one, is this so ? [Or]
[is it] a jest ?"—62

अथाग्रदस्ते मुकुलीकृताङ्गुली समर्पयन्ती स्फटिकाक्षमालिकाम् ।
कथंचिद्द्रेस्तनया मिताक्षरे विरम्यवस्थापितवामागणन ॥ ६३ ॥

अपति ॥ अथानन्तरमद्रेस्तनया पार्वती मुकुलीकृताङ्गुली संपुटीकृताङ्गुली ।
अग्रधामी हस्तधेति समानाधिकरणमभासः । इन्द्रप्रमदहस्तयोर्गुणगुणिनोर्निर्देशभेदा-
दिनि वामनः । तस्मिन्प्रमदहस्ते स्फटिकाक्षमालिका ज्वरमाविष्टामर्पयन्त्यामुग्री
कथंचिन्महता कष्टेन विरम्यवस्थापितवामिचरेण स्वीकृत्याह । एतेन लज्जोपरिपो-
ष्ययते । मिताक्षरे परिमितवर्णं यथा तवामागणनं कथयते ॥

Then the daughter of the mountain, placing the rosary
of crystal beads, in [her] fore-hand, the fingers of which
had been formed into a bud, having arranged (lit., one who

arranged) her speech after a long time, spoke some how (i. e. with great difficulty) in a manner, where the words were measured (i. e. few).—63

• किमुवाचेत्याह—

यथा धृतं वेदविदां वर त्वया जनोयमुच्चैःपदलङ्घनोत्सुकः ।

तपः किलेवं तदवाप्तिसाधनं मनोरथानामंगतिर्न विद्यते ॥ ६४ ॥

यथेति ॥ हे वेदविदां वर वैदिकश्रेष्ठ, स्वयां यथा धृतं सम्यक्धृतम् । धृतार्थमेवाह—अयं जनः । स्वयमित्यर्थः । उच्चैःपदस्य शिवलाभरूपोन्नतस्थानस्य लङ्घन आक्रमण उत्सुकः । किमत्रायुक्तमित्यत्राह—इदं तपस्तदवाप्तेः स्वयैःपदस्वावाप्तेः प्राप्तेः साधनं किल । किलेत्यलंके । अतितुच्छत्वादसाधकमेवेत्यर्थः । तर्हि सग्न्यतामित्याशङ्क्य दुराशा मां न मुञ्चतीत्याशयेनाह—मनोरथानां कामानामंगतिरविवश्यो न विद्यते । न हि स्वशक्तिपर्यालोचनया कामाः प्रवर्तन्त इति भावः ॥

“ O [you] the best among the learned in the Vedas, this person is eager to attain to the exalted position [of being the consort of S'iva], in that [same] manner (*yaishā*) in which, you have heard from my friend. This penance is, I hope (*hiā*), the means for obtaining it. [There is] no province that is not reached (*gati*) by one's cherished desires. ”—64

अथाह वर्षा विदितो मद्देश्वरस्तदर्थिनी त्वं पुनरेव वर्तसे ।

अमङ्गलाभ्यासरतिं विविन्त्य तं तवानुवृत्तिं न च कर्तुमुत्सहे ॥ ६५ ॥

अथेति ॥ अथ वर्षा प्रद्वारिणी । ‘वर्णिनां प्रद्वारिणीः’ इत्यमरः । आह । उवाचेत्यर्थः । ‘आहेति भूतार्थे लट्प्रयोगो ध्रान्तिमूलः’ इत्याह वामनः । किमित्याह—मद्देश्वरे मदादेश्वरो विदितः । ममा ज्ञायत इत्यर्थः । मुत्सर्पार्थत्वाद्दर्शनाने कप्रत्ययः । तपोगात्रशी च । येन त्वं प्राम्प्रममनोरथा श्रुतेति भावः । पुनरेव त्वं तमीध्वरमर्थमसे तदर्थिन्येव तत्कर्मैव प्रवर्तसे । तत्प्रभावमनुभूयसीति भावः ।

पर्यालोचय । किमिति । कलहंसलक्षणं कलहंसंचिह्नम् । 'चिह्नं लक्ष्म च लक्षणम्' इत्यमरः । वध्वा नवोडाया दुकूलं वधूदुकूलम् । 'वधूः स्नुषा नवोडा स्त्री' इति विश्वः । तथा शोणितविन्दून्वपंतीति तथोक्तम् । आर्द्रमित्यर्थः । गज्जामिनं च कृत्तिवासश्च । तटिपनाकिन इत्याशयः । एते कदाचिन्वात्वपि योगं संगतिमर्हतो यद्यर्हतः किम् । एतत्त्वमेव चिन्तयेति पूर्वोक्तान्वयः । पाणिग्रहणकाले वधूवयोर्वस्त्रान्तग्रन्थिः क्रियते । कृत्तिवाससा पाणिपीडने तु दुकूलधारिण्याम्भव कथं संघटिष्यत इति भावः ॥

"Oh [Pārvati] do you just (tāvat) think out quite by yourself (tvam eva) whether these two [things] deserve union [with each other] [these two namely] the silken robe of the bride, with the figures (लक्षणं, lit., marks) of royal swans [drawn on it] and the elephant-hide dripping forth drops of blood.—67.

चतुष्कपुष्पप्रकराद्यकीर्णयोः परोऽपि को नाम तवानुमन्यते ।

अलक्तकाङ्गानि पद्मानि पादयोर्विकीर्णकेशासु परेतभूमिषु ॥६८॥ २०५१

चतुष्केति ॥ चतुष्के गृहविशेषे यः पुष्पप्रकरस्तथावकीर्णयोग्यस्तयोः । कुमारस्तुतदिव्यमवतनभूषणारोचितयोरित्यर्थः । तव पादयोरलक्तकाङ्गानि लाङ्गारगुणितानि पद्मानि पादाकाराणि पादन्यासचिह्नानि । 'पदं शब्दे च वाच्ये च व्यवसायापदेशयोः । पादतविहयोः' इति विश्वः । विकीर्णां विस्तिताः केशाः शयशिरोरहायासु तासु विकीर्णकेशासु । 'अतस्त्वं तत्र दृष्टं च' इति वचनान् 'स्वाहाघोषसर्जनादसंयोगोपादान्' इति विकल्पाच्च लीप् । परेतभूमिषु प्रेतभूमिषु । श्मशानेष्वित्यर्थः । परोऽपि शत्रुरपि को नामानुमन्यते । न कोऽपीत्यर्थः । नामेति वृत्तायाम् । पिनाकपाणिपाणिग्रहणे तस्य परेतभूषणारोचितत्वेन साहचर्यात्तथापि तत्र संस्कारोऽवश्यमर्हतीति भावः ॥

"Who would, even [if he be] an enemy, indeed, brook the idea (lit., agree with you) [of seeing] the foot-prints marked with the Alaktaka dye of your feet, [first] placed upon the cluster of flowers in the quadrangular courtyard

(चतुष्क) [at the time of the marriage] [being later seen] on the ground of the dead, with hair scattered about [on all sides] ?—68

अयुक्तरूपं किमतः परं वद् त्रिनेत्रवक्षः सुलभं तथापि यत् ।
स्तनद्वयेऽस्मिन्दरिचन्दनास्पदे पदं चितामस्मरजः करिष्यति ॥६९॥

अयुक्तेति ॥ त्रिनेत्रवक्षः व्यस्यकालिजनमित्यर्थः । तव तत्संबन्धितया सुलभमपि सुप्रापं च । भवतीति शेषः । तवेति शेषे पठ्यते, 'न लोकाव्यय-' इत्यादिना कृद्योगलक्षणपठ्या नियेधान् । अतः परमस्मारित्रिनेत्रवक्षोलाभादन्यदयुक्तरूपमत्यन्तायुक्तं किं वद् । न किंचिदित्यर्थः । 'प्रशंसार्था रूपम्' इति रूपप्रत्ययः । वृत्तः । यद्यस्मात्कारणद्वरिचन्दनास्पदे हरिचन्दनस्थास्पदे स्थानभूतेऽस्मिन्स्तनद्वये चितामस्म इमं गानमस्म तदेव रजधूर्णं कर्तुं । पदं करिष्यति पदं निधास्यति । भर्तुर्भवस्य मस्माद्भराणादिति भावः ॥

"O, say, what thing more undecorous (ayuktarūpam) can there be than this that the particles of funeral ashes [lit., ashes from the funeral pyre] easy to be obtained from the chest of S'iva [lit., the three eyed-god] will have a residence (padam) even on this pair of breasts of yours [which is] a proper abode for red-sandal [-powder] ?—69

इयं च तेऽन्या पुरतो विद्वम्बना यदृद्धया वारणराजद्वार्यया ।
विलोक्य वृद्धोऽक्षमधिष्ठितं स्वयामहाजनः स्मेरमुखो भविष्यति ॥७०॥

इयमिति ॥ इयं च ते तव पुरत आक्षेपेनान्या विद्वम्बना । परिहास इत्यर्थः । वा येत्यत्राह—ऊद्धया परिणीतया । वृद्धेः कर्मणि णः । वारणराजद्वार्यया स्वयमधिष्ठितमाह—वृद्धमुखाणं वृद्धाक्षम् । 'अचतुर-' इत्यादिना निपातः । विलोक्य महाजनः साधुजनः स्मेरमुखः स्मिनमुखो भविष्यत्युदभिमिष्यति यद् । इयमिति पूर्वैर्ग संबन्धः । स्मेरेणे 'नमिबधिरस्म्यजन-' इत्यादिना रप्रत्ययः ॥

"And this again would be another mockery of yours [only] to start with (पुरत-), that the great ~~one~~ will be having smiling faces on looking at the supra-annuated

(vridha) bull, mounted by you, wedded [to S'iva] and worthy of being borne by a lordly elephant.—70

द्वयं गतं संप्रति शोचनीयतां समागमप्रार्थनया पिनाकिनः ।

कला च सा कान्तिमती कलावतस्त्वमस्य लोकस्य च नेत्रकौमुदी ७१

द्वयमिति ॥ पिनाकिन ईश्वरस्य समागमप्रार्थनया प्राप्तिरामनया । क्रियमाणयेति शेषः । संप्रति द्वयं शोचनीयतां शोच्यत्वं गतम् । किं तदाह—सा प्रागेव हरशिरोगता । अत्र सेति प्रसिद्धार्थत्वाच्च यच्छब्दापेक्षा । तदुक्तं काव्यप्रकाशे—
'प्रकान्तप्रसिद्धानुभूतार्थविषयस्तच्छब्दो यदुपादानं नापेक्षते' इति । कान्तिमती ।
नित्ययोगे मनुष्यः । कलावतस्त्वमस्य कला शोच्यो भागधात्य लोकस्य नेत्रकौमुदी ।
नेत्रानन्दिनीत्यर्थः । त्वं च । कान्तिमतीत्वनेत्रकौमुदीत्वविशेषणयोर्भयप्राप्त्यन्वयः ।
प्रागेकैव शोच्याः । संप्रति तु त्वमप्यपरेति द्वयं शोच्यमिति पिण्डितार्थः । शोच्यत्वं
च निकृष्टाश्रयणादिति भावः ॥

Two things have now gone to a lamentable state, by their soliciting the company of Kapalin (i. e. S'iva), [one] the well-known (कला) resplendent digit of the moon (lit., one possessed of digits) and [the other] you [the veritable] moon-light to the eyes of the world.—71.

‘कन्या वरयते रूपं माता वित्तं पिता ध्रुतम् । बान्धवाः कुत्रमिच्छन्ति मित्राणामितरे जनाः ॥’ इति लोकागमामावणम् । तत्र किंचिदपि नास्तीत्याह—

यपुर्विरूपाक्षमलक्ष्यजन्मता दिगम्बरत्वेन निवेदितं वसु ।

। घरेषु यद्वालमृगाक्षि मृग्यते तदस्ति किं व्यस्तमपि त्रिलोचने ॥७२॥

यपुरिति ॥ यपुस्तावदस्य विरूपाणि विवृतरूपाण्यक्षीणि नेत्राणि यस्य तद्विरूपाक्षम् । ‘यद्गुह्रीहो सत्रय्यक्ष्णोः स्वाहात्यच्’ इति पञ्चत्ययः । वैरूप्यं च त्रिनेत्रत्वादिति शीरस्त्रामी । अतो न सौन्दर्यवातापत्त्यर्थः । अलक्ष्यमज्ञानं जन्म यस्य तस्य भावमज्ञाता । कुत्रमपि न ज्ञायत इत्यर्थः । ‘अलक्षिता जनिः’ इति पाठे जनिरूपनिर्लक्षितं न ज्ञाता । ‘जनिरूपतिरुद्धवः’ इत्यमरः । यमु वित्तं दिगम्बरत्वेनैव निवेदितम् । नास्तीति आपितमिदमर्थः । यदि वित्तं भवति तदा

कथं दिगम्बरो भवति । अतो ज्ञेयं निर्धनोऽयमिति । किञ्चिदुना हे बालमृगाक्षि पार्वति, वरेषु बोद्धुं 'वरैः जामातृबोद्धारैः' इति विश्वः । यद्रूपवितादिकं मृग्यते कन्यातद्दन्धुमिरन्विष्यते तत्रितोचने त्र्यम्बके व्यसाम् । एकमपि समस्तं मा भूदिति भावः । अस्ति किम् । नास्त्येवेत्यर्थः ॥

"A body possessed of deformed eyes, condition of birth being unknown, wealth made known by his being possessed of the quarters as garments, oh fawn-eyed one, does that exist even singly (*vyastam*) in the three-eyed god, which is [generally] sought [in a collected form] in [the case of] bridegrooms ?—72

निवर्तयास्मादसदीप्सितान्मनः क तद्विधस्त्यं क च पुण्यलक्षणा ।
अपेक्ष्यते साधुजनेन वैदिकी श्मशानशूलस्य न यूपसन्निव्या ॥७३॥

निवर्तयेति ॥ अस्मादसदीप्सितादनिष्टमनोरयान्मनो निवर्तय निवारय । सा पूर्वोक्ता विधा प्रकारो यस्य तथोक्तः । अमन्त्रलक्ष्मी इत्यर्थः । क । महदन्तर-
मित्यर्थः । पुण्यलक्षणा प्रशस्तभाग्यचिह्नं त्वं च क । अतो न तवायमहं इत्यर्थः ।
तथादि । साधुजनेन । 'साधुर्वायुषिके चारौ सज्जने चाभिधेयवत्' इति विश्वः ।
श्मशानशूलस्य श्मशानभूमिनिष्ठातस्य यच्चसद्बोर्दिकी वेदोक्ता यूपो नाम
पशुबन्धनसाधनभूतः संस्मृतादशविशेषस्तस्य सत्क्रिया प्रोक्षणाभ्युक्षणादिसंस्कारो
यूपसत्क्रिया नापेक्ष्यते नेष्यते । यथा श्मशानशूले यूपसत्क्रिया न क्रियते तथा
त्वमपि तस्मै ॥ पठस्य इति तात्पर्यार्थः ॥

"Turn away [your] mind from this improper desire. Where is he of that sort, and where [are] you, possessed of blessed signs ? The honour [by way of consecration] of a sacrificial post (*yūpa*) in keeping with the rules of Veda, is not expected by the good in case of [an iron] stake in the cemetery. "—73.

इति द्विजाती प्रतिफूलपादिनि प्रयेषमानाधरलक्ष्म्यकोपया ।

यियुञ्जितभूलतमादिते तथा त्रितोचने त्रियंगुपाशान्दोदिते ॥७४॥

इतीति ॥ इत्येवंप्रकारेण द्विजाती द्विजे प्रतिकूलवादिनि सति प्रवेपमानेन चञ्चलेनाधरेणाधरोष्ठेन लक्ष्योऽनुमेयः कोपः क्रोधो वस्यास्तथोक्तया तया पार्वत्यो-
पान्तलोहिते ग्रान्तरक्ते, विलोचने विकुञ्चिते कुटिलिते भ्रूल्लते यस्मिन्लतया ।
सम्भूतमित्यर्थः । तिर्यक्साच्याहिते निहिते । अनादरातिर्यक्क्षयतेत्यर्थः ॥

While the twice-born one was talking unfavourably, in this way, by her whose wrath could be inferred, from the excessively throbbing lower lip, were cast askance (*tiryak*), her eyes red at the corners, in a manner in which her creeper like eye-brows were knit.—74.

उवाच चैनं परमार्थतो हरं न येत्सि नूनं यत् एवमात्थ माम् ।
अलोकसामान्यमचिन्त्यहेतुकं द्विपन्ति मन्दाश्चरितं महात्मनाम् ७५

उवाचेति ॥ अयेनं ब्रह्मचारिणमुवाच च । किमिति । परमार्थतन्त्रत्वतो हरं न
येत्सि न जानासि नूनम् । कुतः । यतो मामेवमुक्त्वा रीत्यात्थ मवीदि । 'द्युवः
पयानामादितः—' इति ह्यसिद्धिः । अज्ञानादेवार्थं शिवदेपस्तवेत्याशयेनाह—मन्दा
मूढाः । 'मूढात्पापदुर्निर्माया मन्दा.' इत्यमरः । लोकसामान्यमितरजनसाधारणं
न भवतीत्यलोकसामान्यमचिन्त्यहेतुकं बुद्धोपकारणकं महात्मनां चरितम् ।
द्विपन्ति द्वैवपरिज्ञानादुपयन्ति । विद्वांसस्तु कोऽन्वय हेतुरस्तीति षडु मन्यन्त
इत्यर्थः ॥

And she thus spoke to him "indeed (*nūnam*) you do not know Hara truly, whence you address me thus; the dull-witted hate the conduct of the high-souled which is not common with [that] of ordinary run of people and which has a cause that is inscrutable.—75.

मंप्रति 'अमललाभ्यातरुणिम्' (५।६५) इत्याद्युक्तं दूषणज्ञातम् 'विपद्'
इत्यादिभिः पद्भिः श्लोकेः परिहर्तुमारभते—

विपत्प्रतीकारपरेण मङ्गलं निवेद्यते भूतिसमुत्सुकेन या ।
जगच्छरण्यम्य निराक्षिपः संतः किमेभिदाशोपहृताम्यमृत्तिभिः ७६

विपदिति ॥ विपत्प्रतीकारण्येण । अनर्थपरिहारार्थिनेत्यर्थः । 'उपसर्गस्य घन्यमनुष्ये बहुलम्' इति दीर्घः । भूतिममृतमुक्तैर्नैश्वर्यकामेन वा मद्गलं गन्ध-
माल्यादिकं निषेव्यते । शरणे रक्षणे साधुः शरण्यः । 'तत्र साधुः' इति यत्प्रत्ययः ।
'शरणं गृहरक्षिप्रो' इत्यमरः । जगतः शरण्यमस्य जगच्छरणस्य निराशिपो
निरमिलापस्य मतः शिवस्य । 'आशीरुदगदंष्ट्रायां विप्रवाक्यामिलापयोः' इति
शाधत । आशया तृणयोपहृता दृषितात्मदृष्टिरन्तःकरणवृत्तिर्वेषां तैरोभेमद्वलै-
किम् । गृहेत्यर्थः । पूर्वं मद्गलमित्येकवचनस्य जात्यभिप्रायत्वादेभिरिति
बहुवचनेन परामर्शो न विरुध्यते । दृष्टावात्यनिष्टपरिहारार्थिनो हि मद्गलाचार-
निर्वन्धः । तदुभयासंमृष्टस्य तु यथाकथंचिद्विद्वान्नाम् । को दोष इत्यर्थः । एतेन
'अमद्गलाभ्यासरतिम्' (५ । ६५) इत्युक्तं प्रत्युक्तम् ॥

"An auspicious thing is resorted to [either] by one bent
on counter-acting a calamity, or by one who longs for
prosperity (bhūṣ). Of what use, to one who is the refuge of
the world, and [who is] without any desires, are these
[things] which affect (or taint) the operations (वृत्ति) of
[one's] mind by creating longings ?—76.

अकिंचनः सन्प्रभवः स संपदां त्रिलोकनाथः पितृसन्नगोचरः ।
स भीमरूपः शिष्य इत्युदीर्यते न सन्ति यथार्थविदः पिनाकिनः ॥ ७७ ॥

अकिंचनेति ॥ स हरः । न विद्यते किंचन श्रम्यं यस्य सोऽकिंचनो दरिद्रः
सन् । संपदां प्रभवत्यस्मादिति प्रभवः कारणम् । पितृसन्नगोचरः स्मशानाश्रयः
सन् । त्रयाणां लोकानां नाथः । 'तद्वितार्थः' इत्यादिनोत्तरपदसमाप्तः । न देवो
भीमरूपो भर्षकराकारः सन् । शिवः सौम्यरूप इत्युदीर्यते उच्यते । अतः पिना-
किनो हरस्य यथाभूतोऽर्थो यथार्थस्तस्य भावो याथार्थ्यं तत्त्वं तस्य विदो न
सन्ति । लोकोत्तरमदिप्रो निर्लेपस्य यथाकथंचिदवस्थानं न दोषायेति भावः ।
एतेन 'अवस्तुनिर्वन्धारे' (५ । ६६) इति परित्तं वेदितव्यम् ॥

"He is the source of [all] riches [though] himself
penniless (lit:—though possessed of naught). [He is] the
lord of the three worlds, [though] always found in the

cemetery [lit.,—the home of the manes]. He is declared [to be] gentle (S'iva) though possessed of a terrible form. Knowers of the holder of Pināka, (i. e. S'ankara) as he actually is, there are none.—77

देवस्य लौकिकमलौकिकं च प्रसाधनं नास्तीत्याक्षयेनाह—

विभूषणोद्भासि पिनद्धभोगि वा गजाजिनालम्बि दुकूलधारि वा ।
कपालि वा स्यादधवेन्दुशेखरं न विश्वमूर्तेरवधार्यते वपुः ॥७८॥

विभूषणेति ॥ विश्वं मूर्तिर्यस्येति विश्वमूर्तेरष्टमूर्तेः शिवस्य वपुः शरीरं भूषणै-
रुद्भासत इति भूषणोद्भासि स्यात् । पिनद्धभोग्यामुक्तभुजंगमं वा स्यात् । पिनद्धेति
नक्षतेरपिपूर्वात्कर्मणि क्तः । ' वष्टि भागुरिरल्लोपमवाप्योरुपसर्गयोः ' इत्यकारलोपः ।
गजाजिनालम्बि स्यात् । अथवा दुकूलधारि स्यात् । कपालमस्यास्तीति कपालि ब्राह्म-
शिरः कपालशेखरं वा स्यात् इन्दुशेखरं वा स्यात् । नावधार्यते न निर्धार्यते ।
सर्वं संभवतीत्यर्थः । एतेन ' त्वमेव तावत् ' (५ । ६७) इति श्लोकोक्तं
प्रत्युक्तमिति ज्ञेयम् ॥

"The body (of him) with [the entire] universe for his
form, cannot be apprehended. [It may be] radiant on
account of ornaments or entwined with serpents. [It may be]
wearing the elephant hide, or putting on silken garment.
[It may be] possessed of a skull or of the moon on the
crest.—78

' अयुक्तस्ये किमत.परं वद ' (५ । ६९) इति श्लोकोक्तं प्रत्याह—

तदङ्गसंसर्गमवाप्य कल्पते ध्रुवं चित्तामस रजोविशुद्धये ।
तथाहि नृत्याभिनयक्रियाच्युतं विलिप्यते मौलिभिरम्बरैकसाम् ७९

तदिति ॥ तस्य शिवस्याङ्गं तस्य संसर्गमवाप्यासाय चित्तामसं रजोविशुद्धये
कल्पते । अलं पर्याप्नोतीत्यर्थः । अलमर्थयोगान् ' नमःस्वस्तिन्याहा—' इत्यादिना
चतुर्थी । ध्रुवं शोधकत्वम् । प्रमाणसिद्धमित्यर्थः । प्रमाणमेवाह—तथाहि । प्रसि-
द्धमेवेत्यर्थः । नृत्ये ताण्डवे योऽभिनयोऽर्थव्यप्रकचेष्टाविशेषः स एव क्रिया तया
निमित्तेन श्युतं पतितम् । चित्तामसरज इति शेषः । अम्बरैकसां देवानां

मौलिभिर्विलिप्यते ध्रियते । अशुद्धं चेत्कयमिन्द्रादिभिर्ध्रियेतैस्त्रयांपत्तिरनुमानं वा प्रमाणमित्यर्थः ॥

“Indeed [even] the particles of ashes from the funeral pyre, are capable of sanctification, after having obtained the contact with his body. For, it is well known (*latihāhi*) [that the particles of dust] that drop down in the acts of exhibiting gestures in the dances, are borne on (lit., -by) their heads by the gods (lit., by those who) have heaven as their abode.—79

यदुक्तम् ‘दिग्म्वरत्वेन निवेदितं वसु’ (५।७२), ‘ इयं च तेऽन्या पुरतो विटम्बना ’ (५।७०) इत्यादि च तत्रोत्तरमाह—

असंपदस्तस्य घृषेण गच्छतः प्रभिन्नदिग्वारणयाहनो घृषा ।
करोति पादायुपगम्य मौलिना विनिद्रमन्दाररञ्जोरुणाङ्गुली ॥८०॥

असंपद इति ॥ प्रभिन्नो महद्भावी दिग्वारणो दिग्गजो वाहनं यस्य सः ऐरावतेनोड इत्यर्थः । घृषा देवेन्द्रोऽसंपदो दरिद्रस्य घृषेण गच्छतो घृषभारुढस्य तस्येश्वरस्य पादौ मौलिना मुकुटेनोपगम्य । प्रणम्येत्यर्थः । विनिद्राणां विकसितानां मन्दाराणां कल्पतरुकुसुमानां रञ्जोभिः परागरुणा अङ्गुलयो ययोस्तां तयोक्तौ करोति । दिग्गजरोहिणामिन्द्रादीनामपि बन्धस्येन्दुमालेः किं संपदा, घृषारोहणे वा को दोष इत्यर्थः ॥

“Indra, who has for his vehicle the quarter-elephant in ichoral exudation (*prabhiana*), having approached, with his head (i. e. having prostrated himself at) the feet of him, who is penniless, and who moves about on the bull, makes (them i. e. the feet) possessed of toes reddish with the pollen of the full-blown Mandāra-flowers.—80

यदुक्तम् ‘अलक्ष्यजन्मता’ (५।७२) इति तत्रोत्तरमाह—
विवक्षता दोषमपि च्युतात्मना त्वयैकमीदं प्रति साधु भाषितम् ।
यमामन्तन्त्यात्मभवोऽपि कारणं कथं स लक्ष्यप्रभवो भविष्यति ८१

विषक्षणेति ॥ श्रुतात्मना मष्टस्वभावेनातएव दोषं दूषणं विवक्षता वक्तुमिच्छ-
तापि त्वयेशं प्रत्येकम् 'अलक्ष्यजन्मता' इत्येतदेकम् । वच इत्यर्थः । साधु
भाषितं सम्यगुक्तम् । उक्तः । यमीश्वरमात्मभुवोऽपि ब्रह्मणोपि । 'ब्रह्मात्मभुः
सुरज्येष्ठः' इत्यमरः । कारणमामनन्त्युदाहरन्ति । विद्वांस इति शेषः ।
'पाप्राप्तास्थाप्रादान् -' इत्यादिना मनादेशः । स ईश्वरः कथं लक्ष्यप्रभवो लक्ष्य-
जन्मा भविष्यति । अनादिनिघनस्य भगवतः कारणशङ्काकलङ्घ्य नान्विष्यत
इत्यर्थः ॥

"By you of a depraved soul, even [while] desirous of
declaring [only his] fault, has been said agreeably [i. e. to
the advantage], (at least) one thing, about the lord. How
can he whom they declare to be [the originating] cause of
even Brahmā (lit., the self-existent), have an origin that
can be known (lit., seen) ?—81

अलं विधादेन यथा श्रुतस्तथा तथाविधस्तावदशेषमस्तु सः ।
ममात्र भावेकरस मनः स्थितं न कामवृत्तिर्वचनीयमीक्षते ॥ ८२ ॥

अलमिति ॥ अथवा विधादेन कलहेनालम् । त्वया यथा येन प्रकारेण स
ईश्वरः श्रुतोऽशेषं कात्स्न्येन तथाविधस्तावत्प्रकार एवास्तु । मम मनस्वश्रेष्ठरे
भावः शृङ्गार एकोऽद्वितीयो रस आस्वाद्यो यस्य सत्तया स्थितम् । तथाहि ।
कामवृत्तिः स्वेच्छाव्यवहारी वचनीयमस्थानसन्नापवावं नेक्षते न विचारयति न हि
स्वेच्छासंचारिणो लोकापवादादिम्यतीति भावः ॥

"Enough of this altercation. Indeed (tavat), let him be
entirely of that form as has been heard by you. My mind
possessed of [just] one sentiment [viz.] love, however,
is set on him. One behaving in consonance with one's will
(कामवृत्तिः) minds not censure.—82 ८२.१.१.६

निवार्यतामालि किमप्ययं बटुः पुनर्विवक्षुः स्फुरितोत्तराधरः ।
न केवलं यो महतोऽपभाषते शृणोति तस्मादपि यः स पापभाक् ८३

निवार्यतामिति ॥ हे आलि सखि । 'आलिः सखी वयस्या च' इत्यमरः ।
स्फुरितोत्तराधरः स्फुरणभूयिष्ठोऽयं बटुर्माणवकः पुनः किमपि विवक्षुर्वक्तु-

मिच्छुः । श्रुवः मज्जन्तादुग्रस्ययः । निवार्यताम् । तर्हि वक्तुमेव कथं न ददासी-
त्याह—तथाहि । यो महतः पूज्यानपमापतेऽपवदति न केवलं स पापभाग्भवति ।
किंतु तस्मादपमापमाणात्पुरुषाश्च शृणोति सोऽपि पापभाक् । भवतीति शेषः । अत्र
स्मृतिः—‘गुरोः प्राप्ते परीवादो न श्रोनव्यः कथंचन । कर्णं तत्र पिधातव्यं
गन्तव्यं वा तनोऽन्यतः ॥’ इति ॥

“O friend, let this chap [of a Brahmachérin] with his
lower lip quivering prominently [and, therefore obviously]
desirous of speaking something again, be warded off. Not
only he who speaks ill of the great, but also [the one] who
listens to him is a sinner.—83

संप्रति गन्तव्यपक्षमाधत्ते—

इतो गमिष्याम्यथ्येति यादिनी, चंचाल बाला स्तनभिन्नवल्कला ।
स्वरूपमास्थाय च तां कृतस्मितः समाललम्ये धृपराजकेतनः ॥ ८४ ॥

इत इति ॥ भववेतोऽन्यत्र गमिष्यामीति यादिनी वदन्ती मनाभ्यां भिन्न-
वल्कला रयवनाङ्कुवयमनचीरा बाला पार्यती चंचाल । धृपराजकेतनो धृपमन्त्रजश्च
स्वरूपमास्थाय निजरूपमाश्रित्य कृतस्मितः सन् तां पार्वतीं समाललम्ये जमाह ॥

“Or else (*athavā*), I shall go away from hence. ” So say-
ing the maiden whose bark garment slipped from her breast,
proceeded. And [that very moment] S’iva (lit.,—one who
has the lordly bull as his emblem on the flag), assuming his
own (i. e. usual) form, made a smile, and held her up
(by the hand).—84.

तं वीक्ष्य वेपथुमती सरसाङ्गयष्टि-
निक्षेपणाय पदमुद्धतमुद्धहन्ती ।

मार्गाचलद्वयनिकराकुलितेव सिन्धुः *caricature*

शैलाधिराजतनया न ययौ न तस्थौ ॥ ८५ ॥

तमिति ॥ तं देवं वीक्ष्य वेपथुमती कम्पवती सरसाङ्गयष्टिः स्विन्नगात्री
महादेवदर्शनेन देव्याः सान्त्विकभावोदय उक्तः । निक्षेपणायान्यत्र विन्यासाथोद्धत-

मुत्क्षिप्तं पदमङ्घ्रिमुद्वहन्ती शैलधिराजतनया पार्वती मार्गेऽचलस्तस्य व्यतिकरेण समाहृत्या । अवरोधनेनेति यावत् । आकुलिता संभ्रमिता सिन्धुर्नदीव । ‘देशे नदविशेषेऽब्धौ सिन्धुर्ना सरिति त्रियाम्’ इत्यमरः । न ययौ न तस्थौ । लज्जयेति भावः वसन्ततिलकानृतमेतत् ॥

On seeing him, the daughter of the lord of mountains, all trembling, with her slender body, full of perspiration, (and) possessing a foot (that was) uplifted (with the intention of) placing it (elsewhere) moved not, nor stood, like a river embarrassed by the obstacle of a mountain in her way.—85

अथ प्रभृत्यधनताङ्गि तथासि दासः
क्रीतस्तपोभिरिति वादिनि चन्द्रमौली ।
अहाय सा नियमजं क्लृप्तमुत्ससर्ज
क्लेशः फलेन हि पुनर्नवतां विधत्ते ॥ ८६ ॥

अप्रेति ॥ चन्द्रमौली शिवे । हे अवनताङ्गि पार्वति, अथ प्रभृति । अस्मादिना-
दारभ्येत्यर्थः । प्रभृतियोगादयेति सप्तम्यर्थवाचिना पञ्चम्यर्थो लक्ष्यते । तव
तपोभिः क्रीतः । दास्य दाने । दासत आत्मानं ददातीति दासोऽस्मीति वादिनि
वदति सति । सा देव्यहाय सपदि । ‘द्राक्षदित्यजसाहाय शक्रकृधु सपदि द्रुतम्’
इत्यमरः । नियमजं तपोजन्यं क्लृप्तं क्लेशमुत्ससर्ज । फलप्राप्त्वा क्लेशं विसृज्य-
त्यर्थः । तथाहि । क्लेशः फलेन फलसिद्धया पुनर्नवतां विधत्ते । पूर्ववदेवाक्रियता-
गापादयतीत्यर्थः । सफलः क्लेशो न क्लेश इति भावः ॥

“O you of stooping limbs, from this day on, I am your slave bought by (your) austerities,” while the moon-crowned (God) was speaking thus, she (Pārvatī) instantly ceased to feel (lit., -abandoned) the fatigue caused by (her) vow. For fatigue makes for (i.e. leads to, vidhātī), freshness again by (its) fruit.

इति श्रीगन्धर्वागहोपाध्यायकोलाचलमहिनाभयूरिविरचिनया संजीविनी-
समाख्यया व्याख्यया समेतः श्रीकालिदासकृतौ कुमारसंभवे
महाकाव्ये तपस्वलीदयो नाम पञ्चमः सर्गः ॥

(NOTES) Canto IV

Stanza I : Construe—अथ मोहपरत्विजा विवशा सती कामवधूः
असह्यवेदनं नववैधव्यं प्रतिपादयिष्यता विधिना विबोधिता ।

To be able to understand the significance of अथ— 'afterwards' or 'then,' contents of stanzas 72 to 75 from the preceding (III) canto have to be recalled. Kālidāsa has already informed his readers how Madana's attempt at hitting S'ankara who was practising penance, with the former's Sammohana-arrow ended disastrously in Kāma's being reduced to ashes, by the fire arising out of the third eye of S'ankara and in Rati's having fallen into a swoon, paralysing all the activities of her senses. The swoon was, the poet continues, a veritable boon in disguise to Rati, in that, thanks to the loss of consciousness, for some time, she did not know the sudden end, that the highly incensed S'ankara put to the career of her Lord.

Read : क्रोधं प्रभो संहर संहरेति यावद्विरः खे मदतां चरन्ति ।

तावत्स वद्विर्भवनेत्रजग्मा भस्मावशेषं मदनं चकार ॥

and तीव्राभियङ्गप्रभवेण वृत्ति मोहेन संस्तम्भयन्निद्रायाम् ।

भङ्गातमर्तुम्यसना मुहूर्तं कृतोपकारेव रतिर्वभूव ॥—

stanzas 72 & 73 from Canto III, Kumārasambhava. The following three stanzas refer to S'ankara's having disappeared and Pārvatī's having returned in the direction of her residence helped herein by her loving father. This explains the meaning of 'अथ'—that is, subsequent to the happenings described in the stanzas, and even there more particularly, to those described in stanzas 73-76, or briefly, to Rati's having fallen into a swoon, S'ankara's having disappeared and Pārvatī's having

returned homo. मोहपरायणा—मोहः परं अयनं यस्याः सा—with the swoon as her highest resort, that is completely under the influence of the swoon. विवशा is literally without any sway, influence or power, here, 'helpless.' कामवधूः the 'bride,' i. e., the consort of Madana. विधि, Destiny, is qualified by प्रतिपादयिष्यता, instrumental singular of the future participle from the causal of प्रति + पद (-to know, experience), meaning by one that desired to cause (Rati) to experience—नववैधव्यम्—which is the object (to be caused to experience). वैधव्यम् is विधवायाः—विगतः धवः मर्ता यस्याः सा तस्याः—भावः widowhood. In the age in which Kālidāsa flourished and wrote his works, वैधव्य was the highest calamity that could befall a Hindu lady, and was by itself, therefore sufficiently unbearable. Add to it, the freshness (नव-त्व) of the calamity and it becomes one the agony (वेदना) due to which is literally unendurable (असह्य). विधोयिता—Nominative singular, feminine base, of the past passive participle of the causal of वि+युष्— was made conscious, was brought (back) to consciousness. The idea in the stanza is not very difficult to follow. Rati would have continued in her helpless, unconscious condition and would have been all happy for it—but (hard-hearted) Destiny would not let her alone even in her helplessness and unconsciousness. Destiny thought it fit to bring Rati back to consciousness, thus making her undergo the unbearable agony of fresh widowhood, which she was so long spared. Careful readers will not fail to note that in lines 2, 3 and 4 of the stanza, words containing the consonant 'वृ' combined with some vowel, (...ष्वृ, विभिना, नववैधव्यम्, ...वेदनाम्) come together, which, however is not a matter of mere accident. The poet is busy securing the alliterative effect. The metre in which this and the following stanzas upto the 44th are couched is विधोयिनी by name and is

represented as having uttered the words "oh Lord of my life, are you alive?" In all such cases इति serves the purpose of the inverted commas in English—standing singly for both the inverted commas—those that precede the words that mark the beginning of a statement and also those that mark the completion of the statement. The construction of the sentence is passive. 'By her who rose..., was seen merely (a heap of) ashes' etc. ददृशे, therefore, is third person singular of the perfect from दृश् passive base. What was seen by Rati, was just the (heap of) ashes of the fire in the form of Hara's anger [a metaphor] that had a form similar to that of a man. Dissolve पुरुषाकृति as पुरुषस्य आकृतिरिव आकृतिः यस्य तत्—a compound of the उपमानपूर्वपद class, where there is उत्तरपदलोप. Cf हरिणलोचना etc which would, when fully dissolved, give हरिणस्य लोचने इव लोचने यस्याः सा, the first part of the compound containing the standard of comparison in it and the latter member (लोचने) being dropped altogether in the compound expression as it appears in the language. [Vide—सप्तम्युपमानपूर्वपदस्य बहुव्रीहिरुत्तरपदलोपश्च]. In case the reading is पुरुषाकृतिः हरकोपानल-भरम ददृशे, the interpretation of the stanza will be on the basis that...मत्स्य and पुरुषाकृतिः are in apposition or grammatically the same construction. The rendering will be—'By her...was seen a human form,...just ashes of the fire of the wrath of Hara'—the general idea remaining the same.

In the preceding stanza Rati is said not to have known that Madana was no more. With the purpose of finding him out, she therefore, looked about her. Far from seeing her lord hale and hearty, as formerly, however, she was confronted by his body no doubt, appearing limb for limb, as before, but with one vital difference, that there was no longer

any life in them—all of them having been burnt down to ashes by the ire of Śankara !

Stanza 4 : Construe—अथ पुनः एव विद्वला सा वसुधादिद्वन—
धूसरस्तनी विकीर्णमूर्धजा स्थली समदुःखां-कुर्वती इव विललाप ।

Rati saw her husband reduced to ashes and then (अथ), as was only to be expected, became once more distressed (विद्वला). Her hair were disshevelled. She rolled about on the ground in that forest site, her breasts soiled by their contact with the dust particles (वसुधायाः आदिद्वनेन धूसरा स्तनी यस्याः सा). Thus conditioned, she lamented (helplessly), lamented long enough to make that forest-site too, as though possessed of the same amount of grief. Kālidāsa is indulging in a fancy (उद्ग्रेषा) here, in that he ascribes grief to the forest-site, which strictly speaking can have no grief (no joy either). Incidentally, it may be pointed out, that the stanza typifies the poet's attitude towards Nature. Oftner than not, in Kālidāsa's works, Nature is represented as being in sympathy with the characters he is depicting. Cf. among others, the passage assigned to प्रियंवदा in Śākuntala, IV—न केवलं तपोवन—
विरदकातरं सल्येव । त्वयोपस्थितविभोगस्य तपोवनस्यापि तावन् ममवस्था
दृश्यते । उद्गलितदर्भकवला मृगाः परित्यक्तनतंन मवूराः । अपमृतपाण्डुपत्रा
मुग्रनयधूणीव हताः । and another assigned to Aja, in Raghu-
vams'a VIII, 70, where the subject-matter is similar in nature to the one that Kālidāsa is dealing with here, viz. bereavement on the loss of the beloved (Aja's having lost Indumatī)—
विलपयितुं वीमलाधिपः कदम्बर्यमथितं प्रियां प्रति । अकरोन् पृथिवीरुहानपि
मुनशागारमवाप्सुभितान् ॥

Stanza 5 : Construe—यन् तव करणम् वान्तिमत्तया विलासिनाम्
उपमानम् अभूत्, तन् इदं ईदृशीम् दशां गतम्, न विदीप्ये, श्रियः
वटिनाः सन्तु ।

From this stanza onwards upto the 24th, Kālidāsa sets forth the lamentation of Rati for her lord. Nothing could be more natural than that the first point that struck Rati was the proverbial handsomeness of which Madana, [Cupid-like] was possessed. In fact, Madana was the standard of comparison (उपमानम्, like the moon which is the standard of comparison in the description of the beauty of face, with all Sanskrit poets)—while describing the physical handsomeness of lovely men (विलसितः, विलसः येषां ते), by virtue of the possession of beauty (कान्तिः सौन्दर्यं अस्ति यस्य—तस्य भावः—कान्तिमत्ता). Rati states, that Madana's body (करण, generally instrument, tool, means; —later limb such as hand or foot—but finally, as here, 'body') was possessed of beauty. But this oh alas ! is a matter of the past. It has been reduced to such a plight now ! Even when her lord has little else remaining of him but ashes, Rati continues to live. Is she not justified in concluding that her hard-heartedness alone could account for her survival ? Or why speak of (a Madana and) a Rati ? Indeed, it would be only stating the truth to say that women as a class, are hard-hearted. ' Cruelty, thy name is woman '—as Shakespeare would have put it. Compare for a similar sentiment, though expressed by Rāma for Sita : दीर्यं किं न सहस्रधाहमयथा रामस्य किं दुष्करम् । Uttara Rāmacharitam. The stanza under consideration, i. e. Kumārasambhava IV, 5, contains an instance of the सामान्येन विशेषस्य समर्थनम् variety of अर्थान्तरन्यास, in so far as, the general statement in line 4 supports the happening in the life of an individual, stated in the first three lines.

Stanza 6 : Construe—क्षतसेतुबन्धनः जलसङ्घातः नलिनीमिव क्षण-
भिन्नसङ्घट्टः त्वदधीनजीवितां मां विनिकीर्य क नु विद्रुतः अस्मि ।

Rati imagines Madana to be standing in front of her and puts to him a question as to where he has gone (विदुतः), in fact run away, having abandoned her dependent for her very life (अर्धानं जीवितं यस्याः सा—साम्) on him, breaking off in a trice (the tie of) affection (सणेन भिन्नं सौहृदं यस्य स.). This act of his, is on a par with that of a mass (संघातः from सं + हृन्) of water, that destroys the construction of the dam that controlled it for some time, and runs away, no one knows where (क्व), after having cast away the lotus-plant (that drew its very life from it).

धत्तसेतुबन्धनः—धत्तं सेतोर्बन्धनं यस्य or येन सः. विनिकीर्य—absolute form from वि + नि + कृ, having abandoned. From सु + हृद् good, and heart, respectively giving सुहृद् a friend, two different forms, can be had in Sanskrit, meaning ' the state of being a friend ' or ' friendship. ' One is सुहृदो भावः सौहृदम् where there is शुद्धि of the first स्वर only, in keeping with युवादित्वाद्गण् प्रत्ययः, the other being सौहार्दम् where there is उभयपदशुद्धि, according to ' हृद्भगमिन्ध्वन्ते पूर्वपदस्य च. ' The stanza contains an instance of Upama, with त्वं (मो etc) as the उपमेय and जलसङ्घात (नलिनी etc) as the उपमान, and bolting off to a destination, difficult to understand, as the common property (साधारणधर्म).

Stanza 7 : Construe—(त्वं) मे विप्रियं कृतवान् न असि, मया च ते प्रतिकूलं न कृतम् विलपन्त्यै रतये किम् अकारणम् एव दर्शनं न दीयते ।

Rati alternatively thinks that, more probably than not, her lord has not gone away at all. But for some reason or other, which cannot be made out (अकारणमेव), he is denying to her, lamenting though she may be (विलपन्त्यै), the opportunity of seeing him. And thus, despite the fact that the ' relation ' as it obtained between the two, till very recently, was little short

of what may be characterized as 'ideal.'— "You did nothing whatsoever that was disagreeable to me," says Rati, "nor was anything unfavourable done by me towards you." For the expression of a similar idea, read मनसापि न विप्रियं मया कृत-पूर्वं तव किं जहासि माम् ।—Raghuvams'a, VIII, 52. A critical student of Kumārasambhava IV, will have noted that stanza 7th is a pleasant departure on the part of Kālidāsa, in the manner of expressing the sentiment, as this stanza, so far is the first, to contain the element of lucidity (प्रसाद), sufficient to do justice to the tenderness of the feeling in Rati's mind.

Stanza 8 : Construe—(हे) स्मर गोत्रस्खलितेषु मेखला गुणैः बन्धनम् इत, द्युतकेसरनूपितेक्षणानि अवसंसोत्पलताडनानि वा स्मरसि (किम्) ।

Rati finds it very difficult to say anything definitely about Madana and the reasons that prompted him to act the way he did. Dismissing from her mind the thought that Madana was somewhere there, in close vicinity, and still, without any reason, he did not show himself up, she now recollects a few offences, she gave him. For one thing, Rati had tied Madana down, on occasions he committed blunders in addressing Rati by the names (of some other lady or ladies, being absent-minded) by the strings of her waist-band (मेखलागुणैः). Quite likely, Smara, Smara (one who remembers) that he is, well remembers the punishment. Or it is not improbable, that he recalled to his mind the beatings with the lotuses, Rati put on her ears by way of ornaments, which (beatings) resulted in soiling the eyes of Madana with the filaments, that dropped down, in the process. गोत्रस्खलितेषु—in the mistakes (स्खलित) committed in uttering the names. स्खलित is a past passive participle from स्खल् used here in keeping with ' नृपुंसके भावे क्तः ' In the same

केतव, therefore, is roguery, उपचारपदम्—पदम् lit., is a sentence, here an utterance, उपचार from उप+चर to go near or to approach, later means all formal things that are said or done when two parties come together. 'जयतु जयतु महाराज.'—a passage assigned to all persons going into the presence of the king is an instance of an उपचार. Such (उपचारs) are not always to be literally understood. Rati means to say that Madana's saying she dwelt in his heart belongs to just this class of utterances, a mere formal utterance; that she need not be surprised, much less shocked, to find it emptied of its contents, त्वम् अनङ्गः is a clause by itself. (How is it कथम् Rati inquires, that) you are limbless, i. e. devoid of your body, dead, burnt down—whereas, Rati continues to be uninjured (अक्षत) ? This, like the seventh stanza, has the quality of lucidity, well-matched with the feeling it embodies.

Stanza 10 Construe—परलोकनवप्रवासिनः तव पदवीम् अहम् प्रति-
पश्ये; एष जनः विभिना वधितः, देहिनां मुखं खलु त्वदधीनम् ।

"While yet you are a new traveller along the way leading to the other, i. e. the heavenly world—before you have traversed a considerable distance—I shall follow your track." Rati thus declares her resolve to follow Madana in death and that too quickly enough lest he shall have gone far ahead making it difficult to her to overtake him. The reason is, that life no longer holds the same charm to her. "This person has been deceived by Fate"—can be taken to refer to the loss that Rati is made to sustain, अयं जनः being a combination, very often occurring in Sanskrit as the equivalent of अहं or 'I'. Instances of such a use of अयं जनः can be cited by the score : जनमिममनुरक्तं विदि नाथेति गेये ।—मालविकाग्निमित्रम्, II. 5; कथमेदुपदे निरागसं जनमामाप्समिमं न मन्यसे । शृवंशम् VIII, 48 and

अर्थ जनः कस्य हस्ते समर्पितः । शाकुन्तलम् IV, after stanza, 13 are some of them. In spite of this general use, however, there is an advantage in interpreting the expression as standing for 'this world'—the whole of it. For thus understood, the third and the fourth lines hang together well, instead of appearing to have been loosely brought together. The thought in lines three and four then is : By fate, the whole world here has been deceived (in so far as it has been deprived of you—the veriest source of its happiness, worth the name), the happiness of the beings possessed of a body depends on you. It would seem from the contents of the next two stanzas that Kālidāsa meant to refer to the world of the living by अर्थ जनः in this context, as the following two stanzas are taken up with detailing the unwelcome effects of Madana's departure to the other world, on the अभिसारिकाs and प्रमदाs in general (rather than on Ratn individually). प्रतिपत्स्ये—from प्रति+पद्— future, third person singular 'I shall reach', 'I shall follow.'

देही from देह by the addition of the possessive termination इन्— देहः अस्य अस्ति इति देही— the embodied. परलोकं (प्रति) नवः प्रवासी (प्रवृत्तुं शीलं यस्य सः तस्य, a case of ताच्छीत्ये णिनि.) परलोकनवप्रवासिनः The stanza illustrates a combination of the quality (गुण) of perspicuity (प्रसाद) and the S'abdalanakāra called anuprasa, alliteration.

Stanza 11 : Construe—(हे) प्रिय, रजनीतिमिरावगुण्ठिते पुरमांसे पनदन्धविहवाः प्रियाः कामिनां वसतिं प्रापयितुं त्वत् ऋते क ईश्वरः ।

Now that Madana can not any longer help them, who will be able (ईश्वरः—समर्थः) to lead the damsels in love so much so that they cannot brook any further delay in their lovers coming to their places, but prepare themselves to go over

to the residence of the lovers instead, playing the role of *abhisarikas* (as they technically are characterised). The sky is overcast with clouds, with clouds that roar and frighten the ladies, making them full of distress (विह्वलाः). The city street is literally wrapped up in darkness. Except the god of love (त्वत् कृते 'excepting you' where त्वत् = त्वत्तः) Rati pertinently asks, who else is equal to the task of safely leading the ladies to their destination? References to *abhisarikas* are common enough in Sanskrit literature. Kālidāsa in his *Vikramorvasiyam* makes the heroine resort to the behaviour of an *abhisarika*—Acts II and III. But for love, this would be impossible.

The stanza under consideration like the one that follows it, gives a beautiful pen-picture. For a while the poet seems to have changed places with a painter and with just a few deft touches he helps his reader visualise the whole scene for himself. The *abhisarikas* here are made to live and move before our very eyes as it were, and so are the proud young ladies in the next stanza.

रजन्याः तिमिरेण अवगुण्डिते—रजनी तिमिरावगुण्डिते (पुरस्स मार्गे).

Stanza 12 : Construe—अरुणानि नवनानि घूर्णयन्, पदे पदे वचनानि स्खलयन् प्रमदानां वारुणीमदः त्वयि असति अधुना विदम्बना ।

Now that Madana is not there (असति त्वयि), proud young ladies helping themselves to a lot of wine, in the company of their lovers, their eyes becoming red, consequently rolling about in that intoxicated condition, their words faltering as a result at every step—all this which was so very lovely while yet Madana was there to give them a peculiar grace—has turned out to be a mere mockery (विदम्बना)—little more than a ridicule. Without love to give a meaning and a charm to

the behaviour of the young ladies, the whole episode degenerates into an absolute farce !

वारुणी—वरुणस्य इयम् said to be the wife of वरुण in समापर्व, and the daughter of शुक्राचार्य in आदिपर्व of महाभारत, is wine.

Stanza 13 : Construe—(हे) अंनङ्ग प्रियबन्धोः तव वपुः कपीकृतम् अवयम्य निशाकरः निष्फलोदयः (सन्) बहुले गते अपि तनुना दुःखं मोक्षयति ।

Ratn now continues describing the effect of Madana's loss, on the moon (निशाकरः), the dear friend of his. 'Having learnt that your body is reduced to being the object of stories (कपीकृतम्—a स्त्रि form, न कया अकया, कया संपद्यमानं कृतम्, कथा-विषयभूतम्),' says she, "the Maker of Night will have realised that his rising in the sky would be of no avail (Even a beautiful moon-lit night would cease to serve any purpose of young men and women, though it used to be an ideal time for them to meet and enjoy each other's company so far, as Kāma is no longer in the picture. The very spirit of the enjoyment has been irreparably lost), and thus would with great difficulty (दुःमम्) give up the thinness of his body, caused by the dark half (बहुल) of the month, even after the latter has elapsed (गत)."

The moon waxing during the bright half of the month and waning during the dark, is a physical phenomenon that Kālidāsa exploits for his poetic purpose here. The 'moon' is a person, a beloved friend of Kāma. On Kāma's departure to the yonder world, the moon would consider that there is no point in his assuming the full (and ultimately rounded) glory of his form. Giving up of thinness (तनुता) on his part, would be a difficult and more or less mechanical matter, hereafter.

प्रियबन्धोः—(१) प्रियाः बन्धवः यस्य, तस्य (बहुव्रीहि), of him who loves his friends dearly or (२) प्रियायासौ बन्धुश्च प्रियबन्धुः तस्य (कर्मधारय) a dear friend. बहुलः—कृष्णपक्षः.

Stanza 14 : Construe—हरितारुणचारुबन्धनः कलपुंस्कोकिलशब्दसूचितः नवचूतप्रसवः कस्य बाणतो संप्रति गमिष्यति, वद ।

Not only the moon (stanza 13), but the mango-blossom also will be a great sufferer, in the absence of Madana. The fresh (नव) mango (चूत) blossom (प्रसवः), having a green reddish (हरितारुण) and attractive (चारु) stalk (बन्धन=वृन्त), (with its presence) indicated (even from a distance) by the sweet (कल) notes (शब्द) of a male koil, was a (never failing) shaft that enriched the armoury of Madana. Whose arrow can it be, hereafter, the one bow-wielder that used it, having disappeared ?

कस्य बाणतो गमिष्यति is simply कस्य बाणः भविष्यति. हरितारुणचारुबन्धनः is a paranomastic (भिन्न) expression. For besides the meaning explained above, as going with the arrow, it means having an attractive, green-red (feather) tied to it. कलपुंस्कोकिलशब्दसूचितः also is to be rendered as going with the arrow—indicated by the hissing sound of its own. The word पुंस्कोकिल is specially intended to suggest the sharpness of the notes of the koil (who has to be a male of the species) as also of the soldier discharging the arrow from his bow.

वद has the whole sentence beginning with 'हरिता' etc upto 'गमिष्यति', as its object. In explanation of चूतप्रसव being Kāma's arrow, it may be pointed out that Kāma is alternatively called पद्मबाणः where five different flowers or blossoms are said to be serving the purpose of his arrow. The list traditionally quoted in this connection is contained in the following stanza :

(१) अरविन्दं (२) अशोकं च (३) चूर्तं च (४) नवमल्लिका । (५) नीलोत्पलं च पथैते पथवाणस्य सायकाः। For this very reason, Kāma is given another name yet, viz पुष्पधन्वा, the flowers being regarded as constituting his bow.

Stanza 15 : Construe—त्वया अनेकशः धनुषः शुण्कृते नियोजिता इयम् अलिपद्भितः करणस्वर्नैः विरुतेः शुण्कोकां माम् अनुरोदिनि इव ।

Rati next describes the sympathetic lamenting on the part of the row of bees, often employed (नियोजिता) by Madana to serve the purpose of being the string of his bow [i. e. of acting as an excitant of love], on former occasions. The bees are very sorry to find Rati in a miserable condition. Kālidāsa carefully uses the word पद्भितः to ensure that the sympathisers are shown to belong to the same sex as the sympathised. By their cries (विरुतेः—which here is used in keeping with 'नर्पुसके मावेकः') having piteous notes (करणस्वर्नैः), they are following Rati in mourning the loss of Madana, confronted as Rati is, with heavy grief. In Śākuntala, Kālidāsa represents the male kokila to be full of sympathy for the hero, Dusyanta. कण्ठेषु स्मलितं गतेऽपि शिशिरे पुंस्कोकिलानां स्तम् । Act IV, 4.

नियोजिता—Nominative singular, feminine base, past passive participle of the causal (प्रयोजक) of नि+युञ्. शुणस्य इत्यम् शुण्कृत्यम् the duty or the work of a (bow-) string.

Stanza 16 : Construe—मनोहरं वयुः पुनः अपि प्रनिपद्य तावन् दक्षितः मयुरालम्बनिसर्गपण्डितां कोकिलां रतिदुनिपदेयु आदिश ।

In stanza fourteen, above, the male Kokila with his sweet notes has already been mentioned, though as one that suggests the mango-blossom. In this, Rati requests her lord to assume his attractive form (मनोहरं वयुः) again, and im-

diately or by way of the first thing to be done (तावत्=प्रथमम्) bid (आ+दिश्—Imperative 2nd person singular आदिश) the female kokila, in other words, to appoint her to the duties (पद-office, duty) of a female go-between in lovematters. For she—the whole class of female koils (जातौ एकवचनम्) is eminently fitted to the task, being learned or expert (पण्डिता) in sweet notes (or talks) by nature (निसर्गः). मधुरेषु आलापेषु निसर्गतः पण्डिता (this latter being an instance of a form by the addition of the इत्च् termination— पण्डा बुद्धिः संजाता अस्याः इति पण्डिता, in keeping with तदस्य संजातमिति तारकादिभ्य इत्च् Cf. पुलकितः देहः तारकितं नमः etc.) मधुरालापनिसर्गपण्डिता. Regarding रतिदूतिपदेषु there is some difficulty as the compound is dissolved as रत्यर्था दूती रतिदूती तासां पदेषु i. e. the word designating a female messenger ends in ई, a long vowel, rather than इ, a short one and still Kālidāsa's text appears to be इति. Mallinātha accounts for this by saying that the metrical exigencies have led the poet to turn ती into ति. जीवन्तस्यापि दूतीशब्दस्य छन्दोभङ्गभयात् ह्रस्वः. This poetic licence is sanctioned elsewhere as in 'अपि मायं मयं कुर्यात् छन्दोभङ्गे व्यजेद्विरम् । Kālidāsa, is seen using the form दूति in other works of his also : तेन दूतिनिषेदितं निषेदुषा Raghuvamsam XIX, 8, and रथां कामिनो मदनदूतिमुदाहरन्ति । Vikramorvas'iyam, Act. IV. Some have fought shy of what appeared to them a grammatical mistake and have actually emended दूति into दत्त—thus for instance, the Trivendram edition. Others defend the poet by saying दूत्यां दूतिरपि स्मृता इति शब्दभेदप्रकाशे. Regarding a reading given by some editions रतिदूतपदेषु कोकिलान्...पण्डितान्—only this much need be said, that here is another attempt at emending the text so as to have like पदेषु locative plural, पण्डितान् accusative plural. But this is defeated by Kālidāsa's

own characterisation of the female of the species as naturally clever at S'ākuntala, Act V, 22. स्त्रीणामशिक्षितपटुत्वममानुषीणां संदश्यते किमुन याः प्रतिबोधवत्यः । etc

Stanza 17 : Construe—(हे) मार, शिरसा प्रणिपत्य याचितानि सवेपथूनि उपगूढानि च तानि रहः ते गुरतानि च संस्मृत्य मे शान्तिः न अस्मि ।

Having referred to the change that Madana's departure signified to the abhis'rikās (st 11), to the young proud ladies giving themselves the pleasure of enjoying liberal doses of wine (st 12), to the moon (st 13), to the mango blossom (st 14), to the row of bees (st 15) and to the female kokila (st 16), Rati now turns to the memory of the sweet intimate moments she enjoyed in the company of her husband, before the 'denizen of the cemetery region' burnt him down—a memory which only deepens her sorrow all the more, by denying all peace to her. There were thus those never-to-be forgotten embraces (उपगूढानि—'नपुंसके भावे कः') accompanied by tremor, begged by Madana with prostration and bent head, and those many love-sports (गुरतानि) they had in private (रहः)—which alas! are now no more! The presence of only two चs makes it difficult to understand three things viz, (1) supplications (2) embraces and (3) love-sports as being intended. For one more thing, the use of the gerund संस्मृत्य is regarded as a mistake as the subject or author of embraces etc is Madana and that of remembering is रति. This stanza too stands out from many others in this canto, for its prasāda.

Stanza 18 . Construe—(हे) रतिपण्डित त्वया मम अङ्गेषु स्वयं रचितम् आर्तवे कुसुमप्रगाथनम् इदं धियते, तव तत् चारु वपुः न हृदये ।

Rati has mentioned the love-sports in the last stanza. In this she speaks of the decoration of flowers that Kāma per-

sonally (स्वयं) arranged on her limbs. She is deeply moved to see that the decoration of flowers belonging to the season—the speciality of the season (ऋतोः सम्बन्धि इदं आर्तवम्)—is to be seen on her body—but the handsome form of Madana who tastefully arranged the decoration is gone past the range of vision. A parallel to the stanza, in point of the idea, is Raghuvams's VIII, 51...प्रिवते स्वेदलबोद्धमोऽपि ते । अथ चारुमिता त्वमात्मना । विगिमां वेदधृतानसारताम् ॥

Stanza: 19 : Construe—यस्य परिकर्मणि असमाप्ते (सति) दारुणैः विबुधैः स्मृतः असि तम् इमं दधिनेतरं मे चरणं निर्मितरागं कुरु, एहि ।

Like the floral decoration the dying of the left foot of Rati for ornamental purposes is a fresh event. In fact, before it was completed (परिकर्मणि असमाप्ते) the cruel gods thought of sending Madana on the mission of luring god Śankara into accepting the hand of Pārvatī, so that they (the) gods should be in a position to secure a commander-in-chief for their army. How hard-hearted (दारुण) indeed were the gods, that they paid no heed to the consequence which Madana would be required to suffer, in their concern for their convenience. For Indra having remembered मदन, when approached by gods, refer to कुमारसंभवम् Canto II, stanza 63 and for the readiness with which Madana presented himself before Indra, read stanza 64, *ibid.* Reference to the left foot (other than the right) has a special significance as the experts say, decoration of the left part of their body is highly appreciated by the fair sex. विबुध, a wise one i. e. a god Cf. अमरा निर्जरा देवास्त्रिदशा विबुधाः सुराः । निर्मितरागम्—निर्मितः रागः यस्य सः तम् ।

Stanza 20 : Construe—(हे) प्रिय पतङ्गवर्मना एव अहं पुनः ते अद्याप्रदिशी भवामि, दिवि चतुरैः सुरद्वामिनीजनेः यावत् न विलोभ्यते ।

Rati's jealousy is roused and she is afraid unless she hurries and follows her lord to the other world, having burnt herself up [पतद्गवर्त्मना एतत्—following the path of a moth. (falling into the flame of a lamp and being consumed) पतद्ग—पतन् गच्छति इति पतद्गः—a moth], there is every possibility of the celestial damsels, who have reduced seduction of other ladies' husbands to a fine art (चतुरः), tempting away Kāma. Without giving them time to do anything of the sort, Rati declares 'I shall burn myself up and resort to your lap (again) Oh darling (प्रिय) Madana.' वर्यम् (neuter) a path, अहं आश्रयितुं शीलं यस्याः—o case of ताच्छीन्ये णिनिः. भवामि idiomatically, stands for मविष्यामि according to 'वर्तमानसामीप्ये वर्तमानवद्वा इति लट्' Similarly विलोम्यते=विलोमविष्यते in keeping with यावत्पुराणिपातयोर्लट्, इति लट् ।

Stanza 21 Construe—(हे) रमण, यदि अपि त्वाम् अनुयामि, मदनेन विनाशना रतिः क्षणमात्रं जीविता किल इति वचनीयं मे व्यवस्थितम् ।

Rati is very sorry that though she is going to follow Madana to heaven, immediately (अनुयामि=अनुयास्यामि), still the stigma that she indeed lived, may be for no more than a moment (क्षणमात्रं), is now permanently established. Future generations would talk disparagingly of Rati. A chaste lady that she was, she was not expected to survive her consort even for a fraction of a moment. Vide—मृते प्रियने या पत्नी ना स्त्री भेषा परित्रा ।

The stanza is noteworthy for the quality of प्रगाढ it contains.

Stanza 22 · Construe—परलोकान्तरितम्य ते अन्त्यमग्ननें मया कथं कियताम्, अत्रेन च जीविनेन च समयेव अवर्जितां गतिं कतः अयि ।

Rati's lot is particularly difficult in that she cannot have the satisfaction of performing even the requisite funeral

rites (अन्त्य मण्डन) in regard to her husband of whom there was no remnant, except the ashes presenting an outline of the human form. Others could have the consolation, poor though, of putting flowers etc. on the corpse of their dear one. That too was denied to poor Rati. For, unlike others, Madana has gone to a condition, never before imagined (अतर्किता=अकलिप्ता or अविचारिता) along with his life and body alike, at one and the same time. What a difference it has made between other people's departure to the other world and that of Madana. Rati's plight in this respect, is even more miserable than that of an ordinary woman (a 'प्राकृता नारी' as Sanskritists put it).

Birth and death have been the riddles that have occupied the minds of thinkers of all ages, and all lands; and even there, 'death' has proved a problem, more difficult of solution, if not impossible of one, indeed. Rightly does Kālidāsa refer to the state after death as अतर्किता—not (so much as even) guessed about. The problem has, in fact, baffled all human attempts at solving it. A parallel is, what Shakespeare makes his 'Prince of Denmark' say :

But that the dread of something after death
The undiscovered country from whose bourn
No traveller returns—puzzles the will

The remark regarding **prasada** holds equally good of this stanza, as of the previous.

Stanza 23 : Construe—उत्सन्ननिष्पन्नवनः शरम् ऋजुतां नयतः ते मधुना सह सस्मितां कया तन् नयनोपेतविद्योक्तिं च (अहं) स्मरामि ।

Rati draws a fine picture, or better Kālidāsa makes her draw one of Kāma holding a conversation with his bosom friend, Madhu, [spring personified] in a manner accompanied by Kāma's neverfailing smile, while he was busy straight-

tening (कज्जुतो नयतः) the arrow, the bow lying in his lap ;— and cleverly and characteristically, managing at the same time to direct a slanting glance at Rati. A scene worthy of being portrayed by a genius in the field of painting, say a Ravivarmā.

उत्सङ्गे निपण्णं धनुः यस्य सः उत्सङ्गनिपण्णधन्वा—Mark that धनुः gets itself changed into धन्व at the end of a बहुव्रीहि compound. Vide—' धनुरधेति नवादेशः ' नयनस्य (and not नयनयोः as one of his eyes was directed by Madana towards Madhu, bow and the arrow and the other towards his beloved Rati.) उपान्तेन विलोकितं (= विलोकनम्) नयनोपान्तविलोकितम्.

Stanza 24 : Construe—कुमुदायोजितकामुकः ते हृदयंगमः सरा मधु. क. नु । सः अपि उग्ररुपा पिनाकिना मुहुरतां गतिं न गमितः खलु ।

Visualising the conversation between her husband and his intimate friend Madhu, Rati naturally is led to wish to know more about him—Where is he, who prepared (आयोजित) Kāma's bow with flowers, formerly, and therefore was expected to be present in this helpless condition of his friend's consort, gone ? Rati's mind which has already received a rude shock in Kāma's death, is easily led to suspect the death of Madhu also. The wielder of the Pināka bow (पिनाकः अस्य अस्ति इति पिनाकी—शंकरः) was notorious enough for the severity (उग्र-त्वं) of his wrath (रुद्र). If he could claim one victim, he could as well claim another—especially when the latter helped the former in his 'questionable' undertakings. कुमुदः आयोजितं कामुकं येन सः । हृदयं गच्छति इति हृदयंगमः । The answers on य in हृदय in the compound is accounted for by ' अर्द्धिपदजन्तस्य मुम् इति मुमागमः ' उग्र रुद्र यस्य सः तेन उग्ररुपा (बहुव्रीहि) गमितः—past passive participle of the causal (प्रयोजक)

of गम्—one that has been caused to go. खलु in the translation is rendered by 'may I know,' for Mallinātha quotes अमरकोश in support of the rendering—'जिज्ञासानुनये खलु' इत्यमरः

Stanza 25 : Construe—अथ तैः परिदेविताक्षरैः दिग्धशरैः ह्य हृदये आहतः मधुः आतुरा रतिम् अभ्युपपत्तुम् आत्मानं पुरः अदर्शयत् ।

All the time Rati was lamenting, Madhu was listening, not being quite far off from her. He, however, could not bring himself up to go near her, thus only causing her greater amount of grief. Rati, being beside herself with grief, failed to notice his presence. On being mentioned by name, and hearing a query about his whereabouts, Madhu steps forward, struck at his heart by those words (अक्षरैः—lit. syllables) of lamentation (परिदेवित=परिदेवन), which were as though arrows besmeared with poison (दिग्ध), to offer consolation to Rati, who was so very distressed. दिग्धशरैः has an alternative reading दिग्धफलैः where फल stands for the tip of the arrow. The reading is regarded as being as good as दिग्धशरैः.

In Stanzas 24 and 25, there is an instance of the figure of speech called पर्याय defined by विश्वनाथ in his साहित्यदर्पण as

कचिदेकमनेकस्मिन् (अनेकंैकगं क्रमान्)

भवति (क्रियते वा चेत्) तदा पर्याय इष्यते ॥

The variety here illustrated is that where a thing or a being goes to two places (or more), as Madhu who was at a little distance from Rati has walked into her presence. In the preceding stanza two beings i. e. Madana and his friend Madhu are regarded by Rati, to have been caused to undergo the same condition viz., death. It, therefore, answers अनेकंैकगं क्रियते । In either case the transiion takes place so very quickly.

अभ्युपपत्तुम्—infinitive from अभि+उप+पद—to favour, oblige.

Stanza 26 : Construe—तम् अवक्ष्य सा मृशं हरोद, उरः स्तनसंवाधं जघान च, स्वजनस्य अप्रतः हि दुःखं विवृतद्वारम् इव उपजायते ।

The very first reaction on the part of Rati, to her seeing Madhu was weeping all the more (मृशम्). She beat her bosom so much so that her breasts were pained. स्तना संवाध्य स्तनसंवाधम् is a 'णमुल्' gerund in accordance with the Sūtra 'परिक्रिश्यमाने च'. From the individual case of Rati, Kālidāsa generalises in lines 3 and 4, 'as is well known (हि) grief has its doors thrown wide open (विवृतानि द्वाराणि यस्य तत्) in the presence of ones own relatives or beloved friends.' The stanza contains an instance of the figure अर्थान्तरन्यास. Cf Bhavabhūti's version of this in उत्तररामचरितम् IV'8.

संतानवाहीन्यपि मानुषाणां दुःस्थानि सददुन्धुवियोगजानि ।

दृष्टे जने प्रेयसि दुःसहानि स्रोतः सहसैरिव संलवन्ते ॥ Act IV

Stanza : 27 Construe—दुःखिता (सा) एनम् इति उवाच (हे) वसन्त पश्य मुहदः किं स्थितम्, तन् इदं कपोतकयुरं मम पवनैः कण्ठाः विकीर्यते ।

Rati in that afflicted condition of hers asks Vasanta to see what remained of his friend—it was just (a heap of) ashes of variegated colour [i. e. no uniform specific hue as such—'spotted' is the literal rendering of कयुरं which the commentators explain by खल] and here too, Fate was so very spiteful towards her. For even the ashes were being scattered by breezes, particle by particle.

Stanza : 28 Construe—अयि स्मर, संप्रति दर्शनं देहि, एष माधवः पर्युत्सुकः, दयितामु अवस्थितं नृणो प्रेम मुह्यन्ने न चलं खलु ।

Ratn next addresses herself to Madana requesting him to grant a sight, as there his friend Mādhava was all eager for it. The love of men not quite steady towards ladies whom they love (only to give them up as others have caught their fancy in the meanwhile) is seen to be not fickle in respect of their (men) friends. At least, for the sake of Mādhava, Madana ought to show himself up.

Men being fickle in their attachment to ladies is a common enough idea in Kālidāsa's works [Sākuntala 'सहस्रतप्रणयोऽयं जनः' after stanza 1, Act V. In Mālavikāgnimitra too, Agnimitra takes a fancy to मालविका though previously he made love to the queen, and to इरावती], if not in Sanskrit literature generally. [Udayana, the hero of Ratnāvali by धीहर्ष, making approaches to सागरिका a 'maid-servant', though already having a देवी in वासवदत्ता, is a case in point].

Stanza 29 : Construe—ननु पार्थिवर्तिना अमुना समुद्युतं जगत् त्व विसतन्तुगुणस्य पेलवपुष्पपद्मिणः धनुषः आस्तां कारितम् ।

Madana can ill afford to forget indeed (ननु) that thanks to this Vasanta, who constantly used to be by the side of Madana, (पार्थिवर्तिनुं शीलं यस्य, सायलीस्ये षिनिः, तेन पार्थिवर्तिना) the otherwise frail bow of Madana—with the threads of filaments as the string, and delicate flowers as the arrow was made to achieve the singular feat of bringing the entire world under the sway of (making it obey the behests of) Madana, gods and demons included. विसतन्तुः गुणः यस्य. पेलवानि (delicate) पुष्पाणि पद्मिणः यस्य पद्म+इन्—that which is possessed of a feather, therefore, an arrow. It was a common practice in those days to attach feathers (of herons ४५, for instance,) to the arrows—' One having a feather' thus became a synonym of ' शयन.'

The contrast between the frail bow and the conquest of the whole world should be carefully noted. The construction

अमुना जगत् आहो कारितम् where जगत् and आहो both are objects as the root कृ governs two accusatives, and where the construction finally is **causal passive** is grammatically worth noting.

Stanza 30 : Construe सः ते सखा अनिलहसः दीपः इव गतः एव, न निवर्तते, अहम् अस्य दशेव, अविपश्यम्यसनेन धूमिता मां पश्य ।

Rati's words having proved a cry in the wilderness, as there was not even the remotest indication of her lord returning, she concludes and conveys the conclusion to Vasanta. "Gone (to be sure एव) is your friend like a lamp struck by a gale of wind—he would not return (निवर्तते present for future.)" "I am" Rati Continues, "like a wick of that lamp. Look at me (rendered) smoky by the calamity (व्यसन or grief) that is (simply) unbearable." Madana corresponds to a lamp, Rati to the wick of the same. The wind blows out the lamp, Madana has departed never to return. The wick is full of smoke (धूमः अस्याः संजातः इति, तदस्य संजातमिति तारकादिभ्य इतच्) after the lamp has been put out by the terrible (अविपश्य) blast (व्यसन with reference to the wick), Rati is made full of smoke (metaphorically is no longer her former self, all her brightness, jovial nature etc having disappeared) by the unbearable calamity. In रघुवंश VIII, 38 Kālidāsa compares अज with a ' तैलनिपेकबिन्दु ' and इन्दुमती with a ' दीपार्चिः .

A happy simile happily expressed.

Stanza 31 : Construe—कामवधे मां विमुञ्चता विधिना अर्धवैशसं वृतम् ; अनपाविनि संधयद्रुमे यजमन्ने बहरी पतनाय (भवति) ।

अर्धच तद्दशसं च अर्धवैशसम्—half the slaughter from विशसः a person who kills (वि+शृणु to kill). संधयस्य द्रुमः संधयद्रुमः a tree of resort (to the बहरी—creeper, dependent on it).

" At the time of the slaughter of Kāma, Fate left me out, thus perpetrating only half the cruel deed," Rati continues, "(where in fact he could as well have perpetrated the whole, for—) when the tree of resort, regarded as free from injury (न दिद्यते अपायः अस्य इति अनपायी-तस्मिन्) is broken down by an elephant, the creeper is only doomed to fall down.' The fall of the tree renders it impossible for the creeper to exist any longer. The same holds good about Madana's death and Rati's existence. वल्लरी पतनाय is an idiomatic way of expressing the idea ' the creeper is bound to fall ' in Sanskrit. Read a parallel from the companion study of this *vilāpa* from Raghuvams'a' अथवा मम भाग्यविल्लादक्षिनिः कल्पित एष वेधसा । यदनेन तर्ह्ये पातितः क्षपिता तद्विदपाधिता रुता । VIII, 47.

Stanza 32: Construe—तत् इदं बन्धुजनप्रयोजनं भवता अनन्तरं क्रियताम् ; ननु विधुरा मां ज्वलनातिसर्जनान् पत्युः अन्तिकं प्रापय ।

बन्धुजनस्य प्रयोजनम् (कार्यम्) बन्धुजनप्रयोजनम् service rendered to a friend अनन्तरम् either adjectival or adverbial—(a) that which follows immediately, or without leaving a gap (b) immediately, without any delay. विधुरा—(separated from my husband and) afflicted. ज्वलने अतिसर्जनम्—ज्वलनातिसर्जनम्, प्रापय—Imperative 2nd person singular of the causal of प्र+प्राप् cause (me) to reach—अन्तिकम् is the object of प्रापय, the vicinity (of my lord).

" Now that this is the inevitable course of things, now that the complement of the cruel deed of Fate must in the nature of things take place, even if Fate left the work half-done (—मत्), without any loss of time, kindly let a service be rendered by you to your friend," Rati requests Vasanta, " throwing me into the fire, lead me into the presence of my lord."

Stanza 33 : Construe—शशिना सह कौमुदी याति, तडित् मेघेन सह प्रलीयते; प्रमदाः पतिवर्त्मगा इति विचेतनैरपि प्रतिपन्नं हि ।

Observation of our surroundings, which need not be very minute, leads us to the conclusion that women as a rule follow their husbands, whether alive or dead. कौमुदी (moon-light personified) follows the moon, wherever the latter goes. On the cloud pouring out all the water contained in it, and thus ceasing to exist, lightning gets herself dissolved. And no one would seriously enough credit either कौमुदी or तडित् with that much intelligence or discrimination, which living beings possess. The less intelligent (if not insentient) moon-light and lightning lead the way, that intelligent Rati has to follow. प्रमदाः in this context, stands for such of them as care to have the reputation of a पतिव्रता.

As usual, Raghuvams'a has a parallel, in शशिनं पुनरेति शर्वरी दयिता द्वन्द्वरं पतत्रिणम् । VIII, 56. In प्रतिमा the instances of the moon being followed by तारु, the creeper collapsing after the forest tree and the female elephant not deserting the lordly-elephant sunk in mire are cited and the generalisation established भर्तृनायाहि नार्यैः । The stanza under consideration, Kumara IV, 33 is remarkable for **prāsāda alliteration** प्रलीयते, प्रमदाः, ... पतिवर्त्मगा, ... प्रतिपन्नं, and the अर्थान्तरन्यास it contains.

Stanza 34 . Construe—अमुना सुभगेन प्रियगात्रमस्मना एव कपायितस्तनी विभावसी नवपद्मवसंस्तरे यया तनुं रचयिष्यामि ।

Rati says she is going to immolate herself on the funeral pyre of her lord. Like other ladies in a similar condition, Rati need not wait for any adornment. Just the ashes of the body of her dear Madana (प्रियगात्रमस्म) would be a fine (सुभग) material with which to decorate her breasts and for

which no elaborate preparations were necessary (अमुनैव).
 "Thus decorated," says Rati, "I shall place myself on fire
 (विभावसु-विभा lustre वसु wealth यस्य सः) [as gladly and easily]
 as on a bed of soft (lit.) fresh leaves."

कपायितौ (रञ्जितौ) स्तनी यस्याः सा कपायितस्तनी, संस्तर—a bed.

Rati says that to her the ashes of the body of Madana would be (as) welcome (as an embrace with him), that the fire would be giving her the same comfort and delight as her bed of fresh soft leaves (formerly), just with the purpose of leaving no scope for Vasanta to argue that Rati should not act so rashly and part with her life.

Stanza : 35 Construe—(हे) सौम्य कुसुमास्तरणे बहुशः आवयोः
 सहायतां गतः, संप्रति तावत् प्रणिपाताञ्जलियाचितः (सन्) शिताम् मे
 आशु कुह ।

Rati reminds Madhu that on several occasions (बहुशः) in the past, he acted as a helper to both Madana and Rati in arranging their bed of flowers. This, Mādhava did very willingly, a friend of Madana that he was. Would it be too much to expect him to arrange the funeral pyre for Rati, now that Madana is no more ? "Do it quickly," says Rati, "being begged of by me with hands folded in an act of salutation." For a true friend stands by you not only when you are in affluent circumstances, but even when you are confronted by the direst of calamities. Literally is the latter part of the stanza, so often quoted राजद्वारे स्मशाने च यस्तिष्ठति ॥ बान्धवः, to be understood.

सहाय to be distinguished from साहाय्य help or assistance, is a person who helps : उत्स भावंः सहायता. प्रणिपाताय अञ्जलिः तेन याचितः प्रणिपाताञ्जलियाचितः ।

Stanza 36 : Construe—तदनु मदपितं ज्वलनं दक्षिणवातबीजनैः त्वरयेः, मां विना यथा स्मरः क्षणम् अपि न उत्सहते (तथा) ते सन्तु विदितम् ।

Rati continues her importunity to Vasanta—"by the fannings of the southern breeze, quicken the fire placed on me (मयि अपितम्—मदपितम्), for, it is well known to you, that (यथा) Smara does not feel at ease, even for a moment, without me." It is pertinent to note that the southern breeze (personified) i. e. the one coming from the Malaya mountain and one of the excitants of love, is like Vasanta, a friend of Madana. So that, Vasanta need not experience any difficulty in requisitioning the services of दक्षिणवात, in the interests of their common friends—मदन and रति. बीजनम् the act of fanning.

Stanza 37 . Construe—अपि च इति विधाय एकः एव सलिलस्य अञ्जलिः नौ दीयताम् । तं स ते बान्धवः परत्र मया सहितः अविभज्य पास्यति ।

In this stanza and in the next, Rati gives Vasanta an idea of the offerings after death, that they (Madana and she) would appreciate. "Give us, just one cavityful of water, having done this (quicken the fire placed on me). That friend of yours will drink it with me, in the other world, without dividing it into two." What a contrast, incidentally, between Madana and Rati having shared wine from the same chasaka formerly and this prospect of drinking the same cavityful of water, offered as part of funeral rites by Madhu ! Rati is delighted, however, at the prospect, as she will drink, may be just plain water, along with her husband.

Stanza 38 : Construe—(हे) माधव, परलोकविधौ च स्मरम् उद्दिश्य विलोपलब्ध्वा. सहकारमञ्जरीः निवपेः, ते सखा हि प्रियचूतप्रसवः ।

After having referred to the cavityful of water, to be offered in common to Madana and Rati, Rati proceeds to inform

Vasanta as to what he should offer with reference to Smara in the rite regarding the other world [परलोकविधि—funeral rite] Vasanta need find no difficulty in understanding the propriety of offering clusters of mango (सहकार) blossoms, with their sprouts (पद्म) tremulous (विलोल), to Madana. For he knew well enough that his dear friend was so fond of the produce (of all kinds) of mango.

प्रियाः चतस्र्य (आमृशस्य) प्रसवाः यस्य सः प्रियचूतप्रसवः । निवपेः— from नि + वप् to offer, especially to the departed. Cf. 'पितृदानं निवापः स्यात्'—अमरः ।

Stanza 39 : Construe—इति देहविमुक्तये स्थितां रतिं आकाशमवा सरस्वती हृदशोषविकल्पां शफरीं प्रथमा वृष्टिः इव अन्यकम्पयत् ।

Rati stood prepared to throw herself into fire. At this juncture a voice (lit. speech or presiding deity of speech) arising from sky took pity on her, like the first shower of rain (after the long scorching Summer) being full of compassion towards a female shaphara (a kind of fish), sorely distressed (विकल्पा) by the drying up (शोष) of the pond (हृद). Nothing could have been more timely and more comforting to Rati in that predicament, than the divine words.

Powers on high—or the supernatural element intervening at the eleventh hour and removing the difficulties, thus making it possible for the poets to lead his readers safely to, 'and thus they lived happily ever after' is by no means unusual in Sanskrit literature. The 'artificiality' of this device of which the literary critics complain, and with justification, is however, conspicuous by its absence in the present context. For ordinary gratefulness, || no other considerations, made it incumbent on the gods, that they should help Rati out of difficulty, as Madana, her consort had sacrificed his life, to serve their cause.

The stanza contains a beautiful upamā, where रती corresponds to शफरी, आकाशमवा सरस्वती to प्रथमा वृष्टि, रती । ' being full of distress through grief to the शफरी being scorched by the parched up condition of the pond she stays in, the common property (साधारण धर्म) between सरस्वती and प्रथमा वृष्टि being a compassionate attitude towards those that needed it.

Stanza 40 : Construe—(हे) कुसुमायुधपति तव भर्ता चिरात् दुर्लभः न भविष्यति, येन कर्मणा सः हरलोचनाभिपि शलभत्वं गतः (तत् कर्म) शृणु ।

The divine words purport to say that Rati's husband would not for long (चिरात्=चिरम्) be difficult to be obtained and that there was something he did on a former occasion due to which he was burnt in the flame from the eye of S'ankara even like a moth. अर्थः—a flame.

Stanza 41 : Construe—उदीरितेन्द्रियः प्रजापतिः स्वसुतायाम् अभि-
लापम् अकरोत् । अथ तेन विकिर्या निगृह्य अभिशप्तः (स्मरः) एतत्
फलम् अन्वभूत् ।

It so happened that Prajāpati once entertained an incestuous desire for his own daughter Saraswati. The latter, coming to know what her father was about, turned herself into a doe, with the purpose of running away from him. But the father blinded by passion proved himself to be resourceful (?) enough in that he assumed the form of a deer and began chasing Saraswati (Sandhyā, according to some accounts). Were it not for the timely aid given by the redoubtable Lord S'ankara, who ran to the succour of Saraswati and cut off the head of Prajāpati (or Brahmadeva), Saraswati would have been hard put to it to extricate herself from the extremely awkward predicament in which she found herself. As a result, Brahmadeva continues to remain suspended in the sky in the

form of the constellation मृगशिरस्, and S'iva's arrow is what is known as the constellation आर्द्रा, always following मृगशिरस्. This story occurring in S'aiva Purāṇa may be traced back to Rigveda, Atareya-brāhmana etc. and has to be regarded as representing a phase of the life of humanity, before it became civilized enough to consider such relations 'unnatural'. Prajapati's passion for his daughter is referred to elsewhere in Sanskrit literature, by Dandin, for instance, in the account of अरहरवर्मा, where a defence is sought to be given of her own behaviour by a concubine.

उदीरितानि इन्द्रियाणि (senses) यस्य सः उदीरितेन्द्रियः roughly, with his passion roused or excited. विक्रिया is विरुद्धा क्रिया 'a perverse action' here, though generally, just a change or modification.

Prajapati, in the account Kālidāsa gives, controls himself (निःप्रह-निष्पृष्ट is a gerund) but holds Kāma responsible for the impelling (उदीरणम्) of his passion and the divine speech continues, "cursed by him, Mādana experienced this fruit, viz., of being burnt by the fire from Hara's eye on the forehead."

Stanza 42-43 : Construe—तपसा तत्प्रवीणकृतः हरः यदा पार्वतीं परिणेत्यति तदा उपलब्धमुक्तः (स) स्मरं स्वेन वपुषा नियोजयिष्यति (४२) धर्मयाचितः ॥ इति च स्मरशापावधिर्दा सरस्वतीम् आह; अशनेः अमृतस्य च उभयोः वशिनः च अम्बुधराः च योनयः । (४३).

In stanza 43, the divine speech assures Rāh that he (सः—प्रजापतिः) being requested by Dharmā [a प्रजापति born of Brahmadeva's right breast having Kāma as his son with S'ama and Harsa as the other two, as per the account in Ādiparva, Mahābhārata], who naturally was full of concern for his son Smara, uttered words stating the limit (अवधि) of the curse. The words uttered by Prajapati are contained in stanza 42 and amount to "When Hara, favourably disposed towards

Stanza 44 : Construe—(हे) क्षोभने तत् इदं भवितव्यप्रियसंगमे
वपुः परिरक्ष; रविपीतजला नदी हि तपात्यये पुनः ओषेन युज्यते ।

भवितव्यः प्रियेण संगमः (union) यस्य तत् (वपुः)

रविणा पीतं (evaporated, lit, drunk) जलं यस्याः सा (नदी)

The divine speech further says that the union of Rati with her lord is a forgone conclusion. She can rest assured regarding it as much as any one can regarding the union of the river (personified), though with water evaporated by the Summer Sun, with her current on the advent of the rainy season.

The stanza contains an instance of the figure (साधर्म्येण) दृष्टान्त, there being a parallelism between रती, नदी; रती's being separated from मदन temporarily, नदी having water in it evaporated—and both being destined to have the desired re-union with Madana and the current. Vide definition. दृष्टान्तः पुनरेतेषां सर्वेषां प्रतिबिम्बनम् । काव्यप्रकाश X. 102.

Stanza 45 : Construe—इत्थं अदृश्यस्य किम् अपि भूतं स्तेः मरण-
व्यवसायबुद्धिं मन्दीचकार, तत्प्रत्ययात् च कुसुमायुधबन्धुः एतां सुचरितार्थ-
पदैः वचोभिः आश्वासयत् ।

Some invisible being—for though the words were heard, the speaker could not be seen either by Rati or by Madhu—thus (इत्थं) slackened the idea (बुद्धि) regarding the determination or resolve (व्यवसाय) of death on the part of Rati. The friend (बन्धु) of Madana, out of faith in the truth of those words consoled her by utterances (वचोभिः), the words in which had well carried out their meaning, i. e. proved significant, or true later on. मन्दीचकार—Perfect third person singular of मन्दीकृ—अभूततद्भावे चि from मन्दः and कृ. (न मन्दः अमन्दः मन्द संपद्यमानः) सुष्ठु चरितः अर्थः येषां तानि सुचरितार्थानि पदानि ।

Stanza 46 : Construe—अथ व्यसनान्ता मदनवधूः उपदुतान्तं किरण-
परिणयधूमरा दिवादनस्य शशिनः सेरा प्रदोयम् इव प्रतिपातयाम्भूत् ।

प्रतिपातयाम्भूत्—Periphrastic Perfect, 3rd person singular
from प्रति+पात्—awaited.

किरणानां परिणयेन धूमरा—किरणपरिणयधूमरा.

प्रदोय—(प्रारम्भः द्योताः यस्मिन् सः) or प्रारम्भः द्योतायाः the fore-part
of the night which marks the beginning of thefts etc.

Then Rat emaciated through grief, thus resembling the
digit of the moon by day-time, soiled or dusty on account
of the all round (परि) loss of rays, waited for the end of the
calamity (उपपन्न), like the digit of the moon waiting for the
beginning of night—which restores to her, her full glory.

The stanza contains an उपमा with रती corresponding to
शशिलेखा, both being emaciated one due to grief, the other
being obscured by the light of the sun, either, however,
having a bright prospect before her. रती sure to be united with
lord, the digit of the moon under favourable circumstances,
viz night-time, sure to shine brightly.

In keeping with the requirements of a Mahākāvya, as stated
by rhetoricians, Kālidāsa has composed stanzas 45 and 46
in metres different from the one in which the first 44 stanzas
are couched. The 45th verse ■ in the वसन्ततिलका and the
46th, in the पुष्पिताम्रा metre

Canto V

Stanza 1 : Construe—तथा समक्षं मनोमवं दहता विनाकिना भद्र-
मनोरथा सती पार्वती रूपं हृदयेन, निनिन्द, नास्ता हि प्रियेषु सौभाग्यफला ।

The preceding canto is devoted to the lament of Rati. In this canto, Kālidāsa proceeds with the story. Pārvatī was created by the creator, after bringing together all the sweet and lovely things, and casting them into a mould, (Read Kumāra, canto I Stanzas 31 to 48). Neither these charms, nor the flowery arrow of Cupid, could be of any use in winning over the heart of Śānkara, who was practising penance for some reasons, no one knew. (Read 'केनापि कामेन तपश्चचार Kumāra I 57). On the contrary, at Kumāra III 75, Kālidāsa describes this paragon of beauty as one full of grief and shame, grief for her lost hopes and shame for her discomfiture in the presence of her friends. She returned back to her father's abode with shattered hopes (भूतः मनोरथः यस्याः सा-भद्र-मनोरथा). The poor Cupid was reduced to ashes. Pārvatī realized from this, the futility of her physical charms and cursed them. For of what use are the charms if they fail to attract the loved one? (सौभाग्यं फलं यस्याः सा-सौभाग्यफलम्). For a similar idea read Kumāra VII. 22 स्त्रीणां प्रियालोकफलो हि वेशः । अश्लोः समीपे-समक्षम् अव्ययीभावः vide 'अव्ययं विभक्तिसमीप सप्तुद्धि' । तथा In that violent manner. Read notes on stanza 1st canto IV. मनोभवः From मनस् (mind) and भू (to be born), born of mind, the same as मनसिज i. e. Kāma or Cupid. The stanza is an illustration of अर्थातरन्यास of the विशेषस्य सामान्येन समर्थनम् type. The metre in this canto from stanzas 1 to 84 is वंशस्थ defined as "जटां तु वंशस्थमुदीरितं जरौ."

Stanza 2 : Construe—सा समाधिमास्थाय तपोभिः आत्मनः अवन्ध्यरूपतां कर्तुं इत्येव, अन्यथा तथाविधं प्रेम, तादृशः पतिः (इति एतद्) द्वयं कथं वा अवाप्यते ।

Though rudely shocked, Pārvati refused to be disheartened, she thought that penance would help her, where physical charms had failed. She could win the heart of the stern deity by austerities, that would be sterner still. Meditation (समाधि) was the only sure means at her disposal, now. The love of that exceptional sort (तथाविधं), and the lord of that fame, could be won by only equally great sacrifices on her part. She wished to make her beauty fruitful i. e. win over S'ankara by austerities (अवन्ध्यं रूपं यस्याः सा अवन्ध्यरूपता, तस्याः भावः अवन्ध्यरूपता). तपस् is the only means of attaining the difficult. Read, Manu XI 238 यद् दुष्करं यद् दुरापं यद् दुर्गं यच्च दुस्तरम् । तत्सर्वं तपसा प्राप्यं तपो हि दुरितकर्मम् । तपस् is in other words hard work coupled with perseverance. These two things definitely lead to success. समाधि is concentration of mind on one object. It is the 8th and the last stage of yoga. इत्येव Per 3rd per. sing from इप् to desire. अवाप्यते Passiva 3rd per sing from अव + आप् to obtain.

Stanza 3 : Construe—गिरिशप्रतिसक्मानसां तपसे कृतोद्यमां भुतां च निशम्य मेना वक्षसा परिरभ्य महतः मुनिप्रताप् निवारयन्ती एनां उवाच ।

Pārvati had fixed her mind on the lord of the mountains (गिरिशे प्रतिसक्कं मानसां यस्याः सा-गिरिशप्रतिसक्मानसा) and had made a determination (कृतः उद्यमः यया-कृतोद्यमो) for practising penance. Having heard this about her daughter the peace of the mind of Menā, mother of Pārvati, was disturbed. Menā believed that her delicate daughter would find it extremely difficult to perform the penance, and

wanted to dissuade her (निवारयन्ती,) from ' the path of the rigorous vow of the ascetic (महतः मुनिव्रतात्). She threw her arms round the neck of her daughter and said—

Stanza 4 : Construe—गृहेषु मनीषिता देवताः सन्ति, हे वत्से क तपः क्व च तावत् वपुः पेलवं शिरीषपुष्पं भ्रमरस्य पदं सहेत पतत्रिणः पुनः न ।

Menā appreciates Pārvatī's longing for Ś'ankara but suggests to her daughter a less difficult course of reaching the goal. The deities such as सच्चि and others which are usually associated with marriage can certainly be worshipped even by staying at home, and thus be made to bring the desired object. If it is so, why unnecessarily undertake the troubles of performing the austerities, that are completely incompatible with Pārvatī's delicacy ? Her body was frail and delicate, and the penance was severe and stern (the repetition of क्व points the disparity between the two things ' द्वौ कश्चिद्दौ महदन्तरं सूचयतः ' Cf क्व सूर्यप्रभवो वंशः क्व चाल्पविषया मतिः Raghu I. 2.). The शिरीष flower, very delicate (पेलव) indeed, would perhaps bear the burden of the tender foot of a bee, but surely not that of a bird. (पतति इति पतत्रिण् a bird). It would both bend and break under that weight. Is not Menā's Pārvatī as delicate and tender as the शिरीष flower ? The stanza contains दृष्टान्त अलंकार as there exists विम्बप्रतिविम्बभाव between the body of Pārvatī and the शिरीष flower, worship of the gods at home and the foot of a bee, and lastly the unbearability of the penance and the foot of a bird.

Stanza 5 : Construe—इति अनुशासती मेना ध्रुवेच्छां सुतां उद्यमान् नियन्तुं न शशाक, ईप्सितार्थस्थिरनिश्चयं मनः निम्नाभिमुखं पयः च कः प्रतीपयेत् ।

Menā however could not succeed, her daughter's will, having proved itself stronger, she could not restrain her (नियन्तुं न शक्वाक) from the firm resolve. There is however no wonder, that Menā was unsuccessful. For who is able to cause to go in the opposite direction (प्रतीपयेत्) the mind, that has made a firm resolve to win the desired object ? (ईप्सिते अर्थे स्थिरः निश्चयः यस्य तत्-ईप्सितार्थस्थिरनिश्चयः) It is as impossible as the attempt to revert the current of water running on a low-level, from its course. (निर्गमं प्रति अभिमुखं निष्प्रभिमुखं in the direction of a place on the lower level). Such attempts, in the very nature of things, are bound to fail. The stanza contains दीपक and अर्थातरन्यास अलंकार-दीपक because कः प्रतीपयेत् is to be taken along with प्रकृत (मनः) and the अप्रकृत (पयः) and अर्थातरन्यास for the समर्थन of विशेष by सामान्य i. e. the nability to revert the current of water on a low level, from its course is a general phenomenon which is used here for explaining and justifying the failure of Menā's attempts. प्रतीपयेत् Denominative from प्रतीप, which is from प्रतिपत्तः आपः वहिम्न कर्मणि.

Stanza 6 : Construe—कदाचित् मनस्विनी सा मनोरथं पितरं आसन्न-सखीमुखेन पत्येदयान्ताय तपः समाप्ते आत्मनः अरण्यनिवासं अयाचत ।

Menā's attempts, were bound to fail. However the task of Pārvati, became a bit difficult. Had Menā been one with her resolve, Pārvati would have easily secured the permission of her father, through her mother as young maidens are wont to do. That privilege however was denied to her. But being confident that her father, as he knew her desires (मनोरथं), would consider the question from a different view point, she begged of him through the medium of her friend who was standing by, permission to stay in the solitudes of forest (अरण्यनिवासम्). There she would carry on penance till the

acquisition' of the fruit. (फलस्य उदयः फलोदयः सः अन्तः अवाधिः यस्य तस्मै फलोदयान्ताय). Spirited or मनस्विनी as she was, she would either reach her goal i. e. win the love of the lord of worlds or continue practising penance indefinitely. The root याच् governs two accusatives, here पितरम् and अरण्यनिवासम् vide दुष्टाच्च इत्यादिना द्विकर्मकत्वम् ।

Stanza 7 : Construe—अथ अनुरूपाभिनिवेशतोऽपिणा गरीयसा गुरुणा कृताभ्यनुज्ञा गौरी पश्चात् प्रजासु तदाख्यया प्रथितं शिखण्डिमत् शिखरं जगाम ।

Himālaya, the lord of the mountains, was pleased at Pārvati's attachment to a person worthy of her (अनुरूपः ह्यस्य योग्यः (worthy) तस्मिन् अभिनिवेशः तेन तोष्टुम् शीलमस्य—अनुरूपाभिनिवेशतोऽपिन्). Hence with magnanimity of heart, he gave the necessary permission to his darling child (कृताभ्यनुज्ञा यस्याः सा—कृताभ्यनुज्ञा) Gauri immediately repaired to the peak of the mountain that abounded in peacocks (शिखण्डिमत्), where she practised severe austerities. As a result of this, the peak came to be known among the people (प्रजासु) as गौरीशिखर ।

Stanza 8 : Construe—अहार्यनिधया सा विलोमयाष्टिप्रविलुप्तचन्दनं हारं विमुच्य बालारुणवभ्रु पयोधरोत्सेधविशीर्णसंहति वल्कलं बबन्ध ।

A new phase in the life of Pārvati began. Delicate, though she was, she no longer remained a pet child looked after by her mother, but became a तपस्विनी putting on her body the rough bark garment (वल्कलं) that was tawny (वभ्रु) in colour like the young sun (बालारुण) (बालारुणवत् वभ्रु—बालारुणवभ्रु). It pressed too tightly against her breasts, but the close fitting (संहति

from (सं+हन्) was loosened (विशीर्ण) on account of the protuberance (उत्सेध) of the breast (पयोधरयोः उत्सेधेन विशीर्णं संहतिः यस्य पयोधरोत्सोघविशीर्णसंहतिः) The compound means that the close contact of the garment with Pārvati's bosom was loosened on account of the plumpness of her breasts. No more was to be seen on her body the dazzling jewel necklace that robbed the bosom of its sandle paste (विलोलाभिः यष्टिभिः (strings) प्रविलुप्तं चन्दनं येन तत् विलोलायाष्टिप्रविलुप्तचन्दनम्) It was thrown away by her. तपस्विनी as she was, with a resoluteness of aim (अहार्यः निधयः यस्याः सा-अहार्यनिधया). The stanza contains पर्याय अलंकार of the second variety as defined by मम्मट at Kāvya-prakāśa X 117 ' एवं क्रमेणानेकस्मिन्पर्यायोऽन्यस्ततोऽन्यथा । Here the bosom that was the resting place of the necklace on former occasion, is no wearing वल्कल.

Starīza 9 : Construe—यथा प्रसिद्धैः शिरोरुहैः (तथा) जटामिरपि तदाननं एवं मधुरमभूत् पट्टजं यद्पदधेनिभिरेव न सशैवल्यसन्नमपि प्रकाशते ।

Necklace or no necklace, Pārvati's charms remained unaltered, her face (आननं) that shone formerly, with decorated (प्रसिद्धैः) hair, (शिरोरुहैः) continued to do so even when ornaments were removed and they were matted (जटाभिः). For do we not see that the beauty of lotus (पट्टज) shines not only when, the rows of bees (यद्पदधेनिभिः) hover round it, but also when it is in close contact with moss (शैवल) certainly an ugly object? Read for a similar idea Sākuntala I, 20 सरसिजमनुविद्धं शैवलेनापि रम्यं । मलिनमपि हिमांशोर्लक्ष्मलक्ष्मी तनोति । इयमधिकमनोशा वल्कलेनापि तन्वी । किमिव हि मधुराणां मण्डनं नाहृतीनाम् ॥ and also Kirāta IV 23 and VII 5. The stanza contains साधर्म्येण दृष्टान्त as there exists विम्बप्रतिविम्बभाव between the आनन that

was formerly decked with ornamented hair and the पङ्कज along with पद्मपद्मेषु. Similarly, the आनन with जटा, has corresponding it to the पङ्कज with शैवाल.

Stanza 10 : Construe—सा प्रतिक्षणं कृत्रोमविक्रियां त्रिगुणां यो मौञ्जीं व्रताय बभार, तत्पूर्वनिबद्धया तथा अस्याः रसनागुण्यस्पदं तरागमकारि ।

Pārvatī's girdle was also not to be seen on her body. The place of the girdle was occupied by the rough Munja string with three threads (त्रिगुणां, Cf. मौञ्जी त्रिवृत्समाश्रय्या at Manu II 42) which Pārvatī was expected to wear as she was observing the vow. This new girdle (i. e. Munja string) rough as it was, and was worn by Pārvatī for that the very first time (तत्पूर्वनिबद्धया—तदेव पूर्वं यस्य तत् तत्पूर्वम् । यथा तथा निबद्धया) created horripilation (रोमविक्रियां) on her delicate body, at every moment (प्रतिक्षणं). It was also responsible for reddening (तरागं) the fore-pasts of her hips, that were the seat of the girdle (रसनागुण्यस्पदम्) which upto this time had never come in contact with anything half as hard as the Munja grass.

Note the passive construction तदा.... .अकारि ।

Stanza 11 : Construe—विदुष्टरागात् अपरात्, स्तनाङ्गरागरणितात् कन्दुकात् च निर्वर्तितः करः कुशाङ्कुरदानपरिक्षिताङ्गुलिः अक्षमूत्रप्रणयी कृतः ।

Pārvatī, young maiden as she was, used to paint her lip with red dye and apply red unguent to her bosom. Her mode of diversion was a play with a ball (कन्दुक), that at times used to strike against her breasts and become red itself (स्तनस्य अङ्गरागः स्तनाङ्गरागः तौ अदणितात् स्तनाङ्गरागरणितात्) The form (अदणितात् is from अदण with the termination इतच्). But now as an ascetic, she no longer indulged in this game. Her hand was

turned away by her from the lower lip which no longer remained red, (विसृष्टः रागः यस्मात् विसृष्टरागात् अधरात्) and also from the ball. It was now employed in cutting the grass for sacred purposes. While being cut the tips of the grass used to injure her fingers (कुशानाम् अंकुराः तेषां आदानेन (plucking परिहृताः - injured अङ्गुलयः यस्य सः). The hand was also used continuously by her in counting the beads in the rosary for the sake of Japa, अक्षसूत्रे प्रणयः (affection) अस्य अस्तीति-अक्षसूत्रप्रणयी which literally means a lover of the rosary of beads. This stanza too, like the 8th one contains the figure पर्याय.

Stanza 12 : Construe—महार्हशय्यापरिवर्तनच्युतैः स्वकेशपुणैः अपि या दूयते स्म सा बाहुलतोपधायिनी केवले स्थण्डिले एव निपेदुषी अशेत ।

Pārvatī the fondled child of Menā used to sleep, while she was at home, on a very costly bed, possessed of soft cushions. Even on such a bed tender Pārvatī used to be pained (दूयते स्म) by the delicate and sweet flowers worn by her in her hair (स्वकेशपुणैः) but were loosened (च्युतैः) in her rollings (परिवर्तन) on the costly bed (महार्हशय्या). So delicate Pārvatī was (महान् अर्हः मूल्यम् यस्याः सा महार्हा [very costly] तस्यां शय्यायां परिवर्तनम् तेन च्युतैः महार्हशय्यापरिवर्तनच्युतैः) The same princess now slept on bare earth (स्थण्डिले a raised portion of earth). This was her coach, under the canopy of the skies and where her hand served the purpose of a pillow (प्रशस्तौ बाहुः बाहुलता, तां उपदधाति इति बाहुलतोपधायिनी). Pārvatī led this life as it is laid down for an ascetic. Read बाह्वल्लव्य III 51 स्वप्याद-भूमी शुची रात्रौ दिवसं प्रपदेर्नयेत् । स्थानासनविहारैर्वा योगाभ्यासेन वा तथा ॥ निपेदुषी Nominative singular feminine base of the perfect participle from नि+सृद् to sit (Masculine form is निपेदिवात्).

Stanza 13 : Construe—नियमस्थया तया द्वये अपि द्वयम् पुनः प्रहीतुं

निक्षेपः इव अर्पितम् । तन्वीपुलतासु विलासचेष्टितं हरिणाङ्गनासु विलोलदृष्टं च ।

तपस्विनी who is observing a vow (नियमस्था-नियमे तिष्ठति इति, नियम is a व्रत. Read ' नियमाः शौचसंतोषतपो महाहुतादयः ') must abandon passionate glances, winking smile and sportive dances. A rigorous life is laid down for her. Pārvati was observing it true to the letters. The sportive movements (विलास एव चेष्टितम् विलासचेष्टितम्) and the tremulous glances (विलोल दृष्टम्) which she always possessed upto that time, were no longer with her. She parted with them, however not for ever, but with the intention of receiving them back (पुनः प्रहीतुम्) after her vow. They were placed as a deposit (निक्षेप) with the creepers and female deer, the former being the fit abode for the sportive movements, and the latter for the tremulous glances. Read for a similar idea Raghu VIII 59. कलमन्य-मृतासु भाषितं कलहंसीषु मवालयं गतम् । वृषतीषु विलोममीक्षितं पवनाभूतलतासु विभ्रमाः ॥ The figure of speech in this stanza is उपमेया as indicated by the word इव 'as it were' पार्वती entrusted the two i. e. the विलासचेष्टितं and विलोलदृष्टं as a deposit to the two i. e. लता and हरिणाङ्गना as though. The past passive participles चेष्टितं and दृष्टं mean चेष्टनम् and दर्शनम् respectively, in keeping with ' नपुंसके भावे कः '

Stanza 14 Construe—सा अतन्द्रिता स्वयं घटस्तनप्रस्रवणैः वृक्षकान-
वर्धयत्, प्रयमासजन्मनां तेषां पुत्रवात्स्व्यं शुद्धः अपि न अपाकरिष्यति ।

In the solitude of the forest, Pārvati reared some plants, that blossomed on the mountain. Unwearied (अतन्द्रिता) as she was, she nursed them by pourings (प्रस्रवण) of water from the jars that were, like breasts, very plump. She did this

work with motherly care. She was more affectionate towards them than towards her own son गुह, as they were her first children. Even गुह who was flesh of her flesh and bone of her bone would not set aside (न अपाकरिष्यति) her motherly love (पुत्रवात्सल्यम्) for them. (घटस्तनप्रसवणैः घटः एव स्तनः तस्य प्रसवः तैः) which means by the pourings of water from her breast-like jar. Like the plants गुह also would be reared on the flow of milk coming from the jar-like breasts of Pārvatī. Vide for a similar idea, " यो हेमकुम्भस्तननिःसृतानीं स्कन्दस्य मातुः पयसां रसह." Raghu II. The beautiful scene of the young maidens watering the plants is of frequent occurrence in the writings of Kālidasa and other Sanskrit poets. Read रघु I, 51 and शाकुन्तल Act I. गुह is Kumāra or Kārbkeya, so called because he was reared in a cave (गुहावासात् गुहोऽभवत्) or 'one who protects the army' . गूहति रक्षति सेनाम् । वृक्षकान्—Plants अल्पार्थे कप्रत्ययः ।

Stanza 15: Construe—अरण्यबीजाञ्जलिदानलालिताः हरिणाः च तस्यां तथा विशश्वसुः यथा तथा कुतूहलात् तदीयैः नयनैः, पुरः (वर्तमानानां) सखीनां लोचने अभिमीत ।

The deer fondled with gifts (dāna) of cavity-fuls of forest corn trusted (विशश्वसु—perfect 3rd person plural विशश्वसुः) Pārvatī to such an extent (तथा) that (यथा) Pārvatī measured the eyes of her friends standing in front of her (पुरः) with (i. e. by bringing them near) those of the deer. It is difficult to see why Pārvatī's friends (सखीनां and not दासीनां) should not be regarded as those that had beautiful eyes. The objection that there is greater point in पार्वती's being regarded as possessed of beautiful eyes, rather than her friends is not convincing enough. Why not credit Pārvatī's friends who must have been worthy of her in all respects, with the possession

of beautiful eyes. The temptation to compare their eyes with those of the deer, naturally, must have been irresistible to पार्वती. Besides, पार्वती comparing her eyes with those of the deer, detracts from the amount of trust the deer could be regarded as reposed in her, as against the interpretation, where पार्वती is understood as comparing her friends' eyes with those of the deer. Pārvati won the confidence of the deer to so great an extent, that even when the deer were made to stand close to the friends and not merely in the presence of the friends, the deer were not frightened. They went through the whole process of comparison,—a physical one—without being disturbed in the least, to say nothing of the point that Pārvati was herself observing a vow and therefore there was a lesser probability of her comparing her own eyes with those of the deer. अरण्ये भवानाम् बीजानाम् अजल्यः तेषां दानेन स्नालिताः स्या लोचने अमिमीत is a passive construction.

Stanza 16 : Construe—कृताभिषेका हुतजातवेदसं त्वगुत्तरासन्नवतीम् अधीतिनीं तां दिदृक्षुः ऋषयः अभ्युपासमन् ; धर्मदृष्टेषु इयः न समीक्ष्यते ।

The stanza gives a description of a few essentials of the day-to-day programme of the way Pārvati practised the vow she undertook. To ensure purity of body, Pārvati took her bath (कृतः अभिषेकः — स्नानम् — यथा). For securing purity of mind, she next offered oblations to the sacred fire (हुतः जातवेदाः यथा सा तां हुतजातवेदसम्). While observing a vow, there is little surprise that she had only a bark (त्वक्) as her upper garment (उत्तरासन्नः). Finally to secure purity of soul (आत्मशुद्धि) she used to complete her study of the sacred texts—अधीतम् अस्याः इति अधीतिनी. A faithful observance of this 'time-table' continued for a few days, won for Pārvati a reputation great enough to attract sages, who approached her, presumably in a rever-

ential attitude. In the domain of piety or of the observance of duty (dharma), the vulgar distinction of young and old, junior and senior, counts not. That was why the otherwise experienced, old sages did not think it below their dignity to approach Pārvati. As is Kālidāsa's wont, he seizes the opportunity to strengthen the specific instance of Pārvati in this context, by a generalisation, in the last line, 'in regard to those that are senior in point of the observance of duty, age is not taken into account (at all)—thus making the stanza under consideration an instance of अर्थान्तरन्यास of the सामान्येन विशेषस्य समर्थनम् type. Reverence towards one worthy of it, irrespective of age or sex, is one of the vital factors of the culture of Sanskrit writers of note. There are thus a number of versions of the idea embodied in the last line of the stanza: तेजसा न वयः समीक्ष्यते Kālidāsa, in Raghuvam'sa XI, शिशुत्वं ह्येवं वा भवतु ननु वन्द्यासि जगतां—गुणाः पूजास्थानं गुणिषु न च लिङ्गं न च वयः ।—Bhava-bhutu, in उत्तररामचरितम् Act IV, 11.....अतृप्तजिह्वमतिधीरितैर्मुनीनधरय-श्रुतिभिः । रजयाचकार विरजाः स मृगान् कमिवेशतेरमयितुं न गुणाः । Bharavi in किरितार्जुनीयम् VI, 24. Outside the domain of belles letters, Manu, the law-giver also expresses the same idea in न तेन वृद्धो भवति येनास्य पलितं शिरः । यो वै युवाप्यधीष्ठानस्तं देवाः ह्य-विं विदुः ।

Stanza 17 : Construe—विशेषितस्त्वोज्जितपूर्वमत्सरं दुर्मेः अभीष्टप्रसवा-र्चितातिथि नवोदजाभ्यन्तरसंमृता नलं तन् तपोवनं च पावनं बभूव ।

As a result of the austerities of Pārvati that penance-grove became sanctifying (पावयति इति—पावनम्). The beasts that were born enemies of each other gave up their previous malice (मत्सर). Thanks to the trees, Pārvati honoured the guests who visited that ās'rāma with the desired

produce of the same (i. e. 'trees'). The fire was well kept (संयुत) inside i. e. under the roof of a new hut—specially erected for the purpose. विरोधः येषां वर्तते ते विरोधिनिः । ते विरोधिभिः सखैः—प्राणिभिः—उज्जितः पूर्वः भूतः यस्मिन् तत् (तपोवनम्). दुग्धैः + अभीष्ट...तिथि is a case of a सापेक्ष समास the two words constituting one expression in point of sense. अभीष्टः प्रसवः तेन अर्चिताः (संमानिताः) अतिथयः यस्मिन् तत् (तपोवनम्) । नवः उदजः तस्य अभ्यन्तरे सम्यक् सृतः अनलः (fire) यस्मिन् तत् (तपोवनम्) । Though a literal rendering of the second line would suggest that the guests who paid a visit to the penance grove, were entertained by the trees, the meaning that is intended to be brought out by the poet is that Parvati (Kartti) received the guests and treated them hospitably,—only, in this respect, she was helped immensely by the trees which thus were the means (Sādhana) at Pārvatī's disposal.

The idea of beasts in the forest giving up their natural antipathy, under the influence of the presence of a magnanimous person, is of common occurrence in Sanskrit literature. Thus Bāṇa in his description of Jābālī's hermitage says 'अत्र हि शाश्वतिकमपहाय विरोधं.....' etc.

Stanza 18: Construe—यदा तावता पूर्वतपःसमाधिना काङ्क्षितं कलं कल्यं न अमंस्त तदा स्वशरीरमार्दवम् अनपेक्ष्य सा महत् तपः चरितुं प्रचक्रमे ।

The penance that Pārvatī practised brought hosts of admiring sages to her āśrama, no doubt. But she did not succeed in winning her heart's cherished desire. Disregarding the 'softness' (मार्दवम्) of her body, she therefore, started (प्र+क्रम् perfect third person singular) practising a mightier (महत्=महत्तरम्) penance. Though Kālidāsa has not stated in as many words, it is easy to see that Pārvatī was one who

believed in the truth of the adage 'effort unending' (निवाहः प्रतिपन्नवस्तुषु). She could know no rest till the objective was secured. अयंस्त-*aonst*, third person singular from मन्.

Stanza 19 : Construe—कन्दुकलील्या अपि क्लमं या ययौ तया मुनीनां चरितं ध्यगाह्यतः ध्रुवम् (अस्याः पार्वत्याः) वपुः कश्यपपद्मनिर्मितम् प्रकृत्या मृदु च ससारम् एव च ।

Before Pārvati took to penance, she used to be fatigued (क्लमं ययौ) even by reason of her playing with the ball. Resolute as she was, she now immersed herself into the life of ascetics. The construction is passive 'by her the life of the sages was plunged into.' The poet satisfies the sceptics, by resorting to a fancy (उत्प्रेक्षा) : indeed (ध्रुवम्) the body of Pārvati must have been fashioned out of a golden lotus—which alone accounts satisfactorily for the curious combination of delicacy and toughness that was manifested by her. It is possible to have the finest possible thread of gold (मृदुत्व) yet gold is one of the most enduring metals. The 'softness' of Pārvati's body causing her fatigue even after sportng with the ball is not incompatible with her toughness that carried her through the severe penance she had started. ससारम्—lit., possessing essence, i. e. strength, therefore 'tough'.

Stanza 20 : Construe—शुची, चतुर्णां ज्वलतां हविर्भुजां मध्यगता शुचिस्मिता मुमध्यमा (पार्वती) नेत्रप्रतिधातिनी प्रभां विजित्य अनन्यदृष्टिः (सती) सवितारमैक्षत ।

The first शुचि in the stanza is the same as श्रद्धा or summer. The other in the compound शुचिस्मिता lit., is 'pure' but here 'charming.' Occasionally, Kālidāsa appears to be striving after alliteration. The stanza under consideration is a convin-

cing instance of this tendency on his part. शुचौ शुचिस्मिता मध्यगता शुमध्यगा are not accidental combinations of words. The stanza deals with the particular kind of penance called पद्मामिसाधन that Pārvati practised during summer. With fires to her right and left, a fire behind her and one in front of her she kept on gazing at the sun, with her eyes directed towards nothing else. Others might have had their eyes dazzled by the burning lustre of the sun, if not actually hurt. But Pārvati had scored over that (नेत्रप्रतिधातिनी प्रभा विजित्य). The sun is regarded as the fifth fire in this पद्मामिसाधन. During the season that the sun is at its hottest, the penance is practised, the rigour of it is, therefore, easily understood. For the identity between the Sun and fire vide 'अग्निः सविता सवितृवामिः' from the scriptures. सविता—from सु to impel, is literally the impeller and refers to the goading or urging activity of the sun, especially after the night is over. Humanity that rests itself during nocturnal hours, is made to resume its duties in all freshness and vigour, by the sun immediately after its rise. In Rigveda सवितृ is one of the five solar deities, the other four being मित्र, विष्णुः पूषन् and सूर्य. A play on the root Su, to impel is a common feature of the hymns addressed to savitri. In the stanza under consideration सवितृ=सूर्य=sun. नेत्रे प्रतिद्वन्द्वं शीलं यस्याः सा नेत्रप्रतिधातिनी । न अन्यस्मिन् दृष्टिः यस्याः सा अनन्यदृष्टिः ।

Stanza : 21 Construe—सवितुः गमस्तिभिः तया अतितापं तदीयं सुखं कमलधियं दधौ । अस्य दीर्घयोः अपात्रयोः केवलं श्यामिकया शनैः शनैः पदं कृतम् ।

Pārvati's face thus heated intensely by the rays of the Sun (गमस्ति—गो हेयवर्गः तं नमस्ति, दीपयति इति), instead of suffering in

any way, appeared all the more to advantage, even as does a lotus shine brightly and beautifully when in contact with the rays of the sun. The 'tough'ness of Pārvati stood her in good stead, except, however, in one respect. Dark colour (श्यामिका) made its appearance, though by slow degrees (शनैः शनैः) in the long corners of her eyes. That was the only respect in which Pārvati yielded to some extent to the intensity of the powers in Nature (here, the sun's burning heat). But even there, it was somewhat of an uphill task, for the sun's rays to secure a victory over Pārvati. With her, the usual 'I came, I saw, I conquered' of the sun's rays failed. Not without a long struggle, (शनैः शनैः) was it that they could make themselves felt by Pārvati, leaving dark marks in the corners of her eyes. This however, did not mean Pārvati's giving up the task she had undertaken. श्यामिकया पदं कृतम् = passive construction, the corresponding active voice would be श्यामिका (शनैः शनैः) पदं कृतवती । Possession of wide eyes, or corners of eyes with Sanskrit poets, is a sign of beauty.

Stanza - 22 Construe—तस्याः किल पारणाविधिः केवलम् अयाचितो-
पस्थितम् अम्बु रसात्मकस्य उद्दुपतेः च रमयः बभूव, वृक्षम्यतिरिक्तसाधनः न ।

All this while that Pārvati was observing a vow, she was observing a fast. The formal conclusion or termination of the fast, or breaking of the fast, पारणाविधिः was no elaborate affair with Pārvati. Only (केवलम्) water and that too unsought (अयाचित) and the rays of the lord (पते) of constellations (उद्दु—derived as उ श्रेयं दीयते, उना शंभुना दीयते वा) i. e. of the moon served her purpose. Without exaggeration, therefore, it can be said that the पारणाविधिः had no extraneous (व्यतिरिक्त) means, except those which the trees depend on for their

subsistence. The cool rays of the moon exercise a favourable influence on the growth of trees (the moon is thus also called ओषधीपतिः); and the other essential, helping trees grow is water, which is not sought with any special pains. वृक्षानां वृत्तिः तस्याः व्यतिरिक्तं साधनं यस्मिन् सः । रस is nectar and the moon is said to be having nectar as the essence, for the reason that the rays of the moon are regarded as being made up of nectar (रसः आत्मा यस्य स रसात्मकः). सुधांगुः, the nectar-rayed one, is in fact a synonym of चन्द्रः.

Stanza 23 : Construe—द्विविधेन (or विविधेन) नभश्चरेण इन्धन-संभूतेन वह्निना च निकामतप्ता (सा) तपालये नवैः क्षरिभिः उक्षिता (सती) भुवा सह ऊर्ध्वगम् उष्माण्म् अमुघत् ।

The preceding three stanzas (20-22) described the austerities Pārvati practised during Summer. The poet, next turns to a detailed account of what Pārvati did or experienced (stanza 23) in the rainy season. At the end of the hot season (तपस्य ताप प्रधानस्य—ग्रीष्मर्तौः अन्ते) i. e. on the advent of the rainy season, Pārvati extremely heated by the two-fold fire, the one that was built up (संभूत) of fuel (इन्धन) (in fact there were four such, and if the number is to be stressed, it would appear that विविधेन is a better reading than द्विविधेन) and the other that moved in the sky i. e. the sun, was sprinkled over by fresh water and gave forth vapour (उष्माण्म् अमुघत्) that went up in the air. The poet very aptly likens Pārvati, thus charactensed, with the earth heated during summer, and giving forth vapour on the first contact with showers of rain after a long period of time. The figure in the stanza, however, is सहोक्ति, the idea being expressed as ' Pārvati ' along with the earth (सह), gave forth vapour.

Stanza 24 : Construe—प्रथमोदबिन्दवः पश्मसु क्षणं स्थिताः, ताडिता-
घटाः, पयोधरोत्सेधनिपातचूर्णिताः, बलीषु स्खलिताः चिरेण तस्याः नाभिं
प्रेषिदरे ।

The stanza is devoted to a detailed account of something like a regular journey that the drops of fresh water (in the beginning of the rainy season) completed after having fallen on Pārvati's head. Immediately after falling on the head, they were caught up in the rich eye-lashes (sign of beauty) of Pārvati. Having escaped from there they fell on and beather lower-lip, the next obstacle in their way, downwards. This was by no means the last or even the last but one stage in the journey of the drops of water. Their next encounter was with the protuberant breasts of Pārvati. It may be noted en passant, that पयोधरोः उत्सेधः, protuberance of the breasts is only a Sanskritism for उत्सेधयुतौ पयोधरौ. That the drops of water should have got themselves shattered into particles (चूर्णिता) due to this falls, need occasion no surprise. Such of the particles as could persist proceeded along the (three) folds on the belly (of Pārvati, another sign of feminine beauty as Sanskrit poets conceive it (Read ' मध्येन सा वेदिविलम्बय्या । बलिप्रयं चारु बभार बाला । ' as Kālidāsa has himself described this trait of Pārvati's beauty, at Kumārsambhava, I, 39). Slipping along the folds, they reached after a long enough period of time (chirena) the navel, which the commentator tells us was deep and kept the drops of water that reached it, imprisoned.

The stanza is an instance of the पर्याय अलंकार, so beautiful as to have been quoted by the author of साहित्यदर्पण in his treatment of that figure (for the definition of the figure, refer to notes on stanza...24 Canto IV). पयोधरोः उत्सेधः तत्र निपातः तेन चूर्णिताः—पयो...चूर्णिताः ।

Stanza : 25 Construe—निरन्तरासु अन्तरवातवृष्टिषु अनिकेतवासिनी
शिलाशय्यां तां महातपःसाक्ष्ये स्थिताः क्षपाः उन्मिषितैः व्यलोकयन् इव ।

The rainy season continued gaining in strength and so did Pārvati's penance. She had no house to dwell in (as she wilfully had given it up अनिकेतवासिनी). She slept on a slab of stone. Meanwhile the sky continued pouring incessant (निरन्तर) showers of rain, down on earth, interspersed by (a liberal amount of) gales of wind. अन्तरे वाताः यासां ताः अन्तरवाताः च ताः वृष्टयः च तासु अन्तरवातवृष्टिषु । Undeterred, the resolute young girl was carrying on the observance of her vow. There were only Nights (personification) who acted as witnesses (साक्ष्ये स्थिताः)—as eye-witnesses to this singular performance of austerities. The witnessing ladies (Nights) had for their eyes, as though, (lit, for the openings of their eyes, उन्मिषित) the flashes of lightning. The figure of speech in the stanza is evidently उत्प्रेक्षा as the Nights, who normally cannot be regarded as perceiving anything are fancied to be doing so, as though, with eyes in the form of flashes of lightnings, by which they are characterised during the rainy season. उन्मिषित past passive participle from उत्+मिष् to open, used in accordance with नपुंसके भावे क्तः, a glance, act of looking. महात् च तत् तपस्य तस्य साक्ष्यम्—तस्मिन् । साक्ष्य is a disinterested watching of an event. क्षपा (क्षपयति चैवम्)—Night.

Stanza 26: Construe—उदवासतत्परा, परस्परकन्दिनि पुरः विद्युते
पञ्चवाक्योः मिथुने शृण्वती, सा अत्यन्तहिमोत्किरान्विताः सहस्ररात्रीः निनाय ।

सहस्र is the पौष month of the Hindu calender, so called as it is the coldest and thus the best suited to give men

strength (सहस्) or energy सहसि राधुः सहस्यः । Pārvati spent (निनाय) the nights of this month, which were full of winds that scattered about snow, excessively (अत्यन्तं हिमम् उत्किरन्ति तादृशाः अनिलाः यासु ताः), being bent on staying in water (उदके वासः, तस्मिन् तत्पय, क being dropped according to 'पेयं वासवाहनधिषु च ।'). Though herself facing these inclemencies of weather, Pārvati did not give up her inherent kindness towards those who stood in need of it. All the time she stood in the cold (benumbing) water, she was full of pity towards the pair of the chakravāka birds, piteously crying for each other, as they (imagined they) were separated from each other. The चक्रवाक is a species of birds, which according to Sanskrit poets typifies conjugal love, thwarted as a rule by the arrival of night. The male and the female of the species are actually separated by just a leaf or a petal, but they imagine themselves to be leagues and leagues away, oblivious of the real state of things, and keep on crying out for each other.

Stanza 27 : Construe—निशि पद्ममुगन्धिना प्रवेपमानधरपत्रशोभिना मुपेत्य सा नुपारवृष्टितपन्नसंपदम् अपाम् सरोरसंपानम् अकरोत् इव ।

A पद्मिनी (best of the classes of beautiful ladies) that Pārvati was, she was possessed of a breath as fragrant as that of a lotus. That is why the poet refers to her face as that which was as fragrant as a lotus (पद्मवन् मुगन्धिना मुपेत्य). Another point to be noted is that the face was appearing beautiful (शोभिना) on account of the leaf in the form of the lower lip which was excessively (प्र) throbbing (वेपमान) due to the extreme cold of the season. Putting together the facts, therefore, that (a) Pārvati's face was like a lotus in appearance as well as (b) in regard to its fragrance and that (c) the

lower lip that was exceedingly tremulous, there, could be regarded as a leaf [that quivers so very much], Kālidāsa fancies (चरप्रेक्षा) that thus equipped, Pārvati effected (अकरोत्) a putting together (सम्-धानम्) as though, of the waters (अपाम्) and the lotuses, a regular wealth of which the waters had lost due to the destruction wrought by the shower of snow at night time. The idea is that though the waters had lost all other lotuses along with the leaves thereof, they had their loss more than made good, in so far as, there was in the midst of them a lotus of lotuses (the face of पार्वती who stood in the waters) in full possession of its inherent fragrance; and not without its highly tremulous leaf (the lower quivering lip of पार्वती). प्रवेपमानः अधरः एव पत्रम् (metaphor) तेन शोभितुं शीलं यस्य (ताच्छील्येणिनिः) तेन प्रवेपमानाधरपत्रशोभिना । तुषारस्य (snow) दृष्ट्या क्षता (नष्टा) पद्म-संपत् यासां-तासां तुषारदृष्टिस्तपद्मसंपदम् (अपाम्) । अपां सरोजसंधानम् in this case the 'is restoration of lost lotuses to the waters.

Stanza 28: Construe—स्वयंविशीर्णंदुमपर्णदृशिता हि तपसः पराकाष्ठा; तथा पुनः क्व अपि अपाकीर्णम्, अतः प्रिवेवदाः पुराविद्: ताम् अपर्णा इति च वदन्ति ।

The way that Pārvati practised her rigorous austerities during the three seasons (the hot, rainy and cold) has been described so far. The present stanza refers to the utter privation that Pārvati subjected herself to, all these months. The state of (ता) maintaining (दृष्टि) oneself on the leaves of trees (दुमपर्ण) fallen (विशीर्ण) of their own accord (स्वयम्) is acknowledged on all hands as the veriest limit (काष्ठा) of austerities. By Pārvati, again, even that was cast away—she did not partake even of the leaves of trees falling down as a

matter of course (स्वयम्). On this account (अतः) the experts in the sphere of matters of old, who speak agreeably (प्रियंवदाः), call her Aparṇā. It has at once to be admitted that प्रियंवदाः has here no propriety, especially when we remember that the same author has significantly named the vivacious friend of his immortal heroine of S'ākuntala, प्रियंवदा [अतः खलु प्रियंवदासित्वम्—after stanza 20 Act I शाकुन्तलम्]. Matters are in no way improved if प्रियंवदा is regarded as the reading, qualifying तां (पार्वतीम्) One rather expects some expression of the nature of ययार्पवक्तारः qualifying पुराविदः.

स्वयं विशीर्णैः दुर्मर्णैः वृत्तिः — तस्या भावः । 'प्रियं वदन्ति इति प्रियंवदाः । —the anuswāra on the second syllable being accounted for by 'अर्शद्विपदजन्तस्य सुम्,' Kālidāsa is pretty fond of pressing derivation of words into his own service. न पर्णम् (अपि) अस्याः (मत्स्यत्वेन विद्यमानम्) —अपर्णा. In fact, the Purāṇa literature regards अपर्णा as the name of a sister of Pārvatī's (मेना च मुपुषे तिष्ठः कन्या योगिनीस्ततः । उमैरुपर्णा ऽ पर्णा च तीव्रतपरायणाः—मत्स्य-पुराण, 3, 8). That does not seem to matter from the view point of Kālidāsa, who gives Pārvatī another name yet viz. अपर्णा (the others being पर्वतस्य अपर्णा श्री पार्वती, and उमा—as she was called, when मेना, her mother, prohibited माह् प्रतिषेधे —her from practising penance, or tried to do so.). Cf other such derivations in कुमारसंभव 1, 26. यया प्रज्ञादनाचन्द्रः प्रतापात्-पनो यया । तथैव सोऽभूदन्वयो राज्ञा प्रहृतिरञ्जनम् ॥ Raghuvamśa IV, 12. राजा प्रहृतिरञ्जनम् । and क्षतात् कित्वा ययत् इत्युदयः क्षयस्य चाप्यो मुपनेषु स्वः this latter from एवञ्च II, 53.

Stanza 29 : Construe—एवमादिभिः प्रैः मृषालिङ्गोत्पन्नं स्वम् अत्रम् अर्शनिशं गृहयन्ती सा, तपस्विनां वृद्धिः क्षीयते उपाधिर्न तपः क्षाम् अपयक्षार ।

The stanza is intended to sum up the austerities practised by Pārvati. She caused her body, delicate (*पेल्व*) like the small (*का-अल्पार्थे कः*) lotus-stalk (*मृणाली*) to be reduced (*रुपयन्ती* present participle from the causal of *रु*) by vows such as have been so far described. But while so causing her body to be reduced, she achieved what many others, better equipped physically, could not. She left far behind (lit. below *अधः*), that is, she far surpassed the austerities, secured (*उप + अर्ज्—p. p. p. उपार्जितम्*) by the ascetics with their tough bodies (*कठिनै शरीरैः*). In effect, the frailness of Pārvati's body was no obstacle in her way. Even the professional ascetics were beaten by her, on their own ground. *अहः च निशा च अह-निशम्* is a समाहारद्वन्द्व.

Stanza 30 : Construe—अथ अजिनापादधरः प्रगल्भवाक् ब्रह्ममेव तेजसा ज्वलन् इव कश्चित् जटिलः शरीरबद्धः प्रथमाभयः यथा तपोर्वन विवेश ।

अथ—After Pārvati had surpassed other ascetics in practising austerities, as indicated in the previous stanza. From this stanza onwards, the interest of the story deepens. For, a certain (person), holding in his hand a staff of the wood of the palas's tree (*आपादधरः—आपादस्या धरः, धरतीति धरः*) and having deer-skin (*अजिनस्य कृष्णमृगचर्मणः धरः*), and matted hair (*जटिल*), quite confident (*प्रगल्भ*) of his (powers of) speech, burning as though with the lustre full of (i. e. the result of) the study of sacred literature, and thus resembling the first stage of human life (according to the Dharmashastra of the Hindus) viz, *brahmacharyaasrama* endowed with a body or incarnate (*यद् शरीरं येन, यदशरीरं, also शरीरबद्धः* according to ('वादिताग्न्यादिषु पाठतत्त्वापुः १') entered, without any previous intimation being given, and therefore quite suddenly or

Well disposed, that is thoroughly courteous, (अतिथिपु साध्वी
आतिथेयी) towards visitors that Pārvati was, she went forth
(प्रति+उद्+इ perfect 3rd person singular प्रत्युदियाय) to receive
him with a worship (सपत्न्या=पूजा) preceded by great regard
for him. The incident that an ascetic like Pārvati should have
been very particular in offering a welcome to a Brahma-
chari guest, need cause no surprise. For: even in the case
of those that have their minds firmly established (निविष्ट)
in equality (साम्य) and who thus are not expected to make
any distinction between an individual and an individual or
between a thing and a thing—there are activities (क्रियाः)
marked by extreme respect (अतिपरैकाः) towards those that are
possessed of excellent forms. साम्ये निविष्टचेतसाम् is ॥ सापेक्ष
समाप्त—साम्ये निविष्टं चेतः येषाम् तेषाम् । वपुः विशेषः-वपुर्बोधः ॥ a
Sanskritism for विशिष्टवपुः and further विशिष्टवपुष्मान् (पुरुषः) C
अतिथिविशेष—by no means an ordinary guest: an exceptionally
great visitor. That handsomeness is a great force and the
possession of it at once distinguishes a person from the rest
is an idea commonly held by Sanskrit writers. A physically
handsome person is his own recommendation, that is what
Sanskrit writers believed. The figure of speech in the stanza,
is evidently. (विशेषस्य सामान्येन समर्थनम् type of) अर्थान्तरव्याप.

Stanza 32: Construe—विधिप्रयुक्ता सत्सिखा परिपुष्ट, शर्मा च परि-
धमं नाम विनीय, उगाम् ऋतुना एव ऋतुना पश्यन्, अनुगमनवशः ग-
तुं प्रचक्षते ।

The visitor having accepted the welcome offered in keeping
with the rules laid down in that connection by the s'āstra
(विधिप्रयुक्ता) and feigned to have removed his fatigue (नाम

Idiomatically used to mean 'feignedly') as in fact, fatigue there was none, looking at Umā with just a straight eye, (ऋतुना एव चक्षुषा) proceeded to speak to her, without giving up decorum (कर्मः).

ऋतु चक्षुः is an eye that looked at Pārvatī without any gallantry, or hint of amorous or sexual feeling, as would become a ब्रह्मचारिन्. There was nothing like an embarrassment that the visitor felt.

कर्म is literally 'order,' but here is practically the same thing as देशकालपरिस्थितिविषयक ज्ञानम्—'propriety' or 'decorum'. Feigning that there was fatigue and then making an attempt at removing the same, was quite necessary as Ś'ankara was disguised as a brahmachāri, and had to keep up the show that he came from a distance.

Stanza 33 : Construe—अपि निरपार्थं समितकुशं मुलभम्, अपि जलानि ते स्नानविधिद्वयाणि, अपि स्वचक्षुषा तापनि प्रवर्तसे,—शरीरं खलु आचं धर्म-तापनम् ।

The portion beginning with this stanza, upto the 83rd, both inclusive, is most interesting, and illustrative of the great mastery with which Kālidāsa builds up his dialogues. Along with Ś'ākuntalā V, Raghuvamśam II, with the conflict between Ś'ākuntalā and Duśyanta in the former, and the opposed views put forth by Ś'ankara's servant निरुम्भ in the form of a lion, and King Dilips, K'satriya every inch of him, in the latter, Kumāra V, 33-83 ■ justly pointed out as proof of the great powers the author evidently had in investing a situation with life. The reader feels, he is listening to a conversation actually taking place between two parties, conversation that becomes part of his personal experience.

The visitor was aware of **Karma**. So after resting himself for a while he began making courteous inquiries of the host-Pārvatī. Even a stranger could see, she was practising austerities. There could have been no other natural query and none more polite than the one, the **Brahmacharin** is making. अपि standing at the beginning of a sentence has an interrogative force. Were the sacrificial fuel (समिधः) and kusa grass easily available to the host ? And did the waters prove to be suitable quite for her bath ? Was she not over-exerting herself in the practice of austerities ?—Supposing she did, which more probably than not, she did not, it was as well stated, rather frankly 'body is the foremost means for the performance of duty.' Kālidāsa makes all his characters facing situations similar to the one, that the ascetic was, begin their queries, by making the speakers ask about the general well-being of the other party, Cf एवञ्च V, 4-10.

८ अयमग्नीर्मन्त्रहृतामृषीणां कुशाप्रमुद्रेः कुशली शुद्धते । etc

Stanza 34 : Construe—अपि त्वदावर्जितवारिसंयुतं आसुम् वीर्या प्रवालम् अनुबन्धि, यत् विरोम्बितालकफण्टलेन ते दन्तवाससा तुलाम् आरोहति ।

त्वया आवर्जितं वारि तेन संयुतम्—produced (संयुत fed or supported) by water poured or sprinkled over by you. विरुध्— is a creeper-वीर्याम्, therefore—of the creepers. अनुबन्ध + इत् (possessive) = अनुबन्धि—having a bond that follows something gone before, therefore, continuous. दन्तवासस् lit., is the cover (garment) of teeth i. e. the (lower) lip. चिरम् उज्झितः अलक्तकः येन (तथापि) पाटलेन red even though it has given up the use of red lac-dye since long.

The ' कमज्जत्व ' is amply manifested by the stranger to the hermitage. After queries that concerned the host directly or

stanza in the present, the speaker is very keen on subtly flattering the person addressed : 'Oh lotus eyed one—the deer who by their highly tremulous eyes, reach or attain, similarity with your eyes'. The usual relation between the eyes of a beautiful girl and those of the deer viz; the उपमेय—उपमानभाव, is in the present instance topsy-turvied. Pārvati's eyes are the standard (उपमान), which the eyes of the deer (उपमेय) reached, by their tremulousness. The figure, therefore, in the stanza is (व्यतिरेक where the उपमेय surpasses the उपमान) [For definition of the same read उपमानाद्यदन्यस्य व्यतिरेकः स एव सः ।' काव्यप्रकाश X 105 मम्मट]

Stanza 36 : Construe—(हे) पार्वति, पापवृत्तये रूपं न इति यत् उच्यते (तन्) अव्यभिचारि वचः, तथाहि, (हे) उदारदर्शने ते शीलं तपस्विनाम् अपि उपदेष्टुं गतम् ।

Even supposing that the complimentary references in the preceding stanzas were lost upon the person for whom they were intended, the young student of Veda, steadily progresses saying, the statement which is (often) made, 'oh Pārvati, that beauty (of form or body) does not lead to a sinful conduct, is without an exception (अव्यभिचारि न व्यभिचारितुं शीलं यस्य)—i. e. absolutely true. For (तथाहि), oh you of noble appearance, your character has been an object-lesson (उपदेष्टुं—lit, the state of being an instruction) to the ascetics.' The words roll two compliments into one—that for beauty and that for character. The stanza illustrates the (सामान्यस्य विशेषेण समर्थन type of) अर्थान्तरन्यास. That physical handsomeness and noble conduct go hand in hand is a favourite idea to which Sanskrit poets refer so often न वादसा आकृत्यविशेषा गुण-विरोधिनी भवन्ति । Śākuntala Act IV before stanza 1. न ह्याकृतिः सुन्दरी

विजहाति वृत्तम्—गृच्छकटिक Act IX, 16. भिद्येत वा सद्ब्रह्ममीदृशस्य निर्मा-
णस्य । उत्तररामचरितम् Act IV between stanzas 20 and 21. This
is in direct opposition to Goldsmith's 'Handsome is that
handsome does.'

Stanza 37 : Construe—एष महीधरः सान्वयः विवर्णितसप्तपिबलि-
प्रहासिभिः दिवः च्युतैः गात्रैः मलिलैः तथा न पावितः, यथा स्वर्दीयः
अनाविलैः चरितैः (पावितः) ।

The tribute to the noble conduct of Pārvati continues Her
father, the mighty Himālaya has not been sanctified to such
an extent by the waters of the Ganges falling from heaven
and smiling brightly, thanks to the material of worship (बलि),
such as flowers etc thrown into them by the seven sages, as
by the pure (आविल—turbid अनाविल—not turbid, pure) conduct
of Pārvati. विवर्णित सप्तर्षीणा बलिभिः प्रहासिभिः । The river Ganges
with her holy waters did not sanctify the mountain Himālaya,
through its association with the latter so much as did
the daughter of Himālaya, absolutely pure in her conduct.
सान्वय—अन्वयेन चरितः । अन्वयः is what goes after, or follows, the
line of descendants also 'family,' but here the line is that of
ancestors, i. e. the father, grandfather etc, of Himālaya
according to some It is safest to render अन्वय by a line [of
persons hailing from the same family.]

Stanza 38 : Construe—(हे) भाविनि, यत् मनोनिर्विन्दयायंका-
मया स्वया एकः एव प्रनिवृत्त सेव्यते, अनेन धर्मः सविदोपम् दिवर्तयारः
अय मे प्रतिगति ।

According to the view of life that obtained in those ancient
days, a human being had four goals to achieve धर्म, अर्थ, काम and
मोक्ष. Of these the fourth (मोक्ष or liberation) may be dropped

while considering the life related to this world, *terra firma* emphasising the **practical** side of it. Thus three goals are left for consideration—Duty (धर्म), Wealth (which is to be won अर्थ) and (satisfaction of carnal) Desire (काम). This is the group of three or **त्रिवर्ग**. The speaker is finally convinced regarding the fact, that धर्म, particularly (सविशेषम्) is the best (essence-सार) of the lot—not so much on any other account, but because of this (अनेन) that by Pārvati was it being followed, having been first picked up (परियुध्य) or accepted, with her mind that gave no scope at all to अर्थ and काम, and followed it thus to the exclusion (एक एव) of the other two. If Pārvati rejects अर्थ and काम, she must have excellent reasons for doing so and people of the sort of the visitor would better conclude that धर्म alone was the best of the three, from that day (at least अद्य), if they knew that not before.

मनसः निर्विषयी (without sphere or scope or objects) अर्थस्य कामश्चेति अर्थकामौ यस्याः सा । तया । भाविनि—oh you of good thoughts or feelings (भाव) भाविनि—oh fortunate one (भाम=सौभाग्य). It is difficult to decide, which one is the better of the two readings.

Stanza 39 : Construe—आत्मना प्रयुक्तसत्कारविशेषं मां परं संप्रतिपत्तुं न अर्हसि । यतः, (हे) संनतयात्रि, मनीषिभिः सतां संगतं सात्पदीनम् उच्यते ।

Stanzas 33-38 were all meant for one purpose by the visitor—paying compliments to the host though very skilfully. That over, the **brahmacharin** proceeds to say that Pārvati need not consider him a stranger (पर—another, stranger).

For has she not personally (आत्मना) offered him an excellent welcome? And again, another reason is (यतः—यस्मान्) that by the wise (मनीषिभिः) the friendship of the good is declared to arise from (an exchange of) seven words सप्तभिः पदैः अप्यते इति साप्तमर्दानम् । Though the word पद alternatively means a step, and in regard to the 'सप्तपदी' rite, that is what the पद part of the compound means, there is no point in understanding the term साप्तपदी as signifying in the present context, the result of walking a distance of seven paces together. Already the visitor has spoken so many words—in fact so many times seven. And the host, belonging to the class of सन्तः that she was, listened to all that was said. Why not conclude then that a friendship had already sprung into existence, intimately connecting the guest and the host? A similar argument occurs again in Kālidāsa's रघुवंश, II, 55 though the specific reference to seven words is not repeated verbatim: सम्बन्धमाभाषणपूर्वमाहुः, इतः से नौ संगतयोर्वनाम्ते । संनतानि गात्राणि यस्याः तरसुन्दरी संनतगात्रि oh beautiful one, lit. possessed of drooping limbs.

Stanza 40. Construe—(दि)तपोपने, अतः अत्र बहुक्षमा भवती द्विजातिमावान् उपपन्नचापलः अयं जनः द्विचिन् प्रमृताः (वर्तते ।) रहस्यं न चेत् प्रतिवक्तुम् अर्हति ।

Having prepared the necessary ground by the reference to the friendship as it springs up between one good person and another, the वक्ता continues in the same strain further, taking care however that the speech is brought to some concrete point. His progress has been slow, but steady and sure, at the same time. Add to the fact that a friendship between us has now sprung up, that I am a Brahmin (द्विजातिमाव),

and as such, with some rashness (चापल) only to be expected of me. Brahminhood and some little chāpala go together, and the former is the justification of the latter (उपपन्नं i. e. reasonable, justifiable, logical चापलं यस्य सः उपपन्नचापलः). Another circumstance is that the revered presence of the host (अग्रभवती) is possessed of great forbearance. The visitor proceeds arguing, step by step. "It is but natural under the circumstances that I, here am minded to ask (you) something," he says, "in case there is no secret (to be guarded), it behoves the worthy host (Pārvati) to answer."

A Brāhmana (as well as a Ksatriya and a Vais'ya) is considered to be twice-born, because according to the **dharma s'asrta**, the time he sees the light of day, he is said to be born once and he is just a S'udra then but on the मौडीबन्धन (or उपनयन) संस्कार being performed on him, he is again born and now is not a s'udra, any longer (जन्मना जायते शूद्रः संस्कारात् द्विज उच्यते). प्रष्टुं मनः यस्य सः प्रष्टुमनाः, the अनुस्वार being dropped in the compound, according to 'तुं काममनसोरपि' वक्तुं कामः यस्य सः thus gives वक्तुकामः and not वक्तुंकामः । That the Brahmin class was a little too talkative, strong enough for any amount of talk, [and presumably over inquisitive, if not precisely prying into other people's affairs] seems to have been Bhavabhuti's experience too सिद्धं ह्येतद्वाचि वीर्यं दिज्जानाम् । उत्तररामचरितम् Act V. 32. But the boldness of the ब्रह्मचारिन् pressing this talkativeness into his service, by arguing that, that is a justification for his being curious in regard to a matter, connected with the personal life of the host is simply to be admired ! Already when the host is characterised as बहुक्षमा, she has no chance of taking offence, even if she means.

Stanza 41 : Construe—प्रथमस्य वेधसः कुले प्रमृतिः, वपुः त्रिलोक-
सौन्दर्यम् इव जडितम्, ऐश्वर्यमुखम् अमृग्यम्, अतः परं किं तत्फलं
स्यात् वद ।

The ascetic who was no inmate of the penance-grove wonders as to what really is the fruit having which in view Pārvatī is practising such a severe penance. Her birth (प्रमृतिः) has been in the family of the first creator (वेधः), as Himālaya was created by Bahmadeva himself 'यस्यार्थं हि मया सृष्टो हिमवानचलेश्वरः' and he was not the creation of an 'imitation-creator,' or an ordinary Prajāpati. Nor could securing a beautiful body be the motive, for Pārvatī's body was the loveliness of the three worlds put together. Nor again could happiness flowing out of possession of wealth (ऐश्वर्यं इव इक्ष्वरस्य भावः तस्य मुखम्) or power have prompted her begin her austerities, for such happiness was not something to be sought for—(अमृग्यम्) by her—her father having all the best wealth in his possession (vide stanza 2nd, canto I, कुमारसंभवम्). Would not Pārvatī herself say, what wished for fruit led her practise the rigorous penance?

Stanza 42 : Construe—दुःसहान् अनिष्टान् अपि मनस्विनीनाम्
ईदृशीं प्रतिपत्तिः भवति नामः (हे) कृशोदरि तत् (अनिष्टं) च विचारमार्ग-
प्रहिनेन येतसा स्वयि न दृश्यते ।

It sometimes so happens that spindled ladies (मनस्विन्यः) possibly (नाम) have such tendencies (प्रतिपत्तिः) on account of some calamity. But in the case of that slender—bellied one no such calamity could be seen, how much so ever the mind directed along the path of thought might be made to search. विचारस्य मार्गः तेन प्रहिनेन (प्र+धा to send, direct, part passive participle प्रहित) विचारमार्गप्रहिनेन.

Stanza 43: Construe—इयम् आकृतिः अलम्यशोकमिभवा, (हे)
सुभ्रु पितुः गृहे विमानना कुतः, परमिमर्शः तव न अस्ति, पद्मगरलसूचये
कः करं प्रसारयेत् ।

The first two lines in this stanza are an amplification of the idea in the previous stanza which stated only in a general manner that Pārvati's case did not admit of any guess of a calamity having befallen her. Her (very superb) form was one to which humiliation (अभिभव) due to grief was impossible of obtainment. (No one could so much as think of causing grief to beautiful Pārvati and thus humiliate her. In the house of her father, again, whence could there be any insult offered to her? No outsider (पर) could dare so much as touch (rudely of course) Pārvati. Who would stretch forth his hand for the pointed jewel (on the head) of a serpent? पद्मगरल रत्नसूचिः is a Sanskritism for सूचियुतं पद्मगरल रत्नम् a pointed gem on the hood of a serpent सूचये—for disturbing or removing, gives a better sense. What sane man would stretch out his hand to reach and remove by disturbing the pointed jewel on the serpent's hood? Obviously the idea is, that a stranger trying to touch पार्वती rudely would have shared the fate of the person referred to in the last line of the stanza.

शोभना भ्रूः यस्याः सा—तत्संबुद्धिः (vocative) is सुभ्रू. Strictly, according to some, सुभ्रूः should be the vocative singular of सुभ्रू (nominative singular). Kālidāsa is thus seen deviating from grammar. But Vāmana's sūtra उकारान्तादप्युह् प्रवृत्तेः is quoted in the poet's defence; according to this latter view envisaged by Vāmana, सुभ्रू also as vocative singular, is correct.

Even in these stanzas (42 and 43) the speaker takes special care to punctuate his speech with a ' कृशोदरि ' here, and a ' सुधू ' there.

Stanza 44 : Construe—शौवने आभरणानि अपास्य त्वया वार्धकशोभि वस्त्रं किम् इति वृत्तम् । वद यदि प्रदोषे विभावरी स्फुटचन्द्रतारका अरुणाय कल्पते ।

" Why is it then (किम् इति) that by you has been worn a bark-garment that would appear all right, in old age (वार्धक) in this (fresh) youth of yours, having cast off all ornaments (अपास्य—अप+अस् to throw off) ? The ascetic inquires and puts one more question. " Tell (me) " he says, " whether night (विभावरी), having all the stars scattered (विनिर्दीर्ण) away, (according to the variant reading विनिर्दीर्णतारका, instead of स्फुटचन्द्रतारका, preferred to that accepted by महिनाथ, on grounds of symmetry) is fit (to meet), just at the commencement of her career (प्रदोष—fore-part of the night) the harbinger of the Sun (अरुण)". Nothing can be more unnatural than Pārvati's taking to a bark garment in youth and than the night having her career brought abruptly to an end,—her being required to face Anuna, just when she is in the bloom of her youth and decked with stars.

The figure of speech in the stanza is प्रतिवस्त्रमा—for in the two passages, relating to Pārvati and the night, the similarity is understood as one reads what has been said of them, and as it has not been stated in so many words and the same common characteristic (viz abrupt end being put to a fine promising career) is mentioned separately (vide प्रतिवस्त्रमा गा स्यादाभ्ययोग्यगाभ्ययोः । एकोऽपि धर्मः सामान्यो यत्र निर्दिश्यते पृथक्) शिमिति अपास्य...वृत्तम् and वद यदि...कल्पते are two separate

expressions, intended to refer to the some common characteristic, impropriety. The following parallelisms may be noted: पार्वती—विभावरी, अपास्तानि आभरणानि—विनिकीर्णाः तारकाः (or in case स्फुटनन्दतारकाः is the reading धृतानि आभरणानि to be understood as going with Pārvati, by implication for no ornaments can be thrown away unless they are worn—and the moon and the stars shining brightly as going with the night) यौवने—प्रदोषे and पार्श्वकशोभिषत्कलधारण-अदृष्टाय कम्पनम्.

Stanza 45 : Construe—यदि दिवं प्रार्थयसे यमः वृथा, तव पितुः प्रदेषा-
देवभूमयः, अथ उपवन्तारम् (प्रार्थयसे) समाधिना अलम्; ररनं न अन्वि-
ष्यति तत् हि मृग्यते ।

The ascetic trying hard to understand the reason why Pārvati is practising penance, thinks, only for a while, that it is likely, that like other persons practising rigorous austerities, she wants to attain to heaven. But the very moment he dismisses this theoretical conjecture, as in Pārvati's case it is superfluous to go in for tapas or Samādhi; already the gods are the denizens of the exalted regions of Himālaya, her father. The company of gods is no longer a goal to be achieved—but an established fact. So one more and the last conjecture (अथ); Pārvati wants to secure a husband (उपवन्ता, from उप+वृत् to marry) worthy of her. Even in that case, there is no need for austerities. For a jewel or precious stone [अप्रस्तुत for a beautiful girl of the distinction of Pārvati] does not go about seeking an owner for itself (अप्रस्तुत for a worthy husband), it rather, is sought after (by the owner, and the beautiful girl is sought after by the prospective bridegroom). Thus understood, the verse would be an instance of the figure अप्रस्तुतप्रशंसा. ररनम् however is defined as

जाती जाती यदुत्कृष्टं तद्वत्त्वमभिधीयते as the best of a class and in keeping with जाती एकवचनम्, रत्नम्=रत्नानि. All best things are sought after—they do not go about seeking their appreciators. This would make the stanza an instance of (विशेषस्य सामान्येन समर्थनम् type of) अर्थान्तरन्यास.

Stanza 46 : Construe—सोष्मणा निःश्वसितेन निवेदितम्, मे मनः तु संशयम् एव गाहते, ते प्रार्थयितव्यः एव न दृश्यते, प्रार्थितदुर्लभ. कथं भविष्यति ।

As the ascetic mentioned 'a husband'—उपवन्ता—in the preceding stanza, Pārvati who did not so far utter a single word, and in all probability did not by any other indication make it possible for the stranger (who had by this time been a friend, at least, so he said) to ascertain the reason for her austerities, heaved a sigh (निःश्वसित). This betrayed (निवेदित) Pārvati's secret. The speaker's mind is even now in oscillation. The sigh of Pārvati was proof of the correctness of his guess. But how to believe that there was any one under the sun, who was to be eagerly desired or solicited (प्रार्थयितव्यः) by Pārvati, a veritable gem of a young girl? 'My mind is plunged in doubt', he therefore says, 'how can that unknown person, 'X' say, be difficult of obtainment even on being eagerly wished for by you?' प्रार्थितधर्मा दुर्लभः (दुर्लभेन लभ्यः) प्रार्थितदुर्लभः । Kālidāsa's *Dusyanta* in Śākuntala Act III, 13 expresses a similar difficulty in लभेन वा प्रार्थयित्वा न वा धियम् । धिया दुराधः कथमीषितो भवेत् ।

Stanza 47 : Construe—अहो तव रंजितः कः अपि युवा धियाः यः निराय कर्णोत्पलशून्यतो गते कपोतदेशे कटमाग्यविह्वलः श्वपत्यम्बुनीः जटाः उपेक्षते ।

As Pārvati did not deny the presence of such a young man sought as her life's companion by her, the ascetic has no other alternative, but that of concluding that there was some such 'X'. It is very interesting to see how Śaṅkara himself unhesitatingly attacks this young man (who is, as the readers are shortly to come to know, lord Śaṅkara and none else), saying, "Extremely stiff (स्थिर), (or hard-hearted) must this young man, who so ever he is, be,—that, he has (like one who is devoid of aesthetic sense altogether) been ignoring, (उपेक्षते) the loosely hanging, paddy-shoot like tawny, matted hair or the region of your cheek—which has since long (विराज) been without any lotus, used as ear-ornament. Oh ! for a more liberal distribution of the sense of appreciation—so that this insensible young man would have got its benefit and realised the utter foolishness of making a young, beautiful girl like Pārvati practise penance, compelling her to give up using any flowers as ear-ornaments, and driving her to growing matted hair ! It need not be said, that the young visitor's heart is going out in sympathy to Pārvati, who has been so ill-treated by the young man of her desire.

An intelligent reader does not fail to read another meaning in the word स्थिरः, which is the equivalent of स्थाणु (शंकर). This is of course intended by the poet.

Stanza 48 : Construe—मुनिव्रजेः अतिमात्रकर्मिता दिवाकरान्नुदविभू-
यणास्पदाम् (अतः च) दिवा शशाङ्केषाम् ॥ त्वां पश्यतः सचेतसः कस्य
मनः न द्रवते ।

Breathes there a man (lit. a person with a heart सचेतः) whose mind is not pained to see Pārvati extremely reduced (अतिमात्रं कर्मिता अनिमात्रकर्मिता, अतिगतां मात्रां यथा अनिमात्रम् reduced so as to pass all limits), and with the places of wearing

ornaments on her body, scorched all (आप्युष्ट) by the sun ('s terrible heat)? Can any one imagine one so unaesthetic as to be able to look with perfect calm at the digit of the moon (शशाङ्कस्य चंद्रस्य लेखाम्), extremely reduced (in size) (अतिमात्रकृशिता) and with the function (āspada) of beautifying (vibhusana) the world destroyed, (आप्युष्ट 'burnt up') by the sun, standing in full possession of its burning glory in the sky, by day-time? Pārvatī in her present plight is comparable only to the digit of the moon as described above. From the ascetic's view-point at least, there can be no son of man who will not be deeply affected by the sight (either of पार्वती or of the moon's digit). Bhartṛhari too refers to the moon by day-time as a sight that pains all 'सहृदयः' in the stanza where he refers to the seven शय्यः of his heart. 'शशी दिवसधूसरः' heads the list, he gives.

Stanza 49: Construe—तव प्रियं रीभास्यमदेन वधितम् अवैमि यः चतुराबलोकितः अरालक्ष्मणः अस्य चक्षुषः आत्मीयं वक्त्रं, चिरं लक्ष्यं न करोति ।

The attack that opened with an accusation of hard-heartedness (stanza 47) is made all the more formidable by another accusation yet. That loved one (प्रियः) of yours, is, (besides being stony-hearted) deceived or misled by his intoxication of beauty. Conscious of his physical handsomeness, priding himself on the possession of that handsomeness, he certainly is under a delusion (वधितमवैमि)—in that he does not show his face to these eyes of yours with curved (अराल) eyelashes, eyes which look (at things) so prettily (चतुरम्). No one that is not deluded by a disproportionate sense of pride for physical handsomeness could have kept his face away

from these lovely eyes, for so inordinately a long period of time.

चतुरम् (adverbially) अवलोकितुं शील यस्य ' ताच्छील्येणिनि. -चतुरावलोकिनः । अराल is curved or crooked. Having straight eye-lashes is no sign of beauty. In fact, all arts agree that beauty lies in curves rather than anywhere else. अरालानि पद्मानि (पद्मन् eye-lash) यस्य तत्-तस्य (चक्षुषः).

Stanza 50 : Construe—(हे) गौरि कियत् चिरं भ्राम्यसि, मम अपि पूर्वाभ्रमसंचितं तपः विद्यते; तदधर्मात्मेन काङ्क्षितं लभस्व; तं वरं च साधु वेदितुम् इच्छामि ।

The brahmacharin's sympathy was not of the lip-deep variety. He has a concrete offer to make, to help Pārvati out of the difficulty. He too, (as Pārvati) has penance accumulated in his first stage of life, (ब्रह्मचर्य). Now that Pārvati's austerities by themselves have not won her, her desired object, the brahmacharin makes a gift of half of his own penance to her. May she thus obtain the desired (thing or person). Only he would like to have one thing in the bargain—a detailed knowledge of that husband, whom Pārvati sought all these days.

पूर्व=प्रथम, पूर्वं आभ्रमे संचितम् पूर्वाभ्रमसंचितम्. That Kālidāsa freely uses the term पूर्व in the sense of प्रथम (and not the previous, which has occasioned a difficulty to some readers of the stanza under consideration) is easily demonstrated. To start with, in this very canto, in the 10th stanza, there is ' तत्पूर्वनिबद्धया तया '; another is चात्स्वर्गेन निर्वर्णयितुं च रूपम्-दृष्टवन्ति तत्पूर्वसमागतानाम्-मालविकाग्निमित्रम् IV, ३ and प्रस्यन्तीन्नेनमिगुप्रयोगे तत्पूर्वभक्ते वितयप्रयत्नः रघु II, 42, in all these passages, Kālidāsa equates पूर्व with प्रथम.

Stanza 51 : Construe—इति द्विजन्मना प्रविश्य अभिहिता सा मनोरथं शंसितुं न शशाक, अथो परिपार्थवर्तिनी वयस्यां विवर्तितानजननेत्रम् ऐक्षत ।

The sympathetic attitude of the Brahmacharin, coupled with his readiness to offer half of his penance, so that Pārvatī may secure her object, and the accuracy of his guess about the cause of the penance, made it quite essential for her to say something in response. But owing to bashfulness she could not speak out her desire. Hence she looked at her friend who was standing by her side (परिपार्थे वर्तितुं शीलं यस्याः ताम्—परिपार्थवर्तिनी) in a manner in which, the eyes of Pārvatī that were without collyrium were turned towards the friend (विषर्तिनं अनजनं नेत्रं यस्मिन् कर्मणि तत् तथा—विवर्तितानजननेत्रम्), The eyes were without अजन as Pārvatī was practising penance. प्रविश्य having entered i. e. here, having rightly known, though he was not told about it, by any one else. शंसितुम् Infinitive from शंस् to tell. द्विजानि—twice-born. Like जन्म, जाति is to be traced back to the root जन् and means birth. For the explanation of the term, refer to the notes on stanza 40, canto V. अथो means अप It is an archaic expression.

Stanza 52 : Construe—तदीया सखी तं वर्णिनं उवाच, ' हे साधो तव पुतङ्गलं चेत् निबोध यदर्थम् एतया अम्भोजम् उज्ज्वारणम् ॥ वपुः सापनम् कृतम् । '

Pārvatī's friend understood the meaning of the glance and said to the Brahmacharin, (वर्णिनम्—वर्णः स्तुति. अम्बानीति-तम्) "O Learned Brahmin, curious as you are to know the secret of Pārvatī's heart, know it fully (निबोध) from me. I would just explain why this delicate body is made by her the means of practising penance (ततः सापनम्) like some one making the

tender lotus (अम्भोजम्) a means to screen the heat of the scorching sun.

Stanza 53 : Construe—अधिधियः चतुर्दिगीशान् महेन्द्रप्रभृतीन्वमत्य दयं मानिनी मदनस्य निग्रहात् अरूपहार्यं पिनाकपाणिं पतिं आप्तुं इच्छति ।

This friend of mine disdained the lords of the four quarters (चतुर्णां दिशां ईशान्—चतुर्दिगीशान्) महेन्द्र, यम, वरुण and कुबेर even after knowing fully well that they are possessed of supreme powers (अधिधियः अधिका श्रौः येषां तान्). She aspires for a Lord greater still, the wielder of Pināka and the subduer of Smara. Such an aspiration is but natural in the case of a मानिनी like Pārvatī. S'ankara however could not be won merely by physical charms (अरूपहार्यम्). Hence this recourse to penance. Incidentally it is interesting to note the characteristics expected in a lover by Pārvatī, the paragon of beauty. The first is bravery as suggested by the word पिनाकपाणी and the other is the nature of not easily being led away merely by physical charms (अरूपहार्यम्). चतुर्दिगीशान्—The ancient Sanskrit poets believed that the different quarters were protected by the presiding deities. इन्द्र is the Lord of the East, यम the south, वरुण, the West and कुबेर the North.

Stanza 54 : Construe—पुरा असग्राहुंकारनिवर्तितः पुरारिम् अग्रातः मुखः विशीर्णगूर्तः अपि पुष्पधन्वनः शिलीमुखः इमां हृदि व्यावतपातम् अक्षिणोत् ।

Kāma discharged his flowery arrow at S'ankara with the intention of wounding him. The arrow (शिली i. e. शल्यं मुखे यस्य सः शिलीमुखः) however was turned back by the unbearable Humkāra sound of S'ankara (असग्रेण हुंकारेण निवर्तितः—असग्राहुंकारनिवर्तितः) Not only this, but also the body of the dis-

charger was shattered to pieces. (विशीर्णं मूर्तिः यस्व सः विशीर्ण-
मूर्तिः) The arrow however found another target in the frail-
bodied Pārvati, who could not resist the attack. It entered
her heart so as to go a long way in it. (व्यायतः पातः यथा भवति
तथा) and created a deep wound (अदिष्णोन्). न प्राप्तं मुखम् (point
or tip) यस्य सः अप्राप्तमुखः । पुष्पाणि धनुः यस्य सः पुष्पधन्वा. For the
change of धनु into धन्वन् at the end of a बहुव्रीहि compound,
refer to the notes on कुमार IV १३. पुरारिः-पुण्यम् अरिः an epithet
of S'ankara. The three sons of तारक obtained a boon from
Brahmadeva to be able to build three cities, which could be
destroyed only by a single arrow. As usual Brahmadeva granted
the request, and these demons began to trouble the denizens
of the three worlds. S'ankara who was approached by the dis-
tressed gods, ultimately destroyed all the cities, along with
the Āsurās. Hence he is called the destroyer of the cities or
पुरारिः. The reading स्मरारिम् is accepted by some as it removes
the anachronism which is otherwise forced by the epithet
पुरारिम्. We however need not worry much about this, as it is
possible that Kālidāsa himself forgot for a while, that the
particular exploit of Ś'iva came afterwards. He used the
epithet to convey the idea of his great powers, which would
explain why the never failing arrow of Kāma was returned
back merely by the Humkāra sound.

Stanza 55 . Construe—तदा प्रभुनि उन्मदना कृतदिवाचन्दनभूषा-
लया बाल्य विभुः शूदे तुषारसंपातितललेषु अपि निर्भुनि न जानु लभते स्म ।

The wound created by the arrow, deprived Pārvati of her
comfort (निर्भुनि). Not for a single moment (न जानु) could she
experience ease and tranquility. The love agony was rising
in her mind (उन्मदना) and all the attempts to allay the heat

of love by the application of sandal paste to her fore-head were fruitless. It only rendered her beautiful tresses dusty (ललाटिकाचन्दनं तेन धूसराणि असकानि यस्याः सा-ललाटिकाचन्दनधूसरालकाः ।) ललाटिका is a mark made with sandal or any other fragrant powder on the forehead. Pārvatī बाला that she was and hence quite innocent of such changes (विकार) tried to get a cooling effect by sleeping on the different slabs of stones in the icy region of the Himalayas (तुषाराणां संचाताः ताव शिलाष तासो तलेषु-तुषारसंचातशिलातलेषु). Read for a similar idea पार्वती-परिणय IV 4. But even these were of no use. If at all a change of condition was brought about it was in the case of the slabs of stone, which must have grown warmer (if indeed they did not melt away), but none took place in case of Pārvatī.

Stanza 56 : Construe—पिनाकिनः चरिते उपात्तवर्णे (सति) इयम् अनेकशः वनान्तसंगीतसखीः किन्नरराजकन्यकाः सबाष्पकण्ठस्खलितैः पदैः अरोदयत् ।

Pārvatī tried to divert herself in the company of the princesses of kinnaro-kings, by singing the ballads and eulogizing the exploits of the Pināka-holder. This mode of diversion instead of bringing relaxation only aggravated her agony. Was she not unfortunate in not having such a great god for her consort ? Naturally her throat was choked, and indistinct and faltering were the songs recited by her (बाष्पेण सहिते कण्ठे स्खलितैः—सबाष्पकण्ठस्खलितैः). Her piteous condition drew tears from the gentle eyes of her friends, who were moved at the sight. Their throats were also choked, and their attempts at singing were rarely successful. Note the causal construction in the stanza. अरोदयत् caused to lament or weep. उपात्तः वर्णः (गीतिक्रमः) यस्मिन्-उपात्तवर्णे. This verse shows the प्रत्यावस्था of Pārvatī and by प्रत्या is to be understood शुद्धमधीर्तनम्.

Stanza 57 : Construe—त्रिभागशेषासु निशासु च क्षणं नेत्रे निमील्य सहसा (हे) नीलकण्ठ, क व्रजसि इति अलक्ष्यवाक् असत्यकण्ठार्पितबाहु-बन्धना (इयं) व्यवुध्यत ।

Nights, too, brought no relief to Pārvatī, as she could not get sleep till after mid-night. When sleep slowly closed her eyes, the very next moment she got up and uttered "O God of azure neck (नीलकण्ठ) where are you flying?" Further she cast her charming arms round a non-existing neck, with the hope that the running away नीलकण्ठ would be prevented by her, from doing so (Vide मेघदूत II 57 for a similar idea). But there was no real नीलकण्ठ. It was merely a creation of her own fancy, and a result of constant thinking of him. However the impression created on her mind was so strong that Pārvatī believed in the real existence of नीलकण्ठ. The epithet नीलकण्ठ is significant. The poet has described the dream of Pārvatī wherein, she enjoyed the embrace of Śaṅkara's neck, which is blue (as a result of the deadly poison drunk by him). This blueness lingered in her mind. तृतीयः भागः त्रिभागः सः शेषः यासु-त्रिभागशेषासु. The night is called त्रियामा as it is divided into three parts. The third part begins approximately by 2 a. m. Till this hour, Pārvatī got not even a wink of sleep.

अवियमानं लक्ष्यं यस्याः सा अलक्ष्या, अलक्ष्या वाक् यस्याः सा अलक्ष्यवाक् । असत्ये कण्ठे अर्पितं बाहुबन्धने यया सा असत्यकण्ठार्पितबाहुबन्धना । निदिनाथ remarks on this stanza एतेन जागरणेन्मादौ सूचितौ ।

Stanza : 58 Construe—त्वं युधिः सदा सर्वगतः उच्यसे, भावस्थम् इमं जनं कथं न वेत्ति इति मुग्धया स्वहस्तोद्दिग्धिनः चन्द्रशेखरः रहसि उपालभ्यत च ।

As is usual, with the persons in love to paint a portrait of the loved one, Pārvati drew the picture of Ś'ankara with her own hand. She thought that the moon-crested god (चन्द्रः शेखरः यस्य सः चन्द्रशेखरः where शेखरः means an ornament for the head) in the picture was the real Ś'ankara, and chided him for his indifference to her. For did he not know, omnipresent as he was, that Pārvati was entertaining affection for him ? (भावे तिष्ठति इति भावस्यः where भाव means affection or love). Even the learned ones in whose words we must have an implicit faith have declared Ś'ankara to be omnipresent (सर्वागतः). This action shows how innocent (मुग्धा) she was ! Her innocence becomes all the more prominent, as her friends watched and knew the entire action of Pārvati, even when she thought that she was by herself (रहसि). मुग्धया चन्द्रशेखरः उपालभ्यत is a passive construction. This stanza also shows Pārvati in उन्मादावस्था.

Stanza 59 : Construe—यदा च विचिन्वती इयं तस्य जगत्पतेः अधिगमे अग्रे विधिं न अपश्यत्, तदा गुणेः अनुसया अस्माभिः सह तपसे तपोवनं प्राप्ता ।

Stanzas 55 to 58 describe the love-torn condition of Pārvati. She was in a way mad with love of Ś'ankara. But there were no means of securing (अधिगम्) the Lord of the worlds. Her physical charms were of no avail as has already been seen. So ultimately she went to the holy penance-grove, along with her friend after securing the permission of her father.

Stanza 60 : Construe—सस्या मयं कृत्वज्जम्बु तपःसाधियु एतु दुर्मेतु अधि कलम् दृष्टम्, अस्याः दक्षिमीक्षितधयः मनोरथः शरोदभिमुगः अपि न च दृश्यते ।

Pārvati's friend, very cleverly suggests the long period over which Pārvati practised penance. The trees that were planted by her (कृतं जन्म येषां तेषु) are now sufficiently grown up. They have witnessed her austerities (तपःसाधितेषु). On their branches is seen the fruit. But poor Pārvati's desire, that has its resort in S'ankara (शशिमीलि १. ०. शंकरः संशयः यस्य सः शशि-मीलिसंशयः) has not put forth even a shoot, (प्ररोहं प्रति अमिमुखः प्ररोहमिमुखः), what to talk about flowers and fruits? Though Pārvati's penance was no less old than the trees, the latter stole an easy march over the former, and Pārvati's desire remained where it was. Kālidāsa thus contrasts the fruit-laden trees planted by Pārvati herself with her fruitless penance.

Stanza 61 : Construe—प्रार्थितदुर्लभः सः तपिःकृशां सखीभिः अलौत्तरम्

ईक्षिताम् इमां सखी तदवग्रहक्षतां सीतां वृषा इव कदा अभ्युपपत्स्यते न वेधि ।

The friend concludes her speech. She, along with her other friends, is very eager to see Pārvati's desire fulfilled. But the Lord is difficult to be obtained even when solicited. Pārvati's condition however was causing great anxiety to them. The penance-worn (तपः कृशां) Pārvati became an object of great concern to her friends, who with eyes dimmed with tears (अश्रुप्रधानं यथा तथा-अलौत्तरम्), looked at her and perhaps prayed to the God on their and Pārvati's behalf. The favour of that lord, alone, would save Pārvati from impending danger, and this favour was justly expected by them of the lord. Does not Indra, the lord of rains (वर्षति असीं वृषा) favour the ploughed land (सीता), that is parched on account of the drought caused by him (तस्य अवग्रहेण क्षताम्-तदवग्रह-प्रहक्षताम् where अवग्रह means drought) by pouring down showers? Kālidāsa, true to his great reputation puts a very

fitting simile, in the mouth of the friend at the conclusion of her speech. The penance-worn Pārvati is rightly compared to सीता, the ploughed land, that is dry on account of drought. Such a land especially is in great need of rain. Indra who is responsible for the drought and consequently for the pitiable condition of the land does take great pity on the land, and sends down showers of rain. Should they (Pārvati's friends) not expect similar favour coming from S'ankara, who alone was responsible for the penance-worn condition of Pārvati? Such stanzas point out the truth of the statement 'उपमा कालिदासस्य.' दृषा is an epithet of Indra, as he sends down (वर्षति इति) rain by tearing asunder the clouds with his thunder-bolt. अभ्युपतस्यते Future 3rd person singular of अभि+उप+पठ् to favour.

Stanza 62 : Construe—हस्तिज्ञया तया इति अगूढसद्भावं निवेदितः । नैष्ठिकमुन्दरः अभ्यञ्जितहर्षलक्षणः अयि इदम् एवम् (उत) परिहासः इति उमाम् अवृच्छत् ।

Thus the handsome Brahmacharin was fully informed (निवेदितः) of Pārvati's intention by her friend. She did not conceal the real state of Pārvati's mind (न गूढः सद्भावः यस्मिन् कर्मणि अगूढसद्भावम्). The Brahmacharin, who was no one else but Lord S'ankara himself, the object of Pārvati's love, was naturally beside himself with joy as he got the direct proof of the deep attachment of Pārvati to him. But shrewd, as he was, he concealed the signs of joy (न अभ्यञ्जितम्—अभ्यञ्जितम् हर्षलक्षणम् यस्य सः अभ्यञ्जितहर्षलक्षणः) quite natural on such occasions. He put such further questions, as ought to be answered by Pārvati herself. He queried whether all that was said by the friend was a statement of fact or a mere jest.

Was Pārvati really in deep love with S'ankara ? Kālidāsa as usual has managed this dialogue very effectively. The simple question अयंमेवं परिहास put by the guest, made it quite essential for Pārvati to answer herself. She could not now look at her ह्येतज्जा friend, as she did on the former occasion, (vide verse 51) and avoid to answer herself. The Brahmacharin is successful in compelling her to break the silence and speak to him. नैष्ठिक and उपकुर्वाण are the two types of Brahmacharins. नैष्ठिक leads a life of celibacy till death (निष्ठा मरणं अवधिः यस्य सः नैष्ठिकः. Read नैष्ठिको ब्रह्मचारी तु वसेदन्त्यात्मनिधी । तदभावेऽस्य तमये पत्न्या वैश्वानरेऽपिवा ॥ याज्ञवल्क्य I 49). उपकुर्वाण however is allowed to marry and enter गृहस्थाश्रम. The word सुन्दर which according to some suggests कामुकत्व is supposed to be rather incompatible with the word Brahmachārīn. मतिनाथ defends कालिदास and remarks, that the statement is made with reference to the God who possesses extraordinary powers, nothing is incompatible. We however think that the word सुन्दर means 'handsome' and nothing else. The appearance of a Brahmacharin and especially that of नैष्ठिक is usually handsome. So there is no incompatibility at all. As such no explanation like the one given by मतिनाथ is called for.

Stanza 63 : Construe—अथ मुमुक्षुर्ज्ञानं मुनौ अपहस्ते स्मटिकाङ्ग-
मालिकां समर्पयन्ती अदेः तनया निरम्बवत्यापिवाहू कपयिन् मिताक्षरम्
अगायत ।

Now, was Pārvati required to confess her love for the lord of the worlds. There were on her body some signs of nervousness and bashfulness, which are quite natural on such occasions. The members of the fair sex like to love, but

dislike to admit it. Pārvati could be no exception to this rule. While the conversation of her friend and Bhramachārin was going on, Pārvati did not stop her japa. Now was her turn to speak, as such she discontinued the japa, brought the rosary of beads (स्फटिकशमाला) in the fore-part of her hand, (अग्रथासी हस्तश्च-अग्रहस्तः) where she could keep it during her conversation with the Brahmachārin. As the rosary was to remain there, she brought together all the fingers, thus forming them into a bud (मुकुलीकृताः अङ्गुलवः यस्मिन्, मुकुलीकृताङ्गुली where मुकुलः means a bud). Pārvati, daughter of Himālayāya, was expected to possess firmness of mind. However her mind was in great confusion, on this occasion. She composed herself somehow or other, arranged the speech she was to deliver, in her mind, for a long time. (चिरं व्यवस्थापिता वाक् यया-विरम्यवस्थापितवाक्), answered with great difficulty (कथंचित्) in few words. [मितानि अक्षराणि यस्मिन् कर्मणि-मिताक्षरम्].

Stanza 64 : Construe—(हे) वेदविदा वर, त्वया यथाश्रुतं (तथा ।)

अयं जनः उधैःपदलङ्घनोत्सुकः, इदं तपः तदवाप्तिसाधनं किल, मनोरथानाम् अगतिः न विद्यते ।

The measured words uttered by Pārvati answer completely the question put to her, and show that Pārvati was in no way incapable of rising to such occasions. She remarked that whatever was said by her friend was true. She did aspire for being the consort of Śiva, an exalted position indeed. (उधैः पदस्य लङ्घने उत्सुकः—उधैःपदलङ्घनोत्सुकः). The means of reaching the exalted position were given by the people as तपम्. This explains why she was practising penance. Further Pārvati herself anticipates the charge of boldness, as she

was longing for S'ankara. She admits it, but defends by explaining the nature of ambitions. It is such that ambitions in general know no bounds limits. Persons do not entertain desires, after fully considering their limitations, but often by conveniently forgetting them. The stanza contains अर्थोत्तरन्यास अलंकार as the particular behaviour of Pārvatī is justified, by the general rule 'Nothing is inaccessible to desires.

Stanza 65 : Construe—अथ वर्णं जाह, महेश्वरः (मम) विदितः, एवं पुनः तदर्थिनी ष्व वर्तते, अमङ्गलाभ्यासरतिं तं विचिन्त्य तव अनुश्रुतिं कर्तुं न च वरसहे ।

After all the Brahmachārin got an opportunity of talking to Pārvatī directly. He fully uses it for testing her sincerity. He finds fault with S'ankara, with apparent justification, and informs Pārvatī of his inability to agree with her, in her choice. The great god was known to the Brahmachārin, along with his (i. e. lord's) liking for inauspicious things, such as वितामस्य, गन्धर्जिन etc. (अमङ्गलानाम् अभ्यासे रतिः यस्य सः तम्-अमङ्गलाभ्यासरतिम्, where अभ्यास means a course of conduct). How such an ugly person can be a fitting match for the delicate and handsome Pārvatī ? The mere idea was repugnant to the just mind of the Brahmachārin. Moreover had S'iva not insulted Pārvatī formerly, by burning Madana (vide कुमारसंभवम् III 74) ? Still she is longing for him (पुनरेव). How could her self-respect allow her to entertain desires for him ? In stanzas 65 to 73, may be noted ■ beautiful example of humour of situation, as the Brahmachārin, who ■ S'ankara himself incognito, ■ attacking S'ankara with all vehemence, he has at his command.

Stanza 66 : Construe—(हे) अवस्तुनिर्बन्धपरे, आमुक्तविवाहकौतुकः
अयं ते करः बल्यीकृताहिना शंभोः करेण तत्प्रथमावलम्बनं कथं नु सहिष्यते ।

The Brahmachārin taunts Pārvati by addressing her as अवस्तु निर्बन्धपरे, अवस्तुनि यः निर्बन्धः सः परं यस्याः सा) she was अवस्तुनिर्बन्धपरा as she was persistent in her love for S'ankara whose likings for inauspicious things were well known. She was attempting, only for a worthless object (अवस्तु). The very first union of Pārvati's hand with that of S'ankara would not be delightful at all. It would be an union of two precise opposites; one delicate and handsome, the other rough and dreadful Pārvati's tender hand would be beautified by the nuptial string (आमुक्तं विवाहार्थं कौतुकं यस्मिन्), while S'ankara's hand would be without any decoration, but possessing the entwining serpents (बल्यीकृताः अहयः यस्मिन् तत्). How possibly could Pārvati's hand suffer the first grasp of it by that of S'ankara ? तत् एव प्रथमावलम्बनम्—तत्प्रथमावलम्बनम् विवाहकौतुक is the marriage thread worn round the wrist by the bride and bridegroom. The time limit of keeping it on the wrist is either three days or one year, according to different authorities.

Stanza 67 : Construe—त्वम् एव तावत् स्वयं परिचितम्, यदि कल-
हंसलक्षणं बधूदुकूलं शोणितविन्दुवर्षिं गजाजिनं च एते कदाचिन् योगम् अर्हतः ।

Pārvati, princess as she was, would be wearing costly silken garment (दुकूल, something like the modern Benarss make) decked with royal swans, (कलहंसाः दृश्यां यस्य तन्—कल-
हंसलक्षणम्) on her wedding ceremony. The bridegroom i. e. S'ankara would put on his body a new elephant-skin (गजाजिन) that would be streaming forth drops of blood, (शोणितस्य विन्दुवर्षितुं शीतं यस्य तत्—शोणितविन्दुवर्षि) on account

of its freshness. Does even Pārvati think that the knot, which would be tied just after the wedding ceremony, of her garment with that of Śaṅkara would be really a happy scene to look at? The Brahmachārin asks Pārvati to think out for herself. Note the contrast between the कलहंसलक्षणं वधू-
दुकूलं which would be soft, white and attractive and the शोणित-
विन्दुवर्षि गजजिन dark in colour and hideous to look at on ac-
count of drops of blood oozing from it.

Stanza 55 : Construe—तव चतुष्कपुष्पप्रकरावकीर्णयोः पादयोः अलक-
काङ्क्षानि पदानि कः नाम परः अपि विकीर्णकेशासु परेतभूमिसु अनुमन्यते ।

Still more pitiable, would be the scene, when Pārvati would go to her husband's house, which is nothing but a cemetery. The princess Pārvati would be treading, at the time of marriage, on the flowers in the quadrangular court-yard, (चतुष्के यः पुष्पप्रकरः तस्मिन् अवकीर्णयोः चतुष्कपुष्पप्रकरावकीर्णयोः where प्रकरः means 'mass'). Her feet that are painted with Alaktaka dye would leave foot-prints on the mass of flowers (अलक्तकः अङ्गुः येषु तानि—अलक्तकाङ्क्षानि). The very feet will have to tread after marriage, not on soft grounds but on the regions of cemetery, (परेतभूमिसु where परेत means 'departed' or 'dead') scattered over not with flowers, but with hair of corpses. (विकीर्णाः केशाः यासु—विकीर्णकेशासु). Such a sight would not be liked even by an enemy (पर) of Pārvati, much less by her kith and kin. चतुष्क is a गृहविशेष supported on four pillars. Here the marriage pendal is meant. Note again the contrast between पुष्पप्रकरावकीर्णं चतुष्क and the विकीर्णकेशा परेतभूमि. Read for a similar contrast, but referring to a different occasion. Raṅghu VIII 57—नवपदवत्संज्ञोत्थिते मृदु दृष्टेन यदङ्गम-
र्षिन् । तदिदं विप्रहिष्यते कथं वद कामोद चित्तापिरोदम् ।

Stanza 69 : Construe—यन् तव अपि हरिचन्दनास्पदे अस्मिन् सन-
द्रये त्रिनेत्रवक्षःसुलभं चितामस्मरजः पदं करिष्यति, अतः परम् अनुकल्पं
किम् वद ।

What would be Pārvati's condition, at the time of the eagerly longed for first embrace of S'ankara ? The three-eyed god whose ugliness is heightened by the smearing of his body with funeral ashes (चितायाः भस्म चितामस्म तत् एव रजः—चितामस्म-
रजः) would impart these very ashes to her fair bosom, which knew only the fragrant Hanchandana and which is a fit abode only of that. Nothing would be more unseemly. One's aesthetic sense revolts against even the idea. Poor Pārvati however will have to undergo it personally but she must thank herself for all that. The contrast between हरिचन्दन and चितामस्मरजः deserves to be marked.

Stanza 70 : Construe—इयं च पुरतः अन्या विडम्बना यन् ऊच्य
वारणराजहार्याया त्वया अधिष्ठितं वृद्धोक्षं विलोक्य महाजनः स्मेरमुखः
भविष्यति ।

At the time of marriage procession, just after the wedding ceremony there would be great fun for the onlookers, at the cost of Pārvati. The bride, princess as she was, deserved to be taken in a procession, only on the back of a young lordly elephant (वारणानां राजा तेन हार्या—वारणराजहार्या). But Pārvati the consort of poor S'iva would be required to mount the super-annuated bull (वृद्धासी उवाच वृद्धोक्षः तम्) hardly able to walk straight, and thus be an object of ridicule and mockery to others. The good people, though tempted to burst into laughter at such a humorous sight, would check it, still the smile would be seen on their faces, while they would be looking at the procession (स्मेरं मुखं यस्य सः—स्मेरमुखाः where

स्मेर means मस्मित). ऊढा past passive participle from वृह् to marry—'married' वधू is also from the same root.

Stanza 71 : Construe—^{११ "१२}कपातिनः समागमप्रार्थनया संप्रति द्वयं शोचनीयतां गतम्, कलावतः कान्तिमतीं सा कला च, अस्य लोकस्य नेत्रकौमुदी त्वं च ।

Now that Pārvati has fixed her attachment upon Ś'ankara and that she is bent on marrying him, the Brahmachari says—There are now (संप्रति) two (द्वयं) (lit., a pair) that have gone to a condition worth being pitied (शोचनीयता), on account of the eager desire on the part of those two for union (समागम) with the bearer of skulls (कपालानि यस्य सन्ति इति)—that well-known (सा) digit of the possessor of digits (i. e. the moon) and of late, you the moon-light to the eyes of the world. How sorry is the idea that not only is there the strange company of the moon and the Kapālin, absolutely unworthy of her (the lovely digit कला being personified)—a more beautiful thing was not united with an uglier being but history is going to repeat itself. Pārvati, who was the beauty of the three worlds put together ('प्रिद्योदसौन्दर्यमिवोदितं वपुः') and gave the same delight to the eyes of those that saw her as the moonlight was going to follow in the foot-steps of the moon's digit ! Oh, the pity of it ! that beauty and indiscretion should go together, in this the second instance too.

Stanza 72 : Construe—वपुः विरूपाक्षम्, अलक्ष्यकर्मता, दिगम्बरत्वेन वपु निवेदितम्; (हे) बालमृगाक्षि, वरेषु यन् मृग्यते तन् प्रिलोचने स्थितमपि अस्ति किम् ।

The Brahmacharin is emboldened to the extent of actually setting forth in an analytical fashion the so many reasons why that god frequenting the cemetery region should

not be chosen as a husband. To begin with, his form is deformed, in that he has ugly eyes (विरूपाणि अक्षीणि यस्य उद्) or three eyes (विरूप-विषम in number). (People already know that the non-possession of the necessary number of limbs or parts of the same constitutes ugliness. It, however, is high time that **some** of them, especially persons like Pārvati are told that possession of an extra third eye too is not a case of 'more the merrier'—but another variety of ugliness itself). Letting that alone—what about the descent of this bridegroom (वर)? The only thing that is known in this connection is that the birth of the person is not known to any one (lit. not seen by any one)! Regarding wealth, well, it has already been made known (to the whole world) by his having the quarters for his garment (having nothing even so much as to cover his body with). Significantly does the ascetic ask Pārvati, 'oh you possessed of eyes like those of the young deer (what a contrast with the विरूपाक्षत्व of the वर), are the things such as are collectively sought in a bridegroom to be found even singly (व्यस्तम् अपि)—say just one of them, found in the 'three-eyed' one?

बालमृगस्य अक्षिणी इव अक्षिणी यस्याः (उपमानपूर्वपदबहुव्रीहिः) सा बालमृगाक्षी, तत्संयुधिः (vocative) बालमृगाक्षि ।

There is point in मम्मट's criticism that अलक्ष्यनम्रता does not pointedly bring out the fault or deficiency of the bridegroom, as do the two other clauses. Instead of the compound, there should have been two expressions such as अलक्षिणा जनिः, one being the subject and the other the predicate of the sentence, describing the weak point of the Varā,

Stanza 73 : Construe—अस्मात् असदीप्सितान् मनः निवर्तय, तद्विधः क, पुण्यलक्षणा त्वं च क, साधुजनेन श्मशानशूलस्य वैदिकी यूपसत्क्रिया न अपेक्ष्यते ।

In all sincerity, does the **brahmacharin** advise Pārvati to turn her mind away from this evil or improper (असत्) desire (इप्सित—past passive participle used in keeping with नपुंसके भावे कः=इच्छा). What a world of difference between a person of the type of him (i. e. Kapāli) and Pārvati of blessed characteristics? By good folk the honour done to the sacrificial post (यूप) in keeping with the Vedic rites (वैदिकी) is not (so much as ever) expected of an iron-stake in the cemetery region. Simply because यूप is used for the purpose of tying the sacrificial victim and so is the शूल for tying the condemned criminal, it does not follow that the honour done to the former should be extended to the latter. That would be merely going by superficial resemblance between two things.

Stanza 74 : Construe—इति प्रतिकूलवादिनि द्विजाती, प्रवेपमानापरलक्ष्यकोपया तथा उपान्तलोहिते विलोचने विवृण्वितशूलतम् तिर्यक् आहिते ।

Pārvati certainly did not like all that the **brahmacharin** said about Ś'ankara. She did not at once express her disapproval in so many words. Before that, by her, whose anger was evident from her excessively quivering lower lip, were cast her two eyes, red at the corners (उपान्तयोः लोहिते) slantingly (तिर्यक्) with the creeper-like eye-brows contracted (विवृण्विते शूलने यस्मिन् कर्मणि यथा स्यात् तथा)—now that the twice-born was speaking unfavourably (about her heart's choice). प्रतिकूलं वदितुं शीलमस्य प्रतिकूलवादी यस्मिन्. द्विजाती प्रतिकूलवादिनि is a locative absolute construction. Incidentally, it may be pointed out that

the word *प्रतिकूल* finally rendered by unfavourable or unfavourably according as it is taken as an adjective or adverb, hails from a different universe of discourse. The universe of discourse is the water of a river, or any other current. When it moves so as to oppose (*प्रति*) or do harm to the bank (*कूल*), it is said to be *प्रतिकूल*. The sense underwent a generalisation subsequently, and even in contexts where water, bank etc. were out of question, the word came to be used to signify 'unfavourable or unfavourably.' The disparaging remarks by the student of Veda roused the anger of Pārvatī—she controlled herself a good deal, however, and did not say all that her first impulse would have made her to. Yet the truth had to be stated, the ignorant had to be enlightened. So she proceeds to explain S'iva's greatness to the visitor to the āś'rāma, in the few stanzas that follow (75-81).

Stanza 75 : Construe—एनम् उवाच च नूनं त्वं परमार्थतः हरं न वेत्ति यतः माम् एवम् आरथ; मन्दाः महामनाम् अलोकसामान्यं अविन्त्य-हेतुकं चरितं द्विषन्ति ।

And (च) she addressed (उवाच) him [thus] ' You do not know Hara truly, परमार्थतः indeed (नूनम्), on which account you speak to me thus i. e. disparagingly about him.' And by no means is this a solitary instance of its kind, Pārvatī continues strengthening her statement about the reason why the Brahmacharin had only *censure* and that too unmitigated, for Hara. The dull-wits (*mandāḥ*) hate high-souled persons' doings (*charitam*—*आर्तं* *एकवचनम्*), which they have as their exclusive possession, (lit., which are not common with (those of) the people न लोके: सामान्यम् or simply, न लोके सामान्यम् i. e. extra-ordinary and) the cause of which is past

(even) the understanding of the dull-witted ones. न विन्त्यः हेतुः (कारणं) यस्य तत् । It need not be explained that the stanza contains, an instance of (विशेषस्य सामान्येन समर्थन type of) अर्थान्तरन्यास.

आस्य—2nd person singular of आ, present tense. 'You speak.'

The contrast between the tirade that the brahmacharin had against Śiva, and the dignified manner that Pārvatī has started making an answer, which she later develops point by point, should very carefully be noted. It certainly does not always pay to descend to the level of the adversary; so long as the case one is representing is strong, as Pārvatī was confident it was, indulging in a style full of abusive terms, or something akin to that, does more harm than good to the case. Pārvatī was well aware of this. She does not, therefore, overstate her case. मरुतः need not be regarded as a term of abuse. It only speaks of the persons, so characterised, as being on a low intellectual level. Whatever else they are able to follow, they are not able to appreciate the noble deeds of magnanimous souls (like Hara) and hence their hatred of the great.

परमः अर्थः (highest object i. e. truth) तस्मान्.

Stanza 76: Construe—विद्यतीहारेण भूतिगुणमुदेन वा मद्रत्नं निवे-
द्यते; जगत्प्रख्याय निराश्रितः सः आशेषहान्यामृतिभिः रूभिः (मद्रत्नैः)
रिम् ।

In answer to Śankara's love of inauspicious conduct (अमङ्गल्यभ्यासरति), that the Brahmacharin started as the first objection to Pārvatī's marrying Hara, Pārvatī says—'An auspicious thing (मङ्गल) is resorted to either (1) by one

that wants to counter (प्रतीकारपर—प्रतीकारे परः—तत्परः—) a calamity (विपत्) or (2) by one that is keenly desirous of prosperity, i. e. attaining prosperity (भूति=prosperity). But neither getting rid of a calamity, present or future, nor achieving prosperity that yet is not secured, can be regarded as a motive that could prompt Hara to resort to a मङ्गल. The two alternatives, mutually exclusive and ordinarily, collectively exhaustive, are found simply not applying in S'ankara's case, for the reason that S'ankara is a high-souled exceptional kind of a person (महात्मन्, अलोकसामान्यं चरितं stanza 75). One thing more, Hara is himself the refuge of the entire world (जगतः शरण्यः—शरणे साधुः शरण्यः excellent in the matter of protection) and devoid of any longing (आशीः longing, eager desire; निर्गता आशीः यस्य ॥ निराशीः तस्य निराशिषः). Of what use to him, thus characterised (—शरण्यस्य, निराशिषः सतः) are these **mangalas** wherein the activities (वृत्ति) of the mind (आत्मन्) are affected (उपहृत) as a rule by hope or longing? The construction तस्य...एभिः किम् is idiomatic and of the अन्वस्य दीपेन किम् type. For the ordinary, considerations such as 'auspicious', 'inauspicious' have a meaning. But such 'standards' or 'norms' do not weigh with great persons like Hara. The **Brahmacharin** need not stress the अमङ्गलान्धासरति of S'ankara on this account.

भूत्यां समुत्सुकः—भूतिसमुत्सुकः ।

आशया उपहृता (affected or sullied) आत्मवृत्तिः येषु तैः ।

Stanza 77: Construe—सः अकिंचनः सन् संपदां प्रभवः, विनृसद्य-
गोचरः (सन्) त्रिलोकनाथः भीमरूपः सः शिवः इति उदीर्यते, विनाकिनः
यापार्थ्यविदः न सन्ति ।

That S'iva has no wealth (न द्विचन i. e. धनं यस्य सः) to speak of is true and Pārvatī does not wish to deny that. But there is the other side of the shield too, which, the Brahmacharin failed to note, and that is, that paradoxically enough, S'iva is the very source (प्रभवः) of all riches. This should be enough by way of refutation of 'दिग्भ्रष्टत्वेन निवेदितं बहु । Further, S'iva is the lord of the three worlds, though to those who look at things superficially, he is known as one whose sphere is (i. e. who dwells in) the cemetery [विभूनां सप्त the dwelling place of the manes i. e. departed ancestors—the cemetery, गोचरं यस्य सः । गोचर=विषयः]. So one who dubs S'ankara as the inhabitant of the cemetery, ought to note that S'ankara is the master of the three worlds, and as such the latter too are his sphere. Again by reason of the association of serpents, the garland of skulls, or the third eye, which when open, emits fire, the Lord is one possessed of a terrible form, still he is declared to be S'iva i. e. auspicious. The truth is, that there is dearth of men who know S'iva properly or as he is. यावार्थ्य is from यथार्थ (अर्थमनन्ति-काम्य यथा इयान् तथा)—the nature of being faithful to facts i. e. truth यावार्थ्यं विदुर्दीप्ति यावार्थ्यंविद्ः ।

The points that the visitor raised against S'ankara in stanzas 65, 66 are thus answered by Pārvatī.

Stanza 78: Construe—विभूतौ बहु. विभूतौ द्वयमि निन्द्यमांनि वा, गजानिनामसि दूहयपरी वा कपानि वा अथवा हन्तुयोगं त्याज्, न अकाम्ये ।

The proper way of understanding the great Lord is not to think of any characteristic of his, or a few characteristics of his, at a time. He is possessed of the universe as his form

(विश्वं मूर्तिः यस्य सः). This wrong approach would not therefore help those who want to gauge the truth. The body may sometimes shine brightly because of the ornaments worn (विभूषणैः उद्भासितुं शीलं यस्य), or it may have serpents tied about (पिनद्धा: from अपि + नह, giving both अपिनद्ध and पिनद्ध as past passive participle,) it may wear the elephant-hide (गजस्य अजिनम् आलम्बितुं शीलं यस्य) or don a garment fashioned out of rich material, and ostentatious. It may have skulls worn round the neck or the moon on the crest. Thinking of these details only as each one of them strikes the person who wants to know Śiva, in turn, and not taking a comprehensive view, would not take the person far enough in his undertaking. The विरूपाक्षरव (stanza 72) etc. pointed out by the ascetic as disqualifications of the person chosen by पार्वती are thus refuted.

Stanza 79 ; Construe—तद्वत्संसर्गम् अवाप्य विताभस्मरजः धृवं विशुद्धये कल्पते; तथाहि सूत्याभिनयक्रियाद्युनं (तत्) अम्बरीकसाम् मौक्तिकिः विलिप्यते ।

As a specific reply to what the ascetic said in regard to the impropriety of the ashes from the funeral pyres coming into contact with Pārvatī's breasts, worthy of red sandal (stanza 69), Pārvatī says, particles of ashes from the pyres, having come into contact with his limbs become capable of sanctifying others, who subsequently luckily, come in contact with them. For that very reason on their heads are borne (lit. smeared) the particles of ashes, fallen in the act of gesticulation (अभिनय) while Śiva is dancing, -by the dwellers of the heavenly world. Even the gods, (अम्बरम् ओकः निवातस्थानं येषां ते अम्बरीकृतः-तेषाम्) far from being ashamed of applying

विनिद्राणि मन्दाराणि (मन्दारवृक्षपुष्पाणि) तेषां रजांसि, तैः—अरुणाः—अद्भुतयः ययोः तौ । The fact that Indra with a wreath of Mandar flowers in his head, is not ashamed to touch S'ankara's feet with his head reverentially, should silence all the 'talk of dilapidated or superannuated bull of S'ankara. The master of the quarter—elephant (ऐरावत) considers himself obliged to get the opportunity of saluting in all modesty, the owner of the ' old ' bull प्रभिन्नः दिग्धारणः (i. e. ऐरावतः) बाहनं यस्य सः ।

Stanza 81 : Construe दोषं विवक्षता अपि च्युतात्मना स्वया ईशं प्रति एकं साधु भाषितम् ; यम् आत्मभुवः अपि कारणम् आमनन्ति स कथं लक्ष्यप्रमदः भविष्यति ।

Pārvatī disliked most the depravity of soul, the low taste that the ascetic displayed, when he spoke of S'ankara having his birth unknown (अलक्ष्यजन्मता). She therefore does not think it improper, characterising the guest as a च्युतात्मन् (च्युतः आत्मा यस्य सः). His inherent low taste, very probably made the ascetic right glad when he mentioned S'iva's descent as an unknown something. But unwaveringly, while wishing to speak of a fault of S'iva, he paid one of the most handsome tributes (एकं साधु भाषितम्) to the Lord. It is literally impossible, that the origination of the cause of i. e. the source of the self-born (आत्मभू) or the creator, can ever be seen by any one. That the cause of the cause of all creation should remain hidden in point of its origination from all, subsequently created is but to be expected. The choice of words on the part of the ascetic—' अलक्ष्यजन्मनः '—was singularly happy. Only instead of reflecting in any way against S'iva, the words do him a well deserved honour ! Pārvatī's skill of turning the very words of the ascetic against him, is remarkable.

वक्तुम् इच्छन्, विवक्षन्— present participle from the desiderative of वच्—तेन विवक्षिता ।

आ+म्ना—to declare (especially when the declaration is on the part of scriptures) third person plural आमनन्ति.

Stanza 82 . Construe—विवादेन अलम्; यथा स्वया श्रुतः तथाविधः तावत् सः अशेषम् अस्तु; मम भावैकरसं मनः अत्र स्थितं, कामवृत्तिः वचनीयम् न ईक्षते ।

If this answer given by Pārvati, in a manner so as to refute every point that the ascetic raised, has not satisfied the brahmacharin, then, nothing is likely to be of any use by way of argument with him. Pārvati, therefore, states in clear terms, that she does not want to continue the discussion any longer (अलम् विवादेन). She is even ready to grant that Lord Śiva is of that type, and that also completely (अशेषम्—न शेषः यस्मिन् कर्मणि यथास्यान् तथा) of which, he has been heard to be by the brahmacharin. After all there is some such thing as a personal view of the matter, which Pārvati takes, and no one can prevent her from taking that. " My mind, with just one predominant (एक) sentiment (रस) towards Hara, viz. that of love (भाव) is fixedly attached (स्थित) to him. And one who behaves as one thinks fit (कामेन शून्य-मस्य), does not mind (न ईक्षते) censure (वचनीय) by others. In other words—I have made my choice and that is final. My mind has only one attitude towards Śankara. That is one of love,—unadulterated love. I am going to act as I think fit. Any amount of censure by the 'people' is incapable of making me swerve from the path I have adopted."

भावः (गूढाहः or अनुरागः) एकः (= प्रथमः) रसः यस्य तत् भावैकरसम् ।

The third line refers to पार्वती's individual case. The fourth embodies a generalisation holding good of a class of persons to whom पार्वती belongs, and is intended as the समर्थन or justification of what she said about herself. The stanza, therefore contains an instance of [सामान्येन विशेषस्य समर्थनम् type of] अर्थान्तरन्यासः.

Stanza 83 : Construe—(हे) आलि स्फुरितोत्तथरः (अतः एव) किमपि पुनः विबुधुः अयं बटुः निवार्यताम् । यः महताम् अपभाषते स केवलं न, तस्मात् यः शृणोति सः अपि पापभाक् ।

On having given the ascetic to understand that once and for all she had fixed her love on S'ankara irrespective of what others, like him, may say, Pārvati did not want to continue speaking to him. But then, she saw that the brahmacharin (बटुः) had his lower lip prominently quivering and thus was obviously desirous of saying something (of the sort he already had), again (पुनः). She however, had by this time lost all patience with him, who typified the class of ignorant ones, failing to appreciate the deeds of noble persons, but condemning the latter unblushingly. So she asks her friend to have the ascetic shown out of the āshrama (lit., warded off). The consideration that weighed with Pārvati in deciding that the brahmacharin be better turned out than listened to, is given in the form of a general proposition in lines 3 & 4 of the stanza; not only he who speaks ill of (अपभाषते) or scandalises the great, but one that listens to such a person also has his (or her) share of the sin. Pārvati would be the last person to have such a sin stand permanently to her discredit. The figure manifestly, ■ अर्थान्तरन्यास (of the विशेषस्य सामान्येन समर्थन type).

Manu, at II, 200 lays down गुरोर्वत्र परीवादो निन्दा वापि प्रवर्तते। कर्णौ तत्र पिधातव्यौ गन्तव्यं वा ततोऽन्यतः ॥ आलिः is a female friend आलि is the vocative of the same. 'Oh female friend.' स्फुरितं (स्फुरणं, नपुंसके भावे कः) यस्मिन् उत्तरं(प्रधानं) स स्फुरितोत्तरः, स्फुरितोत्तरः अथरः यस्य सः । विबधुः—वक्तुम् इच्छुः 'desirous of speaking.' पारं भजतीति पापभाक् । If the proposition stated by Pārvati is followed in spirit by the majority of people in any community at any time, much of a particular kind of literature, whether spoken or printed, would lose its point and vogue. As years roll on in the history of human civilization, can we state confidently, that we are becoming more full of reverence for noble qualities ? The question is better left unanswered.

Stanza 84 : Construe—अथवा इतः गमिष्यामि इति वादिनी स्तन-
भिन्नवन्कला सा यवाल च स्वस्वम् आस्पाय शृणुमिमतः दृष्टरात्रवेतनः तां
समाललन्त्ये च ।

The two चs are idiomatically used in the stanza to show that two things happened simultaneously. 'No sooner-than' has its best equivalent in Sanskrit in these two चs. It was one thing for Pārvati to say to her friend 'let this ascetic be shown the door', it was another to have the expulsion of the stranger actually achieved. For no female friend of Pārvati could be equal to the task of driving out the ascetic (unless she were an amazon and in this latter case she hardly could have been an आलिः of Pārvati specially in view of V-15 comparing the eyes of the friend with those of the deer !) Pārvati who failed to note this in her extreme anger, corrects her mistake by changing her mind, अथवा always introduces a change of thought in Sanskrit. 'Or' in view of the physical impossibility of my instruction, to you being carried out, said

Pārvati 'I shall go away from hence and suddenly, presumably with a jerk to her body, she made for walking a little further off from (चञ्चल moved away) the place, her bark-garment slipping off from her breast in the quickness of the action. And that very moment, the bull-bannered God (वृषराजः केतने यस्य सः), assuming his form (as against the disguise so far donned) made a smile, nothing more being needed to indicate his having been amused, and caught hold of her. S'iva thoroughly convinced regarding the genuineness and the the intensity of पार्वती's affection for him, did not add even a word. What use are words on such occasions ? A smile and the act of taking hold of Pārvati (समालम्बन) was the fitting close to the alteration, the two had had so far. And Kālidāsa provided just that in 'स्वरूपमास्थाय कुतस्मितः तां समालम्ब्ये ।

वृषराजः केतने यस्य सः—On S'iva's banner was the figure of a lordly bull, the characteristic of the god.

Stanza 85 : Construe—तं वीक्ष्य वेपथुमती, सरसाङ्गमष्टिः, निक्षेपणाम उद्धृतम् पदम् उद्बहन्ती शैलाधिराजतनया, मार्गचलव्यतिकराकुलिता तिष्ठुः इव न ययौ न तरथौ ।

To see her lord in his proper form, Pārvati was right glad no doubt. But the immediate reaction on her part to the manifestation of S'iva, was being all a quiver (वेपथु quivering मती possessed of (the delicate frame of her body (अङ्गं यष्टिरिव) being full of perspiration (स-रस). Experts observe that the body being full of trembling all over, and perspiration are indication of the rise of the ' सात्त्विक भाव ' Thus characterised, the daughter of the lord of mountains, could not really decide the course she should take, under the conditions as they

obtained then—she, therefore, had one of her feet, lifted up for placing it elsewhere in the act of going away from the scene of the conversation, but not having been able to decide she should go away, with hesitation as to whether she should, getting the upper hand, she stood in that very condition. The theme again is well worth the delineation by a master-artist. Pārvati neither proceeded (न ययौ), for the foot that was lifted up was not actually planted, nor stood, for one foot was lifted up. Pārvati with her mind thus divided, is aptly likened to a river which getting embarrassed, neither runs ahead nor exactly stands still, when in contact (व्यतिकर) with a mountain on the way. Here is a singular phenomenon of a word picture (river-mountain,) beautifying a word picture already given. (पार्वती) not standing, not proceeding, being caught hold of by Śāṅkara and both pictures are bound to people the mind of the reader, long after he has completed the perusal of the stanza and kept away his copy of कुमारसंभव (V) The conflict between Pārvati's eagerness to look at her lord, and her overwhelming bashfulness is very effectively described. The former seemed to make her stay on, the latter prompting her to run away as soon as possible. मार्गे अचल. तस्य व्यतिकरः (सम्बन्धः) तेन आवुलिता । सिन्धु ' a river. '

Stanza 86. Construe—(हे) अवनताङ्गि, अद्यप्रभृति अहं तव तपोभिः कृतः दासः इति चन्द्रमौलिं वारिनि (सति) सा नियमजं क्लमम् अहाय उत्सर्ज; क्लेशः हि फलेन पुनः नवतां विधत्ते ।

The rest of the story is easily and briefly told. While lord Śiva was saying 'Oh you with drooping (i. e. beautiful) limbs, from to-day, I am your slave (दास.) purchased (by you) by (your) austerities' she quickly (अहाय) left off or

got rid of (उत्सर्ज) her fatigue (क्लम) that arose out of her vow (नियम-जम्). This need not be a cause for any surprise. For (the rule is) fatigue makes for freshness—leads the person who has for long been toiling, to freshness by the fruit it has won for the toiler. The canto closes with Pārvati's success in her undertaking, which thanks more to her austerities secured the destroyer of Madana for Pārvati, to be a slave of hers for all time to come her physical beauty having played only a secondary part in the whole process. And the story is well rounded off with an अर्थान्तरन्यास, worthy of Kālidāsa. The last line has a maxim-like ring about it and is on the tip of the tongue of all Sanskritists, obviously for the reason that it crystallises a matter of common experience. 'Reward of labour, brings fresh vigour to the workers.'—

श्रेयः कलेन हि पुनर्नयतां विधत्ते ।

